Exhibit 1

		Page 1
1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT	
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
3		 x
4	In the Matter of:	
5		Chapter 11
6	MOTORS LIQUIDATION COMPANY,	Case No.: 09-50026(REG)
7	et al, f/k/a General Motors	(Jointly Administered)
8	Corp., et al.,	
9		
10	Debtors.	
11		x
12	STEVEN GROMAN, ROBIN DELUCO,	
13	ELIZABETH Y. GRUMET, ABC	
14	FLOORING, INC., MARCUS	
15	SULLIVAN, KATELYN SAXSON,	Adv. Pro. No.:
16	AMY C. CLINTON, AND ALLISON	14-01929 (REG)
17	C. CLINTON, on behalf of	
18	themselves, and all other	
19	similarly situated,	
20	Plaintiffs,	
21	v.	
22	GENERAL MOTORS LLC,	
23	Defendant.	
24		x
25		

	Page 2
1	U.S. Bankruptcy Court
2	One Boling Green
3	New York, New York
4	
5	May 2, 2014
6	9:46 AM
7	
8	
9	BEFORE:
10	HON ROBERT E. GERBER
11	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
12	
13	
14	Hearing re: Status Conference
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Transcribed by: Dawn South and Sheila Orms

	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES:
2	KING & SPALDING LLP
3	Attorneys for General Motors LLC
4	1185 Avenue of the Americas
5	New York, NY 10036-4003
6	
7	BY: ARTHUR J. STEINBERG, ESQ.
8	SCOTT DAVIDSON, ESQ.
9	
10	KIRKLAND & ELLIS
11	Attorney for New GM
12	300 North LaSalle
13	Chicago, IL 60654
14	
15	BY: RICHARD C. GODFREY, P.C., ESQ.
16	
17	GOLENBOCK EISEMAN ASSOR BELL & PESKOE LLP
18	Attorneys for the Plaintiffs
19	437 Madison Avenue
20	New York, NY 10022
21	
22	BY: JONATHAN FLAXER, ESQ.
23	S. PRESTON RICARDO, ESQ.
24	
25	

	Page 4
1	GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
2	Attorney for Motors Liquidation GUC Trust
3	200 Park Avenue
4	New York, NY 10166-0193
5	
6	BY: KEITH R. MARTORANA, ESQ.
7	
8	ROBINSON CALCAGNIE ROBINSON SHAPIRO DAVIS, INC.
9	Attorney for Ratzlaff, et al.
10	19 Corporate Plaza Drive
11	Newport Beach, CA 92660
12	
13	BY: MARK P. ROBINSON, JR., ESQ.
14	
15	GOODWIN ROCTER LLP
16	Attorneys for the South Texas Plaintiffs
17	The New York Times Building
18	620 Eighth Avenue
19	New York, NY 10018
20	
21	BY: WILLIAM P. WEINTRAUB, ESQ.
22	EAMONN O'HAGAN, ESQ.
23	
24	
25	

		Page 5
1	BROW	N RUDNICK LLP
2		Attorneys for Ratzlaff, et al.
3		Seven Times Square
4		New York, NY 10036
5		
6	BY:	EDWARD WEISFELNER, ESQ.
7		DAVID J. MOLTON, ESQ.
8		HOWARD STEEL, ESQ.
9		
10	AKIN	GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP
11		Attorneys for Holders of Units in the GUC Trust
12		One Bryant Park
13		New York, NY 10036-6745
14		
15	BY:	NAOMI MOSS, ESQ.
16		DANIEL GOLDEN, ESQ.
17		
18	OTTE	RBOURG
19		230 Park Avenue
20		New York, NY 10169
21		
22	BY:	DAVID M. POSNER, ESQ.
23		
24		
25		

	Page 6
1	LOWENSTEIN SANDLER LLP
2	Attorney for Plaintiffs Darby and Jones
3	65 Livingston Avenue
4	Roseland, NJ 07068
5	
6	BY: JOHN K. SHERWOOD, ESQ.
7	
8	LOWENSTEIN SANDLER LLP
9	Attorney for Plaintiffs Darby and Jones
10	1251 Avenue of the Americas
11	New York, NY 10020
12	
13	BY: MICHAEL S. ETKIN, ESQ.
14	
15	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
16	Attorney for the U.S. Trustee
17	U.S. Federal Office Building
18	201 Varick Street
19	Suite 1006
20	New York, NY 10014
21	
22	BY: BRIAN MASUMOTO, ESQ.
23	
24	
25	

	Page 7
1	CAPLIN & DRYSDALE, CHARTERED
2	One Thomas Circle, NW
3	Suite 1100
4	Washington, DC 20005
5	
6	BY: PETER VAN N. LOCKWOOD, ESQ.
7	
8	CAPLIN & DRYSDALE, CHARTERED
9	600 Lexington Avenue
10	21st Floor
11	New York, NY 10022-7619
12	
13	BY: ELIHU INSELBUCH, ESQ.
14	
15	STUZMAN, BROMBERG, ESSERMAN & PLIFKA
16	2323 Bryan Street
17	Suite 2200
18	Dallas, TX 75201-2689
19	
20	BY: SANDER L. ESSERMAN, ESQ.
21	
22	
23	
24	•
25	

	Page 8
1	KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP
2	101 Park Avenue
3	New York, NY 10178
4	
5	BY: BENJAMIN D. FEDER, ESQ.
6	
7	PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES
8	Attorney for Plaintiffs
9	780 Third Avenue
10	36th Floor
11	New York, NY 10017-2024
12	
13	BY: MARIA A. BOVE, ESQ.
14	
15	BECNEL LAW FIRM, LLC
16	Attorney for Jomaka Coleman, et al.
17	425 West Airline Highway
18	Suite B
19	Laplace, LA 70068
20	
21	BY: DANIEL BECNEL, JR., ESQ. (TELEPHONIC)
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 9 PROCEEDINGS 1 2 THE COURT: Good morning, have seats, please. I know most of you at the counsel table. Mr. Steinberg, with 3 4 you is whom? 5 MR. STEINBERG: With me is -- well, you can 6 introduce yourself. 7 MR. GODREY: Richard Godfrey, Your Honor. MR. STEINBERG: From Kirkland. 8 THE COURT: Mr. Godfrey? Okay. Thank you. 9 MR. GODREY: Good morning, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: I know Mr. Weisfelner, Mr. Inselbuch, 11 Mr. Esserman, and Mr. Flaxer. As others want to be heard 12 I'll give them that opportunity as we go along. 13 Within limits I'm going allow parties to be heard 14 as they see fit, but I have some preliminary comments. 15 I haven't read all 3,500 pages of the filings that 16 have come in in the last ten days, but I've read New GM's 17 motion, Mr. Flaxer's complaint, Mr. Weisfelner's objection, 18 19 and have also read all of counsel's letters and the various 20 proposed agenda items. I think I have a pretty decent handle on the 21 issues that are going to need to be addressed today and the 22 issues that are going to need to be addressed in the 23 upcoming several months, but I'm less clear as to the extent 24 to which all of the issues are already on the table. 25

Identifying the issues that are going to need to be teed up for judicial determination, or more exactly figuring out how and when they're going to be put on the table, is one of the primary purposes of the conference today.

I think everybody understands or should that today is not the day to argue the merits of any of your respective positions or especially calling either side names. It's instead to, as I said, identify the issues that need to be addressed and to establish a fair means for getting the issues judicially determined.

I appreciate the efforts of Mr. Steinberg and Mr. Weisfelner and Mr. Inselbuch, Esserman, and Flaxer in conferring before we got here to avoid inefficiencies and to set up the orderly process for teeing these issues up. You got pretty far and I'll take care of the rest.

As you'll hear momentarily I have a number of tentatives, as that expression is used in California and elsewhere in the Ninth Circuit, which are my inclinations as to how to proceed, subject to your rights to be heard, but I have some expectations as to an orderly discussion, no histrionics, no repetition. I also have some questions and concerns that I want you to address when it's your turn.

Starting with my questions.

I gather there are now about 60 class actions and

a couple of individual actions pending against New GM in various parts of the country with respect to the ignition switches in some way, but I have only a partial understanding of what the claims typically characterized as for economic loss are.

I'd understood, rightly or wrongly, that New GM voluntarily assumed liability for wrongful death, personal injury, and property damage with respect to any "incidents or occurrences," which I understood to be things like wrecks or fires or of course death or injury, that took place after the sale in July of 2009.

I also understood that New GM had undertaken responsibility for satisfying the glove box warranty and for complying with state lemon laws.

But I need to get a handle on what's left. What is left that has engendered 60 class actions across the country? And obviously I'll hear your respective views on that. I got a pretty good sense of the legal theories that were invoked, vis-à-vis that economic loss, but I still don't understand exactly what we're talking about.

Mr. Inselbuch's April 24th letter identifies an issue as to whether claims against New GM, statutory or otherwise, based on post-sale conduct of New GM are subject to my orders. Mr. Esserman's April 23rd letter and Mr. Weinberg's -- I don't see Mr. Weinberg, is he here

R

somewhere? Oh, yeah there is he, okay. April 30 letters raise whey I understand to be the same issue.

you, Mr. Steinberg, is there a dispute on that? Or is the devil in the details turning on the whether the alleged wrongful conduct is wholly past sale or there's some other nuance that would make the question harder than it would appear at first blush? Help me get a better handle on what we're talking about in that regard.

A similar issue exists with respect to the lemon laws as mentioned in Mr. Esserman's April 23rd letter.

Please address that as well.

Next, each of the Steinberg and Weisfelner letters talk about getting a sense as to how the majority of the class action plaintiffs are prepared to proceed. I underscore the word majority. When each of you use that term it suggested to me, rightly or wrongly, that the plaintiffs referred to were less than all of them. I think what you were able to accomplish was very, very helpful, but have some difficulty in seeing how that by itself would get me across the goal line.

The fact that all plaintiffs couldn't get behind three law firms -- and on this limited issue I think I can take judicial notice -- have some proven track record in addressing the interface between tort liability and

bankruptcy law causes me some concern. Because as I said, I don't want repetition, and that includes making the same point in different ways. I need to hear from anybody who thinks those three firms aren't good enough why that's so, or conversely why they're not raising issues that need to be addressed. That's not to say that anybody who thinks up anything those firms couldn't can't be heard, but I need to know why and what's the problem.

I also want to hear from Mr. Flaxer, since he was the first and he was the only one that brought an adversary, and I don't put him in the category that I put all the others.

Next, Mr. Esserman speaks in his April 23rd

letter, paragraph 5, of teeing up procedures for plaintiffs.

I don't know if this is the class action plaintiffs he
represents or all prospective plaintiffs, to show cause
whether they have any claims against New GM not otherwise
barred by the sale order and injunction.

You wrote that letter, Mr. Esserman, back on April 23rd and I gather you've had discussions with other folks since that time. I'd like you or Mr. Weisfelner, let me know whether you have any needs and concerns to get rulings on this that haven't been subsequently rolled into what needs to be addressed, and I'd like to ask the same with respect to the item you listed as number 7 in your

letter, procedures under which, assuming the sale order stands without modification, under which plaintiffs might seek amendments to it.

Okay, now for my tentatives. I apologize to you all for speaking at such length.

As I said these are California tentatives, which are views I formed on a preliminary basis after reading the briefs and the letters but which are subject to your rights to be heard and which I'll obviously consider in the way of modifications based on whatever you tell me verbally.

First. Now that fraud on the Court has been taken off the list of threshold issues I'm not sure if there's a material difference in views or for that matter any difference in views on the threshold issues that need to be addressed at least insofar as the majority of the plaintiffs are concerned.

I'm inclined to consider as threshold issues the two remaining issues that were shown on Mr. Weisfelner's black line, and I'm also amenable and inclined to allow any other purely legal issues to be raised along with the so-called threshold issues, such as the discrimination argument, that is the argument that creditors with personal injury claims, death claims, property claims would be addressed by New GM whereas those with the so-called economic damage claims would not.

It seems to me, again subject to your rights to be heard, that the more appropriate means of demarcation between claims that can and should be considered as threshold issues and those that can be put and should put to a later time is to separate issues that can be addressed without discovery from those that can only be addressed with discovery and potentially a very burdensome or at least lengthy discovery process.

The principal players as I read the letters, New GM and the class action plaintiff steering committee seem to feel that they can win without discovery, and whether or not either side is right in that regard that seems to me, that is to deal with issues without discovery, to be the logical place to start since even if issues need to be further addressed or refined the early work that's accomplished would set the table for the work, if any, that needs to be considered next.

The corollary of that would seem to be that I need to reject the contentions of a couple of you, and I'm thinking of Mr. Esserman, your first -- your April 23rd letter and Mr. Etkin's April 30 letter, that we should now have discovery, and as I read your early letter,

Mr. Esserman, what would seem to be pretty massive discovery early on and that such discovery should proceed on an expedited basis.

Once again I note that you, Mr. Esserman, are a member of the steering committee and your views may have evolved since April 23rd when you wrote that early letter.

Two. My tentative is not to interfere with the MDL's hearing now scheduled for May 29th, I think that's the date, and to permit the judicial panel and multidistrict litigation to rule on where pretrial proceedings with respect to any future litigation should proceed, but that would be under the understanding, at least under my understanding -- that's why I wanted you guys to be heard on it -- that everyone understands that to the extent I hereafter rule in a way that some or more than some of those now pending litigations before the MDL panel need to be put on hold or stopped in some other fashion, that I would be free to do that, including vis-à-vis, the multidistrict panel irrespective of what the MDL panel had accomplished up to that point in time.

Three. I share your view that anyone who's unwilling to agree to a temporary standstill that the majority seems to agree upon should come forward within a time certain either on the date that's already proposed, which I think was May 10, or some alternate date. More likely close to that, but if fairness requires a little more time that to my thinking would be okay.

Reading the submissions so far it's obvious that

Page 17 these are serious issues, and my general view -- call it a tentative or not -- is that rushing by a few days or even a few weeks on issues of this importance isn't in anybody's interest. I think we need to ascertain by a date to be agreed upon or set all of the issues that are on the table or that are to be decided even if they're not addressed as what I call Phrase I issues. I need your recommendation as to the best way to do that, and what deadline I should impose for parties to get their contentions on the table. That wouldn't necessarily mean that they should all be briefed at that early time, and in fact my expectation would be that they wouldn't be, but I want to get the law of the land on the issues that I'm going to be asked to rule upon. Related to that was Mr. Flaxer's suggestion that a date should be set by which any and all interested parties should commence adversaries similar to the one he brought if they were of a mind to. My tentative is to agree with Mr. Flaxer's point in that regard. Fifth. I want to accomplish as much as we can before we get bogged down in discovery. I like the idea of you guys agreeing on a stipulated record, but I don't like

the variant of that, which I think was proposed by

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Mr. Weisfelner, which was request for admissions. If things would be admitted they'd be stipulated to, and if they're not admitted they're going to result in disputed issues of fact as to which we're going to have to come up with some other mechanism, and Rule 35 requests for admissions is really nothing more than a cost shifting device any way.

So I want you guys when the time comes to really try to agree on everything you can agree upon consistent with your professional responsibilities and then identify issues as to which you agree to disagree and I'll decide then what to do about it.

Six. We have one adversary proceeding on file and one contested matter. Other adversaries may be filed consistent with the point Mr. Flaxer made, but at this point I have these two, we need to think about the possibility of more.

My tentative to consolidate the contested matter and any adversaries for procedural purposes. Mr. Steinberg, your letter cited decisions by Judge Lifland and Judge Walrath indicating pretty clearly holding that when you're enforcing an earlier court order you don't need to bring an adversary to do that, but many observers might agree with the judgment that Mr. Flaxer presumably made that when he wanted a declaratory judgment and he wanted some of the stuff that he asked for in there an adversary proceeding was

appropriate.

I guess the issue is whether others who are looking for things similar to what Mr. Flaxer did would need to either climb onto his adversary or bring their own adversaries. It might be appropriate for separate adversaries to be brought, although my thought would be that they would be procedurally consolidated and jointly administered as well, but I need people to focus on that.

If those adversaries are to be brought -- and many might regard that as a good idea, but I'm not forming a tentative on that -- Mr. Flaxer's point that it should happen by a fairly early date certain seems to me to be pretty persuasive. But again, that's a tentative.

Seven. While these issues mainly involve New GM some also appear to also involve Old GM or the GUC Trust, the general unsecured creditors trust, that's Old GM's successor.

It would seem to me that there's an issue as to whether there might be excusable neglect to file late claims against Old GM to the extent that I ruled that any of the claims being asserting are prepetition claims rather than post-petition claims if the ability to assert those claims wasn't known by the time that the Old GM case bar date expired.

When I was preparing for today I speculated that

issues of that character were why Mr. Golden wanted to have the opportunity to be heard.

To the extent any issues involving Old GM or the GUC Trust can be heard as matters of law my tentative is that they should be considered along with the other threshold issues and that anybody who cares about those kinds of issues should have a chance to weigh in on them.

Lastly, eight. In his April 24th letter

Mr. Flaxer raised the issue of mediation. Obviously the

idea or the prospect of meeting the two sides needs and

concerns without this monstrous battle is attractive to me.

When I was a practicing lawyer a district judge in Delaware, Joe Farnan, some of you may know him, made an impression on me and I think a bunch of other lawyers when he said that the guy in the robe would do his job but parties' needs and concerns could be better addressed by negotiation than by forcing a judge to decide issues within the four corners of what judges are allowed to decide.

And frankly it would be great if whatever money is available for injured people could go to them and not to litigation costs and attorneys' fees. I have no tentative on this, but I want people to address it by the time they're done.

So we're ready to continue. Mr. Steinberg, I'm going hear from you first, then Mr. Weisfelner, then from

Page 21 anybody who has any non-repetitive remarks to make after that. Oh, Mr. Flaxer, can I hear from you, please, after I hear from Mr. Weisfelner if you care to be heard. Mr. Steinberg. MR. STEINBERG: Thank you very much, Your Honor, and thank you for the careful consideration of the issues that have been presented. I'd like to be able to address the tentatives and then go back to the questions and then maybe find the script that I had started in connection with this hearing. Your Honor had identified the demarcation for threshold issues as that which could be done with either no discovery or very little discovery versus something that would lead to much more complex discovery, and we agree that that is a proper formulation. The one thing that we would ask Your Honor to consider, and I understand the balance here, is that we had suggested as well as I think Mr. Flaxer, that fraud on the Court would be a threshold issue. Generally we were lumping all the Rule 60 issues together, and many times when someone argues 60(d)(1), which is whether there's an equitable remedy that should be fashioned, or even the 610(b)(4), which is the procedural

due process, they usually throw in 60(d)(3), which is the

fraud on the court, whether it's proper or not, but that's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

-- but they assert those in. And so I understand that fraud on the Court may require some additional discovery, but the issue is how much additional discovery and should it be considered as well as a threshold issue?

THE COURT: You read my mind, Mr. Steinberg,
because when I thought about fraud on the Court in trying to
put myself in the role of a plaintiff's lawyer then I would
have thought that the plaintiff's lawyer would want to get
into GM's files or communications to ascertain the extent to
which behind the scenes Old GM was thinking about this
liability and not making a disclosure to me. You think
that's only modest discovery or can be limited in that
fashion or were you thinking about a different kind of
discovery that might be undertaken, vis-à-vis, that issue?

MR. STEINBERG: Well the issue about whether the Old GM professionals or the people in charge of negotiating the MSPA or the people in charge of presenting evidence to Your Honor, that would be a fairly discreet time period. I mean the bankruptcy was filed on June 1, the order approving the sale was July 5. So we don't think that necessarily the discovery from a time span is as significant. We're also fairly confident what the result would be of that -- of any of that type of discovery.

But, Your Honor, as you outline the issue if the choice that we had was to effectively piecemeal the 60(d)(3)

issue and the trade off would be a much more streamline procedure to present the legal issues -- so either there'd be no discovery or very, very little discovery -- that may be something that we're prepared to do, because we understand the logic of that. And though it's piecemealing a Rule 60 issue it may make sense under the circumstances to be able to present as many of the pure legal issues as possible.

I probably would need, and I'm sure this side of the table probably needs the opportunity to talk to their other people as well too to see whether they agree with my formulation, but I certainly understand the logic of it and if there was an agreement that there would be little or no discovery and we would just try to stipulate as much as we could to a stipulated record that may be a good avenue to go forward.

Your Honor, in trying to address one of your other tentatives, because I think it ties into a number of different issues, you'll see that -- that in our agenda letter we had said that the people who brought the adversary proceeding could file an amendment to the complaint by May 14th, provided that it doesn't object to the substance of what we agree to as the procedure going forward today. So if they want to restate what they think their claims are and perhaps try to make sure that it was more inclusive of

other people then that's fine.

And you see that in Mr. Weisfelner's letter that he talked about filing an amended complaint in the -- in the MDL action as a procedural issue, which we don't think is a procedural issue, we actually think it's a substantive issue. But both things -- both of those issues evolve around one of the tentative --

THE COURT: Forgive me, Mr. Steinberg, I lost you there. I thought you said filing an amended complaint in the MDL action. I thought that my only connection with the MDL action is I guess I have the power to put it on hold, but what else do I have to do with the MDL action?

MR. STEINBERG: No, Your Honor, I was trying to lead to a point, but I was merely saying that there was a point of disagreement in the letters as to whether the agreement to allow them to go forward on the May 29th hearing and that it wouldn't be stayed and that it would be for purely administrative matters, and we were disagreeing as to whether the filing of an amended complaint in the MDL action would be an administrative matter or a substantive matter.

But the point that I was trying to connect between these things is that -- is that the filing of an amended complaint by Mr. Flaxer or a recitation to file a consolidated complaint to try to get all those theories

together is really trying to address Your Honor's tentative ruling about wanting to know what are the bankruptcy-related issues, what is -- what is it that they think that they can go forward on that -- that would not otherwise be foreclosed by the sale order?

All of those things are touching the same thing, and my suggestion in light of your -- the tentatives and in thinking about it and the reviewing the letters is that the issue of whether they should file a complaint in the MDL action or not should be -- should in effect be deferred until the next status conference, and that one of the things that: we should be doing between this status conference and the next status conference is to try to decide what we had called in our agenda letter the bankruptcy-related issues that are not the threshold issues, to try to define what it is that we ultimately are going ask Your Honor to set forth, because that's the exercise that's imbedded in doing either the amended complaint to the adversary proceeding or the amended complaint to try to coalesce all of these Those are the issues that someone will have to complaints. decide are bankruptcy-related issues or survive and should go forward without, and that's the exercise that I think should be done, and I don't think we should reach a firm decision as to whether they should be doing anything more than -- on the MDL proceeding to go forward on May 29th, do

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the things like selection of lead counsel, the things that we can agree are purely administrative, and we should defer consideration of the amended complaint issue until the next status conference.

THE COURT: But matters of the character that the MDL could appropriately determine in your view could include whether the pretrial proceedings take place in say California on the one hand or New York on the other?

MR. STEINBERG: For the MDL I think the MDL should be able to select which forum is going to go forward on generally the MDL action to the extent that the MDL action will ever go forward.

THE COURT: Okay. Continue, please.

MR. STEINBERG: The -- Your Honor, with regard to the -- your tentative ruling on the stipulated record and that we don't do admissions, that is essentially what we have been trying to urge on the plaintiffs.

One of the issues was that we had discussions separately with one group versus another group and they had differing views on certain issues. And even with the group that had a larger issue what we were getting to some extent was the lowest common denominator. When you have 15 people having suggestions sometimes you get 15 suggestions because no one really wants to whittle it down and they leave it up to us to do it.

We urge to do a stipulated record under the theory
that it's too early to do admissions, it is a really just
a cost shifting issue as Your Honor had identified, and it
leads to a dialogue. If they if they propose that they
want us to agree to something instead of me answering as I
would answer an admission I'd be sitting there saying I
can't do that but I can do something different and then we
would have an iterative dialogue to be able to try to
present what the issues are and then I wouldn't have to try
to do the reflexive issue, which is that if you want
admissions then maybe I have admissions that I want to ask
of you. Did you know of the bankruptcy proceeding? Did you
know of a problem with your car? Those things and try to
identify those issues, which may be relevant to certain of
the issues whether it's that they may tangentially relate
to the fraud on the Court issue, which may be off the table
now, but so I said stay with the stipulation and if we
can't agree to it we'll have a status conference in June and
we'll tell the judge this is as far as we could get and we
couldn't get all the way there, and if we couldn't agree on
everything then you could propose what kind of limited
discovery you think you need to conclude those facts that
are necessary to determine the purely legal issue. We'll be
able to evaluate it. And then if we can't agree with that
we'd be before Your Honor on something specific and

concrete.

And the problem that we were having between now and May 2nd is that there was a lot of general propositions that were asserted and many times the devil is in the detail, and you need to know when someone says it's purely administrative it's not substantive you really need to know what they are talking about. When people say we can agree to some facts and it's not going to be big, it's going to be narrowly tailored you need to know what someone means when they say narrowly tailored, because when actually try to pin it down it becomes a lot more difficult.

So what we were proposing -- and I think there was a lot of receptivity on it from the other side -- was a walk and then run, which is give us a chance to try to do an exchange and we'll see how good we are, and give us a chance if we can't fill in all the gaps to how to complete the discovery and we'll see how good we are, and if we can't do it then I know that you're going to bridge the gap for us and then we'll both live with whatever Your Honor rules. And we're only looking to defer that consideration where we otherwise couldn't agree for like a six or seven-week period.

And the reason why we think that time period going a little longer versus shorter is better -- and I think Your Honor eluded to that as one of your tentative rulings that

sometimes things take a little longer and these serious issues -- is that until we know how they've organized -- and it's really their job to organize, but it's our burden to make sure that we're dealing with 2 groups of people, 4 groups of people, or 20 groups of people, because it becomes harder to figure out briefing schedules, potential discovery, stipulation of facts if we don't know who the people are that we're dealing with you may need to have a little more time until they get better organized to be able to do that. That's why we actually suggest in our agenda letter is just tell us if you formed a group. That has the salutary effect of at least we know who we're dealing with and Your Honor will know whether they actually formed the group, and those who decide they want to be outliers well then they will have to stand up and tell Your Honor why they need to be an outlier and the liaison groups couldn't properly be formed.

But that's all we were trying to say on that issue, which is give them an opportunity to get themselves organized and let us know how successful you were, and where you were not fully successful just let us know because we -- we on our side of the table procedurally have to deal if they're not fully organized and then ultimately Your Honor will have that same issue about how things are being presented to Your Honor.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

With regard to -- so that's why we thought we needed a little more time. And by the way, the dates that we selected in our letter were given to us by one of the plaintiff groups, and the other plaintiff group actually said, while they shortened our dates, they also said in their letter that they're flexible about the dates. So I don't think ultimately at the end of the day we're going to disagree about dates, about when we're going to be here.

I think the general proposition is that between now and some time in mid to late June when we'll have another status conference we're going to try to accomplish a stipulated record for briefing the threshold issues and to see whether there's any discovery that is it warranted or not with regard to that stipulated record.

And I would suggest also, and this is off my agenda letter, but picking off on the tentative ruling, trying to identify during that period of time the other issues which are not threshold issues, the other bankruptcy-related issues that we'd ask Your Honor to consider, and we'd be doing all of that presentation at the next status conference. And at that next status conference, to the extent that the defendants are not fully organized, that we would try to -- and it wouldn't be me, but it would be Your Honor and the plaintiffs -- try to figure out how they can, you know, get to the end to themselves more fully organized.

The tentative that you had about the GUC Trust, late-filed claims, excusable neglect, we actually think that this is an issue that should be dealt with. It is not our issue, but to the extent that they've raised or some of them have raised a procedural due process issue relating to the bar order, which was after the sale order had taken place and they're saying that they don't have a remedy -- an effective remedy against Old GM, well there is a GUC Trust, there are a number of -- there's a number of values still left in the GUC Trust. Whether they actually are a creditor, where they actually have excusable neglect I'm not trying to prejudge it, but we were urging that they shouldn't just assume that there was nothing there when there is potentially something there and they should be able to and should be almost in fact required to at least explore that as an alternative to try to get a recovery, if they're entitled to a recovery. I wasn't trying to say that they were or not.

As far as the suggestion of mediation, it is always hard to say that you're against mediation. The only thing that I would say, Your Honor, is that New GM has hired Ken Feinberg, who is a very well known person who tries to figure out how to deal with circumstances and to how to adjust situations on a non-legal base, but to try to negotiate a resolution.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Mr. Feinberg is working on the matter but he hasn't -- while studying what to do he hasn't taken it to the next step. And my own feeling about mediation is that we would like to see whether Mr. Feinberg -- what Mr. Feinberg will do and not do and let's see where the legal issues lie, but understand that the overall sentiment that Your Honor expressed, which is that at the end of the day if there's going to be a negotiated resolution you better do it -- you're better off doing and being able to pay the people who claim to have suffered injury, better off paying them than to end up building up a big load star and paying other people.

Your Honor had asked what the -- to confirm what these lawsuits were about. Your Honor was absolutely correct that under the MSPA, the asset purchase agreement upon which New GM took assets, that New GM assumed the liability for the glove box warranty, the lemon law liability, and for accidents, incidents that led to the loss of life, personal injury, or property damage for anything that took place after the sale. So if there was an Old GM vehicle that was -- got into an accident after the sale and that led to an injury issue that was something that New GM assumed the responsibility for.

These lawsuits are not those cases, and we didn't move by the way just so it's clear -- we did not move to

Page 33 enforce Your Honor's injunction for the presale accidents, which were actually retained liabilities under the MSPA. We purposely carved out the accident victims whether it's presale retained liability or post-sale assumed liability, because we wanted to focus in as to what these lawsuits were about. These lawsuits are about a claimed economic loss, the value of a car which is six, seven, eight, nine, ten years old for the loss in value because of the announcement that there was going to be an ignition switch recall and that that car had lost its value until the time that it is being repaired through the recall or not. I'm not sure if I can figure that out. THE COURT: Pause please. Maybe this question is better directed at your opponents. But is this before or after the cars were fixed? MR. STEINBERG: This --THE COURT: I mean the loss in value, because I would assume that if a car hasn't been fixed it would lose value, but I'm not sure what the view of --MR. STEINBERG: This has --THE COURT: -- parties would be after it's been fixed. MR. STEINBERG: This I don't think has anything to do with the cars being fixed or not, because by virtue of

the recall New GM is committed to fixing the cars, replacing

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the ignition switches, and to doing it tentatively now they think they'd be able to complete it by the end of October of this year. So everybody is going to have their car fixed and so the ignition switch is going to be fixed. This is a perceived loss in value of a car that has some history on it for the -- because of the announced recall for whatever that loss of value is.

So frankly in one of the individual cases that was brought in Texas where we were involved in a litigation as to whether all of the cars with the ignition switch issued should be parked. The actual lawsuit was about a 2006 Cobalt -- Chevy Cobalt which had 165,000 miles on it, and the issue was the deterioration in value of that car by virtue of the announcement of the ignition switch recall. That was what that lawsuit was about.

The injunctive relief was whether all cars should be parked because of a perceived defect between now and until it was repaired.

But that was the nature of that lawsuit, and I know that if I'm not properly characterizing how the economic losses are I'm sure that the people who'll follow me at this rostrum will be able to -- be able to do that, but that's my understanding of it.

These are people who have not had any accident, any property damage, or personal injury, this is for the --

and they are going to get compensated for -- they are going to get their -- the repair of the ignition switch by virtue of the recall, and I think that to the extent that they had to do it themselves before the recall has a provision about whether they get compensated for that as well, but this is for the perceived deterioration in the value of their car by virtue of this announcement.

Now just to make it clear too because it deals with the issue, Your Honor, as to what's, you know, the New GM conduct versus the Old GM conduct. I think Your Honor had talked about that. All of the -- all of the cars with an ignition switch issue, all of them were Old GM vehicles. By the time of the sale the ignition switch had been corrected in the cars. The recall --

THE COURT: By that you mean new cars then being constructed?

MR. STEINBERG: Right.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. STEINBERG: The issue why the recall involves some post-sale cars is a nuance difference.

What happened was someone with a new car, which had a good ignition switch, would go in to have their car repaired and there was a possibility that the person who repaired that car, which may have been a GM dealer or may have been someone totally different, they may have actually

put in an old ignition switch part. They may have taken a good part out and put a bad part in. And since New GM didn't know whether -- whether that -- which cars that occurred to it announced the recall for some post-sale cars. But the cars that would ever be impacted by this is a very, very small element, but New GM is repairing all of those ignition switches.

So the issue in our view is that we believe that everything they're talking about relates to Old GM conduct, Old GM manufactured cars, and that -- and that what they're trying to build on is the fact that under the sale order and the MSPA New GM accepted as a covenant, not an assumed liability, but a covenant, to comply with -- with the federal laws relating to recall, and they're saying that that somehow creates claims because New GM didn't recall these vehicles fast enough and that they should have done it faster. And we believe that all of that relates to -- all of those claims whether they could ever assert that as a private right of action, which we don't think is correct, we think all of that is an Old GM retained liability issue.

Now, I don't expect them to agree with my recitation of that, but that is the nuance, right, that is the issue as to why it's not a clear demarcation.

What is clear is that if New GM manufactured and sold the vehicle and anything happen to do that vehicle that

Page 37 is not a retained liability, that is a --1 THE COURT: An ordinary liability. 2 MR. STEINBERG: -- that is an ordinary New GM 3 liability. And if there was an accident that has taken 4 place based on an Old GM vehicle, that is not before Your 5 Honor, that is not part of the list of ignition switch 6 actions that we brought before Your Honor, that's going to 7 go forward in New GM, understands that New GM is defending 8 that. It's not also part of the MDL. So that is -- that is 9 why I think --10 THE COURT: Pause please, Mr. Steinberg, I'm 11 trying to keep up with you. 12 What was the very last thing you said, the nuance 13 you were making on what would still be going forward? 14 MR. STEINBERG: What is going forward is if 15 there's an accident relating to an Old GM car and if there's 16 an accident relating to a New GM manufactured car. 17 THE COURT: Any kind of accident. 18 MR. STEINBERG: Any kind of accidents are going 19 forward. 20 With regard to just the glove box warranty and the 21 lemon law, just so Your Honor understands the nuance that we 22 put in our papers, is that lemon law is defined in the MSPA, 23 it's defined as that you need to have brought it more than 24 one time to have a repair and it wasn't done. And our 25

argument is that while we did assume lemon laws none of these ignition switch actions that have been pled to date talk about having brought it once to have it repaired and it wasn't repaired and the second time it wasn't repaired to qualify within the definition of what a lemon law means for purposes of our assumption.

So I think it's correct that we did agree to assume lemon laws, but -- a lemon law type claim, but none of what is being asserted here fits within that paradigm.

If I'm wrong and there's a particular nuance out of all the lawsuits that have been brought that was one of the elements that we had asked for in our motion to enforce which is in effect to show cause, tell us why you think you're not otherwise bound, that you fit within the lemon law that we assume because of your particular fact circumstance and then we would evaluate it. Because I can make the general statement, but there may be a specific exception that I haven't accounted for, but the general statement is as far as I'm aware, based on the general pleadings that have been done, is that no one asked to have this being repaired a second time. And as far as the glove box warranty we're -- for all of these vehicles we're -- or almost all these vehicles we're outside of the glove box warranty, it's expired by this point in time.

So I think, Your Honor, with regard to the issue

that you had raised about the threshold issues we actually had thought that the issues that had been raised in the adversary proceeding under Rule 60 were all threshold issues. We understand the differences, and if it turns out we can streamline discovery significantly by taking out fraud on the Court that may be a better way to go, and we do agree also that the discrimination issue that was raised by Mr. Weisfelner in his papers is a pure legal issue. I frankly think Your Honor has decided the legal issue before, but it's a pure legal issue and we think it should be taken off the table. And frankly there's a practical reason why it should be taken off the table and we eluded to it in our papers. One of the things that Mr. Feinberg has been hired to do is to evaluate whether there's something that should be done to these prepetition accident victims, people who have actually had an accident to which are a retained liability should New GM --THE COURT: That would mean people who were injured in prepetition accidents who were only getting 30 cents on the dollar who had filed claims --MR. STEINBERG: That's correct. THE COURT: -- or who had blown the bar date but were actually hurt? MR. STEINBERG: Right. That's why Mr. -- that was

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

one of the primary reasons why Mr. Feinberg has been hired, to see whether there's something that should be done as a general basis.

on a voluntary basis for those victims, those people who actually suffered an injury from an accident that we're somehow picking up liabilities for a bunch of people who are worried about the deterioration and the value of their car then I think we need to know that, and so therefore we want to put this as an earlier issue and not a later issue. And if they want to abandon it because they don't think it's a proper issue to raise then that's okay too. We're not trying to litigate something that they're prepared to abandon, but it has been raised.

If you actually read the pleading filed by -- on this issue it makes it seem like it's a very important issue and we're prepared to meet it head on and to -- and I don't think it requires any discovery at all.

If you just bear with me just one second, Your Honor, just to go through the rest of my notes.

I think that Your Honor when we -- when I came into court and I think Your Honor summarized it correctly we had actually agreed in many concepts with the people that we had spoken with, and so there was a general understanding that they would stand down on litigation and that those who

didn't -- who weren't prepared to stand down would have to show cause as to why they think they shouldn't stand down.

And there was a recognition on our part that to the extent that we got bogged down for some reason that we couldn't envision on the threshold issues and the other bankruptcy-related issues needed to be brought to attention or that they thought that there were issues that were not bankruptcy-related issues but they had decided to in effect wait on and that they would otherwise be a part of the MDL we had agreed, and I think the date differences were end of July versus beginning of September, we would have an effective grace period but then we thought they had to come to Your Honor. If they wanted to relax the stay because they thought they were otherwise being aggrieved because this process wasn't playing out the way that they had envisioned or that they thought they --

THE COURT: You mean the process before me in terms of --

MR. STEINBERG: That's correct.

THE COURT: -- getting these issues --

MR. STEINBERG: That's right.

THE COURT: -- judicially decided?

MR. STEINBERG: They then could try to make their case before Your Honor, and we thought that that was okay.

I mean no one -- no one could quite envision exactly how

this is going to go, we wanted to have a breathing spell to make sure that this is going along in the direction that everybody thinks it's going along, but we were not looking to permanently foreclose anybody's rights if they thought an adjustment had to be made. And so if they needed to have that explicit as part of their agreement up front to stay their litigation then we were prepared to do it, and I think there was just a difference in a month, and I think our date was -- probably made more sense because of the inherent delays that we'd have in the system.

I think, Your Honor, we had agreed on most of the threshold issues and Your Honor's tentatives had addressed the rest. We had actually agreed to in effect do this in two steps, and Your Honor has properly identified that while doing it in the two steps we should make progress and try to identify what will be litigated in the second step. And I think Your Honor's tentative addressed the differences we had on stipulations of facts versus admissions and the timing of submissions.

So I think Your Honor's tentatives have bridged the gap where we differed and we were fairly close coming into the courtroom, and I think you for that and I'll turn over the rostrum to other people.

THE COURT: Before you do, please, Mr. Steinberg.

The day after you wrote your letter, I think yours

was on April 30th, I got both a letter and a black line from Mr. Weisfelner where he'd massaged what had been one of your paragraphs and he gave me a black line articulating issues that would be decided as threshold issues. Is there any difference between you and Mr. Weisfelner, that is between your thinking and his black line mark up?

MR. STEINBERG: Yes. The --

THE COURT: On that point, how so? I didn't follow that.

MR. STEINBERG: Well our original proposal included fraud on the Court being a threshold issue and they had crossed that out, so that is one difference.

The second difference was that we thought the discrimination argument was a threshold issue and they had said they didn't think it should be a threshold issue.

THE COURT: So he wanted to drop fraud on the Court from the first phase and you leaned in favor, although I thought you -- the way I heard you you didn't think of it as something you felt strongly about, you thought that with limited discovery it could be considered as a Phase I issue and you favored inclusion of the discrimination argument and you understood him to prefer not to deal with that now.

MR. STEINBERG: I think he crossed that out and asked to not deal with that, yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

Page 44 MR. STEINBERG: So --1 Thank you. 2 THE COURT: MR. STEINBERG: -- and so just to be clear, while 3 I thought fraud on the Court should be a threshold issue because it's a Rule 60 issue, to the extent that we can 5 accomplish something significant on the discovery front in 6 curtailing it then I understand clearly the logic of making 7 that a secondary issue. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 9 Mr. Weisfelner. 10 MR. WEISFELNER: Judge, thank you, I don't know 11 what Your Honor's preference is. 12 Not only have some of our thoughts matured and 13 changed over time but based on Your Honor's tentatives and 14 the questions you asked they may change even further. 15 I don't know that we can accomplish a lot in a 16 ten-minute recess, but one of my colleagues passed me the 17 note to ask if you thought it would be appropriate. If not 18 I can start and go forward and take a break whenever Your 19 Honor thinks is good. 20 THE COURT: Well if you think it would be 21 productive I'm not going to stand in the way of that, 22 Mr. Weisfelner. I don't want to use up what is relatively 23 limited time that we have if it drifts, and there are a lot 24 of people both on the phone in this courtroom and presumably 25

Page 45 in overflow courtrooms, but if you think you can usefully 1 use ten minutes I think that's a good investment. 2 MR. WEISFELNER: And, Your Honor, I think ten 3 minutes is the right -- we're either going to make progress 4 5 in ten minutes or we're not. THE COURT: Okay. 6 MR. WEISFELNER: So I wouldn't want anymore than a 7 8 ten-minute adjournment. THE COURT: Then let's recess until five to 11:00 9 10 on the clock up there. MR. WEISFELNER: Thank you, Judge. 11 THE COURT: Thank you. 12 (Recess at 10:44 a.m.) 13 THE COURT: Have seats everybody. 14 MR. WEISFELNER: Your Honor, thank you for the 15 time, I think it was well spent. 16 Judge, for the record, Edward Weisfelner, Brown 17 Rudnick LLP appearing on behalf of the Robinson Calcagnie 18 firm, and I have Mark Robinson of the firm with us in court 19 today as well as Haigins Berman (ph), and as Your Honor has 20 indicated while they reserve the right obviously to correct 21 me where I go wrong we are working closely together with 22 Sander Esserman of Stutzman, Bromberg, Esserman & Plifka, as 23 well as Elihu Inselbuch of Caplin & Drysdale, and as I think 24 Your Honor knows the collective plaintiff group has also 25

asked the three of us to coordinate our activities as we deem necessary with Ms. Siganowski (ph) of the Otterbourg firm, and we will utilize her services as appropriate and necessary.

Judge, I want to as Mr. Steinberg did address your tentatives, move on to your questions and avoid merits, name calling, and the other no-noes that Your Honor laid out, but I would like to note a couple of factors that I think are relevant and bleed directly interest your tentative ands your questions.

What one may characterize as part of the good news there's lots of information in the public domain regarding the defect that's the subject of the recall. Lots in the public domain about who knew what when.

I characterize that as good news to the extent that, and as Mr. Robinson has indicated to me, in his many, many years of litigating in the auto products field both in terms of Toyota, the Ford Pinto, claims against GM, it's rare that you see this level of information already in the public domain before discovery or formal discovery between the parties necessarily starts. That's part of the good news.

Part of the bad news is, depending on your perspective, but I think it's a relevant factor in understanding how the parties can or can't get together in

terms of the timing of the resolution of the issues, the fact of the matter is that New GM, as we understand it, is the subject of a -- it's a term of art -- boatload of regulatory investigations. We are aware of congressional investigations, and maybe there's more than one, at least one attorney general investigation, an SEC investigation. We understand that New GM has commenced its own internal investigation, and I may have run out of fingers to count just how many investigations they're currently the subject of.

I mention those because one could imagine a sensitivity on the part of a corporate entity to necessarily engage in discovery during the pendency and/or before investigations of both civil and potential criminal consequences are concluded. And I can only advise Your Honor that I think it behooves both sides to take the reality of what's going on in the marketplace into consideration with regard to the timing of discovery or the narrowing of issues between the parties. There are other factors that might influence either side of the tables' speed with regard to those issues.

Your Honor, to address the tentatives.

First of all I think from a starting perspective, and I was unavailable for another meeting among plaintiffs that took place yesterday in New York, but I've gotten a

download, and I'm not blaming Mr. Steinberg, Your Honor ought to know that with one outlier, and only one outlier that I'm aware of, the plaintiffs as a group are on the same page and intend, unless I or Elihu or Sander slip up, to allow one or the other of us to speak for the group, and I presume that outlier will speak for him or herself at an appropriate time.

And I also understand that the difference of opinion between all of the plaintiffs and this one single plaintiff really comes down to what ought the threshold issues be that the parties work towards preparing and presenting to Your Honor for as efficient resolution as is possible. And it boils down to a distinction between whether or not we focus our collective attention on the what we think is the right threshold issue, whether or not parties impacted by this ignition switch problem were denied due process, and if so what's the appropriate remedy?

They would, the outliers would like to put on the table as part of the threshold issue a determination of whether or not there was fraud on the Court. And, Your Honor, again, for reasons that we can delve into I don't think they're necessarily appropriate for today because there'll be another status conference where I think whatever remaining differences there are between the plaintiffs taken as a group and New GM can and will be resolved down to the

details of timing for discovery, briefing, and subsequent hearings.

Your Honor, the next tentative you talked about was the MDL proceedings and I'd like to unpack that just as a matter of fact into two parts, because I think as to part number one there is unanimity in the entirety of the courtroom. All plaintiffs and New GM as to what happens in step one, and as I understand it only a very narrow disagreement on what I'll call step number two.

And, Your Honor, please forgive me because the one thing I'm not is a class action or tort lawyer, I'm just a measly bankruptcy lawyer, but this is what I understand the two parts to be.

Part number one, on May 29th in Chicago before a joint panel on multidistrict litigation, which I understand consists of some seven Article III judges, that panel will determine the venue for any further multidistrict litigation consideration, and I've been told that the panel has under consideration --

THE COURT: Pause. When you put it that way I wasn't clear on whether you were talking about it consistent with my understanding of what would be done by the judicial panel and multidistrict litigation. Is this 28 U.S.C. 1407?

MR. WEISFELNER: Yes, it is, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Which as I understood it addresses the

Page 50 locale for pretrial proceedings in multiple litigation after 1 which when the pretrial proceedings end they're farmed back 2 to whatever districts, venue would otherwise be appropriate? 3 MR. WEISFELNER: Correct. So --THE COURT: Now were you meaning -- forgive me. 5 Were you meaning to say something different than my -- what 6 I just said? 7 MR. WEISFELNER: No, other that where I think we 8 all agree is that nothing is going to interfere with, and 9 none of the parties or the Court, nor will the Court be 10 asked to interfere with the activities of the joint panel on 11 the 29th, which we all understand to mean that they'll pick 12 an ultimate venue for MDL proceedings as between Michigan, 13 California, New York, or some other jurisdiction. 14 Where we appear to have a difference of view, as I 15 heard Mr. Steinberg discuss the issues before Your Honor, 16 was how far should the MDL go once it receives the case some 17 time after May 29th? 18 THE COURT: By that you mean the temporary 19 transferee court after it's been transferred by the panel? 20 MR. WEISFELNER: Correct. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 MR. WEISFELNER: And as I understand it what that 23 court will do is procedural, it will among other things 24 select lead and/or liaison counsel not for bankruptcy 25

purposes but for purposes of actually trying the case, and as typically happens would require that the many complaints filed against New GM -- and as I understand it they're up to some 60 plus different class action complaints -- be procedurally consolidated into a single complaint, a process that my guess will take a period of time, and certainly a period of time beyond what we anticipate to be the next status conference before Your Honor.

But we wouldn't want the record of this or any other proceeding before Your Honor to be used or cited for the proposition that from Your Honor's perspective getting the complaints narrowed down to a single complaint, doing whatever else it is that the MDL judge typically does, which is figure out which counsel they're going to for lack of a better word lead the fray, there should be nothing that impacts that procedural mechanism from moving forward. It's going to in our view at least get the parties or — and the issues that may ultimately be tried narrowed and get the disbursed plaintiffs' groups better organized on the merits should they ever get to the merits.

THE COURT: Pause, please, Mr. Weisfelner.

MR. WEISFELNER: Certainly.

THE COURT: Can you envision a scenario under which rulings by me might affect the extent to which claims remain which would then be the subject of gathering up and

bundling in that amended complaint?

MR. WEISFELNER: Certainly, and again, this is just my opinion, but when viewed from the perspective of judicial economy if there is a single complaint and Your Honor were then to determine what's kosha (ph) and what's unkosha (ph) about that amended complaint one has an easier vehicle to start making chops to.

As opposed to, and it sort of bleeds into some of your other tentatives and some of your other questions, have a multiplicity of lawsuits and then having to parse each and every one of them to determine what portion of the allegations, the complaints, the prayers for relief does or doesn't violate or do violence to Your Honor's directive as it currently stands or as it may ultimately morph after this procedure currently before you develops.

THE COURT: You said what I had anticipated that you would say. The corollary of that would at least seemingly be that after the panel sends it wherever it's supposed to go, and I'll call it the transferee judge, even though it may eventually go back somewhere or to different places, that there simply be a stop, look, and listen, visàvis, interfering or not interfering with the acts of the transferee judge after determinations have been made in this court and everybody in this room has had his chance to speak his peace.

MR. WEISFELNER: And, Your Honor, I think like many things in life it's all a matter of timing. Because I anticipate the transferee court is never going to get around to the job of figuring out what's the next procedural steps to narrow the issues that may be before him or her. I think we'll be further advanced on the issues that need to be resolved by Your Honor, and the coordination between Your Honor's decision making process and what does or doesn't happen in the MDL will be much further advanced.

So while I'm not sure that it benefits anyone to pursue this in any greater detail, my only point with regard to this is I detected a difference between where we come out, where I thought New GM was coming out on this, and what I heard Mr. Steinberg say earlier this morning, which is we have to leave open the possibility that the MDL proceedings may be put on ice simply because this process is still ongoing without a resolution.

THE COURT: Well stand by. Mr. Steinberg, come on up and take Mr. Weisfelner's place for a second.

Is there a substantive disagreement here? Because I thought I was hearing consensus that we'd let the MDL panel decide who the transferee district should be and then we're going to have stuff that goes on here.

Would you have a substantive or procedural problem with doing a stop, look, and listen in this court to then

Page 54 decide whether I should enjoin the transferee judge from 1 doing anything more, or should not do so? 2 MR. STEINBERG: Your Honor, I would agree with 3 everything that you say except that I would assume that you 4 would be enjoining the parties not the court from moving 5 forward. 6 THE COURT: Correct. And I don't think in 13 and 7 a half years I've ever enjoined a court, but I enjoin 8 parties all the time. 9 MR. STEINBERG: Then other than that, Your Honor, 10 I agree with exactly what you said. 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 All right, Mr. Weisfelner, I think that issue just 13 went away so come on up and let's proceed. 14 MR. WEISFELNER: Great. 15 Your Honor, we take your points to heart with 16 regard to tentatives three and four both with regard to the 17 propriety of standstill agreements and your admonition that 18 we don't necessarily -- we shouldn't necessarily be rushing 19 in favor of getting it right. 20 One area where I think the parties may need some 21 additional time with each other but maybe we could explore 22 in a little bit more detail Your Honor's tentative with 23 regard to new complaints along the lines of what Mr. Flaxer 24 25 filed.

And I will tell Your Honor frankly that before

Mr. Flaxer hit the docket with his complaint I know I and my
shop and I venture to guess many other shops were working on
similar complaints.

Viewed from our perspective is the right procedural mechanism for bringing the issue before Your Honor; however, once we had the advent of New GM's motion frankly I'm not sure what the procedural advantage is of moving forward with that adversary proceeding complaint much less inviting other parties to replicate it or to file additional or add-on adversary proceeding complaints. may -- it may involve some interesting work by a bunch of bankruptcy and/or class action firms. I think it's just going to clog the docket here, and I think procedurally we were of the view that rather than lose any of the allegations or procedural advantages that are perceived or actually exist in the adversary proceeding they all ought to be subsumed within the contested matter. Parties ought to be afforded an opportunity to file their own objections to the motion, join in our objection to the motion, or anything in between.

But I'm not sure, nor do my colleagues feel, that there's necessarily a substantive or procedural advantage to separating the adversary proceeding and giving it a life of its own even for the purposes of inviting other people to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

file new adversary proceedings.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I partly lost you with the negative that was in your last sentence. In other words you're saying the formalities aren't important, put it in a big bundle and just decide it all together or am I --

MR. WEISFELNER: That's exactly --

THE COURT: -- stating it too crudely?

MR. WEISFELNER: No, that's -- well, you couldn't have stated it any cruder than I would have had I thought about it, but that's exactly our sentiment, you know, let's have one bundle and not have separate adversary proceedings and separate contested matters, let along invite people to file new adversary proceedings that address the same issue. And I think the parties did intend on conferring with each other on appropriate procedural mechanisms to allow that ball of wax to form without violating anybody's procedural or substantive rights. And I think we can come up with in very short order, certainly before the next status conference, the procedural mechanism that we think is appropriate. But what we would like to avoid is either the necessity or the thought out there that people better rush to file, you know, identical or new or expanded adversary proceedings.

THE COURT: Now that's a different point than the separate -- at least in your mind from the separate point

that I thought I was making that if there are any substantive issues on the table that haven't been potentially to be put on the table that I want to hear what those points are.

MR. WEISFELNER: And I think that can be readily accommodated by virtue of setting a date by which parties will want to respond to the motion that New GM has filed. I mean we obviously filed within, and I think before the expiration of 24 hours. Obviously there may be people out there with further reflection that come up with better, different, more expansive responses and we don't want to preclude that. We just don't want to get into a (indiscernible - 01:19:05) of a separate docket for an adversary proceeding, a separate docket for contested motion practice, and any possibility that, you know, the resolution of those issues shouldn't be at some point joined. And again, I think the parties can work out a proposal for Your Honor's consideration that deals with melding together the adversary proceeding and the contested matter.

Number five, Your Honor, which I guess was the issue between stipulations and admissions. And, Your Honor, I think the answer is we get it and the parties will work as best they can on stipulations and will only elevate the heat intention as we have to both in terms of narrowing discovery and avoiding unnecessary contests that have to be determined

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

by this Court. And again, you know, I'm focusing on all of this from the perspective of the what we've referred to as the gaiting issue.

And this -- and I want to sort of then flip to the questions that Your Honor asked, and either attempt to respond to them or tell you why I'd like to evade them as best I can.

And again, you know, I'm focusing on all of this from the perspective of the what we've referred to as the gaiting issue. And this -- and I want to sort of then flip to the questions that Your Honor asked, and either attempt to respond to them or tell you why I'd like to abade them as best I can.

THE COURT: Before you move on to those, please,
Mr. Weisfelner, the one issue that I still see as open
between you and Mr. Steinberg is with respect to two issues
that might or might not be addressed as part of Phase I, the
most classic threshold issues, fraud on the Court and
discrimination amongst different kinds of creditors.

My preference would be in terms of meeting my own responsibilities would be to get issues on the table and teed up for judicial determination, and to the extent practical decided sooner rather than later, which would cause me to come to the view that on fraud on the Court, if

www.veritext.com

we could deal with that without having the associated discovery bog us all down, it would be handled sooner rather than later and the same thing with discrimination, which doesn't seem to involve discovery issues.

I sense that you would prefer to defer fraud on the Court, but would you be of the same mind to defer it if just the limited discovery of the type that Mr. Steinberg recommended were undertaken so that issue could be teed up with the others?

MR. WEISFELNER: Your Honor, we would be opposed to it and let me explain why.

First of all we share Your Honor's perspective that issues that could resolve matters from the perspective of either side where discovery can be limited ought to be preferred on issues that potentially don't decide the matter even if they don't require a lot of discovery.

So let me take the easier example first, the discrimination issue, raised in retrospect unfortunately in my papers as opposed to anybody else's. And, Your Honor, it seems to me that we could brief that issue at whatever cost is required. It doesn't require discovery. Your Honor could make a ruling.

And notwithstanding how you rule I don't think it gets the plaintiffs any closer to trying claims against New GM or for that matter New GM any closer to preventing the

plaintiffs' claims from moving forward based on their reliance on the injunction and the sale order. It's an interesting issue but it's in no event dispositive of either parties' position on the fundamental issue.

For that reason, even though I was the one who first raised it and frankly raised it before I understood the entire history behind the metamorphous that the final sale order took on the carve out for wrongful death, injury, and property damage, which as I understood it originally what New GM was purporting to assume was wrongful death, personal injury, property damage solely with regard to cars that it sold post-petition or post-sale rather, and it morphed at the direction in part of various attorneys generals and consumer advocates.

THE COURT: In the middle of the trial.

MR. WEISFELNER: Sorry?

THE COURT: In the middle of the sale trial.

MR. WEISFELNER: Right.

THE COURT: Yeah, I remember the history.

MR. WEISFELNER: Okay.

THE COURT: Oh, by the way I'm going to interrupt you. I want each side not to tell me today but to think about the extent to which I'm allowed to use my knowledge of what happened back then in connection with the findings of fact.

MR. WEISFELNER: Well, Your Honor, I could tell
you now without even consulting with my colleagues, unless
Your Honor were to be willing to undergo a lobotomy I don't
know how anyone could take the position that Your Honor
cannot, should not, or may not take into account your
knowledge and familiarity with what transpired during the
bankruptcy proceeding and in fact during post-reorganization
or post-restructuring matters that were brought to Your
Honor's attention.
But I want to sort of get back to
THE COURT: Pause.
MR. STEINBERG: I was going to without
inferring whether there should be a lobotomy or not I was
going to say that we agree with Mr. Weisfelner as well, that
you should be able to take into account your position.
THE COURT: Okay. Fair enough.
Go on then, please, Mr. Weisfelner.
MR. WEISFELNER: Any way, Your Honor, I'm sort of
getting back to what we ought to be collectively spending
time and attention on.
From the plaintiffs' perspective we ought to be
spending time and attention, which converts into money and
effort, in dealing with as narrow a set of facts that we
have to deal with to determine whether or not the sale order
applies to our underlying clients.

The discrimination argument, Your Honor, may be left on the table in the unlikely from my perspective and unfortunate event that we lose the threshold issue. But why it needs to be determined today, even though it's an issue of law and not a matter to discovery, it's not dispositive from either sides' perspective, it doesn't get us closer to where either one of us wants to get to.

And if I could then turn to the fraud on the Court issue.

Your Honor, there are subtleties on top of subtleties on top of details that suggest to us that you could not make a determination with regard to fraud on the Court with anywhere close to the narrow discovery that Mr. Steinberg suggests. And it's sort of all subsumed I think or fear in the whole due process argument, and without in any way trying to argue the merits but just to lay out what the issues are as objectively as I can without tilting them in either direction, remembering again that there's a lot of information in the public record about what GM knew when they knew it with regard to the ignition switch.

I think that New GM would say, well, wait a second, determining GM's -- Old GM's knowledge and for that matter New GM's knowledge isn't necessarily determined -- and I use this very bad analogy but I'll give it to you any way -- by focusing on the guy in the test laboratory who's

got grease up to his elbows and is wearing overalls. That person may have knowledge, but it may not necessarily be imputed to someone sitting in a conference room who has the luxury of wearing a suit and tie every day. And I think New GM may ultimately argue that Joe the mechanic's knowledge isn't to be imputed into an executive office let along a board room.

Now frankly we're encouraged by the fact that plenty of people who wore suits and white collars have already put their position on the record or it's otherwise discoverable through things that the National Highway Safety Council has made available or the Congress has made available or what we can read and report on in the press, but to suggest that we can or should pursue fraud on the Court to my mind and gender is a discovery dispute at three different levels by the way. Old GM, New GM, and based on not my intuitions, but my discussions, I think we're going to get into a discussion of what treasury in its role as the intermediary between Old GM and New GM knew or didn't know.

And as much as I like spending time with Matt

Feldman and Jim Milstein (ph) and Harry Wilson, I don't know that I necessarily want to get involved in discovery of what any of those people knew or should have known in the context of proving --

THE COURT: You used the word should have known.

Since when is should have known an element of a claim of fraud against the Court?

MR. WEISFELNER: Your Honor, I'm not sure that it is, which is another reason why when I think about this, and maybe I think about it in an overly simplistic fashion, but I have the comfort of knowing that my co-counsel thinks about it the exact same way, in fact all of the plaintiffs think about it exactly the same way with the exception of one possibly outlier, and that is if I start with the proposition, understanding that it's a proposition and not a proven fact, that the consumers of this product were known to have had a defective product and that Old GM did nothing to let those people know that they had a defective product, didn't give them notice of the bankruptcy, didn't give them notice of the sale, and didn't give them notice of the extent to which the sale could affect their rights, if our contentions are accurate isn't it the case that these individuals were deprived of due process?

In that context should the sale order apply to them or should some portion of the sale order apply to them?

Not a revocation of the sale order, we're not going cut it up and carve it out and chop it up as it relates to anybody else other than people who prove to you that they were denied due process.

Why we need to then get into at this stage the

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

other elements of fraud on the Court, Your Honor, we respectfully suggest is beyond what we ought to be doing if we want to do something efficient and effective from the perspective of these injured parties.

THE COURT: Do you think that for the purposes solely of my case management discretionary calls, as contrasted to the merits in figuring out how we should tee these things up, it's appropriate for me to assume that there might be a difference between defrauding the driving public on the one hand and defrauding the Court on the other?

MR. WEISFELNER: Yes. And, Your Honor, I'd make the distinction though, we're not defrauding the driving public, that's not our contention. Our contention is that the number of people who bought, leased, or owned these cars, and to my knowledge, the number is something below 3 million, I could be wrong, so it's not the driving public, it's these specific people that were sold cars with this ignition switch problem.

And again, this is not the place or time to get into this, so then I won't, I just want to get back to your issue. I do think that it's a matter of Your Honor's discretion in setting our own calendar in terms of dealing with dispositive issues first.

If Your Honor were to decide that these people

were denied due process, and therefore, the injunction that

New GM bargained for should not apply to them, case over,

from our perspective.

It's only if Your Honor were to decide there was no denial of due process, that we may want to ask Your Honor to tee up and consider other issues. Until that time, I think it's a matter of case management and Your Honor's discretion, that's the right way to go. And I say that because we've thought about it, and we think it's the right way to go, not to be determinative of what Your Honor decides in terms of exercising your own discretion.

But we clearly think it's the easy way to go, and I'm not sure I understand how expanding either the factual issue or the legal issue into fraud on the Court serves the purpose of narrowing the issues and letting the parties and the Court get to the -- a resolution in the most cost-effective manner possible.

Now, Your Honor, I'm happy to sort of move on to the questions that Your Honor had.

THE COURT: Go ahead. And I'm going to do this in such a fashion as I possibly can, so as not to insult the Court. But you asked what's left its engendered so much heat, and with all -- in other words, what are the damages that people could possibly be concerned about here, since wrongful death, personal injury, and property damage are off

the table.

And Mr. Steinberg in his opening tried to -- or talked to you about five, six, seven, eight-year old cars driven a lot of miles that have a broken switch that GM's prepared to fix, so what are the damages.

Oh, and I think he mixed in the fact that we're talking about a pretty cheap set of vehicles, Chevy Cobalts and other such cars. And, Your Honor, in the simplest terms, it's our view that the measure of damages that plaintiffs could prove were they permitted to pursue claims against New GM, notwithstanding your injunction, is a matter for determination by a court of competent jurisdiction who doesn't have New GM waving the injunction in front of it.

Once that injunction is gone, Your Honor's question is really within the bailiwick of Court's interpreting state law, federal --

THE COURT: Forgive me, with respect to you, Mr.

Weisfelner, that isn't the purpose of my question. The

purpose of my question is to ascertain the extent to which

claims your guys want to bring, is or is not within the

scope of the existing sale order, which is the question

which we start with after which we then determine the extent

to which the provisions of that sale order are in whole or

in part unenforceable against your constituency.

MR. WEISFELNER: Ah.

THE COURT: So please do not restate or misunderstand my question.

MR. WEISFELNER: Thank you, Your Honor. I did -I misunderstood it completely.

I should call to Your Honor's attention, and I'm hoping that this is in the process of being fixed, because I've been told that's in the process of being fixed, but one would hope that as this process moves forward and the parties reach consensus on how to form and present the issues in the most effective way, that we don't have exacerbation of the problem or the issue.

We were told the story about an individual who in connection with the recall went to his or her dealer to have this ignition switch fixed, and was presented by the dealer with a form that she was being told she had to sign before the work could be done on her car.

And the form, while I haven't seen it, I'm told, either had the individual consenting to arbitration of any issue that may arise in connection with the work that was being done and/or contained a waiver of any claims that could be asserted in connection with any of the work that's being done.

Now, I'm told that these issues were brought to New GM's attention and New GM has or is in the process of ensuring through communication with its dealers that the

fixing of the switch is not to be conditioned on parties signing anything that may impact their claims or causes of action going forward, and that to the extent that people have already signed anything as a precondition to having their car dealt with on a recall, that it won't be enforced or sought to be enforced by New GM.

The other thing I want to bring to Your Honor's attention, and again, it's not within my bailiwick, except that I've heard enough about it from underlying plaintiffs' lawyers and have read enough about it is, there is not an agreement between this side of the courtroom, meaning the plaintiff's side --

THE COURT: Pause please, Mr. Weisfelner.

Right after you told me that anecdote, which troubled me, as it would trouble most folks I think, you said that when GM, New GM heard about it, it pulled the plug on that deal -- issue acting that way, and told them, you didn't use these words, you, jerk, you can't do that. So why did you tell me that?

MR. WEISFELNER: I told you that for at least two reasons. Having New GM tell the dealers to stop acting like jerks may or may not cause the new dealers -- the underlying dealers and the fixers, guys who are dealing with the recall, to stop acting like jerks. And I just wanted to let Your Honor know that we are concerned about people acting

like jerks on a going forward basis.

The second reason I brought it to Your Honor's attention is, to the extent that people have historically signed the pieces of paper that the jerks gave them to review, I haven't seen anything in the record other than an oral communication that said New GM will not hold those releases or agreements to arbitrate against the plaintiffs, I raise it now only because for all of our benefit, we'd like to see something about this in writing at some point.

I brought it up in the context of Your Honor's concern about presale conduct and post-sale conduct, and Your Honor, the plaintiffs very much agree that to the extent that one could readily distinguish between actions that go to New GM's conduct, that they can't, as Mr. Steinberg indicated, properly be the subject of the injunction.

But the devil is also in the details on this one because we're not --

THE COURT: Pause for a second. Mr. Steinberg,

I'm going to give you another chance to be heard, why don't

you sit down for now.

MR. WEISFELNER: In terms of what constitutes New GM's actions versus Old GM's actions, you heard at least one example of how it's difficult, and that is New GM does a recall and could arguably be replacing the ignition switch,

not with a new ignition switch, but with an old ignition switch, or that parties are concerned that, you know, they went to their dealer, they got a new ignition switch, they don't know now whether it was a recalled ignition switch or an old switch.

But, Your Honor, and again, I just mention this, not because I think it needs to be resolved, or because I have any evidence to prove it's true, but a lot of what we're reading suggests that calling this an ignition switch defect is an impermissible narrowing of what the issues are.

The ignition switch may or may not have been the cause of air bag failure to deploy. The fixing of the ignition switch, given the electronic calibrations between the switch and the air bags may or may not address the air bag problem. I don't know the answer to any of this.

Other than to tell you again, when we parse out or attempt to parse out actions against New GM for New GM conduct, or things that New GM definitively agreed to assume as part of the sale process, versus actions that could arguably or do, in fact, implicate the injunction that's part of the sale order is, for lack of a better term, easier said than done.

Nevertheless, the plaintiffs as a whole do reserve the right if this process gets bogged down or takes too long, to say, you know what, maybe the quickest thing to do

is to spend the time and energy that hopefully we won't have to, to parse through whatever's been filed, and to demonstrate to Your Honor that the allegations that are being made, the liability that's being ascribed, and the damages sought to be obtained as they relate to New GM conduct do not implicate Your Honor's injunction.

For now, however, we'd prefer not to get into all of those potentially dicey issues, as to what does and what doesn't constitute a direct claim against New GM that is outside of the injunction, at least until the parties work hard on trying to get to a position where the due process issue gets teed up for Your Honor's consideration.

And if we can do that in an effective vehicle and quickly, then all of the other noise that may be necessary down the road could be avoided. Because whether it's actions against New GM or actions that New GM contends they're not liable for because of the injunction, if the injunction is dissolved as to this group, because of lack of fundamental due process, it doesn't matter.

So I'd prefer, we collectively would prefer to deal with that issue as, when and if it does matter.

I'm going to skip over the lemon law issues, because I don't think we have much difference of view with regard to the answer that you got from Mr. Steinberg. I do want to stress on your question number four, the inability

to get together.

The plaintiffs are together, and with the exception of again one outlier on the issue of what ought to be part of the threshold and what not be part of the threshold, there's not a plaintiff group that we're aware of that isn't prepared to have their interests in the first instance, represented by one of the three of us, with consultation with Ms. Cyganowski, subject, of course, their ability to stand up and say, hey, they didn't present my issue. But we have a commonality of position, a commonality of interest, and a desire to work collectively through these three lawyers.

I'm just trying to see if there was anything else. You've heard our views with regard to an adversary proceeding versus motion practice. I didn't touch on the impact on Old GM and the GUC Trust. And I liked Your Honor took comfort in the fact that Mr. Golden is here, as I do take comfort any time Mr. Golden shows up anywhere.

Look, Your Honor, it's obvious, and you get it, that one of the arguments that New GM may make is if these individuals were damaged or deprived of due process, let's not jump to the conclusion that the right remedy is to have the injunction not apply to them.

Instead let's consider the alternative remedy of having them all get shifted into the category of late filed

claims, judicially acknowledged late filed claims, will now, as part of a bankruptcy process, go through a procedure for determining what those claims might be worth individually or on some class basis.

And when that process is all over, then we can let the GUC Trust and its beneficiaries know that their expected future dividends may have to be adjusted or wiped out in order to allow these new beneficiaries of the trust to, in effect, catch up on distributions that have already been made, if in fact, that can be done as a matter of practicality.

And I anticipate that holders of the units including Mr. Golden's clients and others may very well have an opinion about that.

Again, it seems to me that before we ever get near that thorny issue, where lots of people are going to be impacted, and it may not be practical, if we resolve the threshold issue of whether, because of lack of due process the injunction ought not to apply, then we never get into this issue. Unless someone were to argue that notwithstanding the denial of due process the right remedy is not let the injunction dissolve, but the right remedy is somehow to treat these people as if they had late filed claims, and will now just dilute all of the other beneficiaries of the GUC Trust.

Your last point was on mediation, and like Mr.

Steinberg, I agree that litigation is inherently wasteful,
time consuming, and not a very efficient way of resolving
matters, and that whenever possible, mediation is the way to
go.

I just am concerned that given where I started, which is to identify, as I'm sure Your Honor knows, the multiplicity of investigations that are currently underway. Just what the role of Ken Fineberg is, just how much money Mr. Fineberg may have at his disposal to attempt to resolve issues, while we would collectively prefer to mediate than litigate, I'm not sure that the environment is such today that we're presented with that effective choice.

Should circumstances change, as I think Your Honor knows very well, the plaintiffs are as willing to attempt to resolve issues notwithstanding how prepared they'll be to prove their cases and collect their appropriate damages.

Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Flaxer.

MR. FLAXER: Thank you, Your Honor. I note that I'm working in conjunction as co-counsel with the firm of Wolf Halthenstein (ph) which is here by counsel.

Perhaps, Your Honor, I should jump right into an issue that was maybe the only area where the plaintiff group wasn't able to come to complete consensus. And Your Honor

added some thoughts to it that I think shed a lot of light and were actually extremely helpful in my own thinking about it. Which is in identifying the threshold issues what the sort of philosophical line of demarcation should be and if I heard correctly one notion that Your Honor suggested was things that can be decided on a legal basis, without the necessity for discovery, but that's -- I'm going to sort of pause there, and say discovery, we've talked about a possibility of limited discovery as opposed to more extensive discovery.

So -- and I think that's an important point to keep in mind. Our view has been that the claim of fraud on the Court, which the objection to the motion and which our adversary proceeding both assert, our concern has been that it's difficult to separate it out from the lack of due process point because although superficially I suggest it might be a -- maybe that's not the right word, but it might be -- it may seem that since fraud on the Court is sort of a more broad remedy or has more prongs to it that maybe need to be established that the discovery in establishing that claim would be much broader and take a lot more time.

As I step back from it, and think about it, if there's going to be discovery on a due process violation, I think when the actual discovery process gets going, the discovery on those two claims will be basically the same.

And I think Your Honor got into --

THE COURT: Wait. I was keeping up with you, Mr. Flaxer, until you said basically the same. Obviously under the covers of all this, is that fraud generally is subject to a time limitation, if I recall correctly, it's one of your words, fraud on the Court, it's not, and that's the difference between 60(b) and 60(d).

But I wasn't clear after that what the distinction you were making was.

MR. FLAXER: The distinction I'm making is that if a due process violation is going to be a threshold issue, and we're going to wind up taking discovery on that issue, then as a matter of judicial economy, it may be wiser to include fraud on the Court at that point, because the discovery is likely to be I think extraordinarily similar if not identical.

THE COURT: I'm not inclined to differ with you in that regard, Mr. Flaxer, but I thought the consensus until you spoke was that other folks in the room who spoke before me thought that due process could be addressed at least in major respects without any discovery.

MR. FLAXER: And if -- and my view on that is, I'm -- what I would say is, that may or may not be right. So maybe what we ought to do here to sort of resolve everything for today at least, is let's proceed with the process of

developing stipulations of facts, and lawyers from both sides will work together on that. And when we come back for the next time, I think the parties will be able to advise the Court whether or not they think that based on what's stipulated, we should just put the due process issue to the Court, and put fraud on the Court, perhaps to the side for the moment.

But I don't think we ought to decide that one today, nor do I think we need to. So I don't think there's any need for any difference of opinion going forward from today to the next status conference.

I will confess some skepticism about whether stipulations of fact will be sufficient to address the alleged lack of due process issue, but I'm happy to keep an open mind about it, because as events develop, we all have to be prepared to have an open mind and change.

So our view for today is, we don't have to decide whether or not fraud on the Court should be a threshold issue or not. Let's kick that to the next status conference and let's see how the process goes with developing stipulations of fact.

And I would add as Mr. Weisfelner very eloquently observed, there are a number of government investigations ongoing. I understand that GM's internal report is due fairly soon, I think in early June. That may shed a lot of

light on a lot of issues, and that's another fact on the ground that may affect our thinking when we get to the next status conference.

Trying to focus on your threshold issues, and trying not to repeat, I don't have anything to add to the MDL, that's all been said.

As to the dates for when events should happen, we agree that, you know, on the one hand we want to get in and out of this court as fast as we can. On the other hand, we don't want to rush or we're going to wind up right back before you asking for more time, so we think the dates that were in Mr. Steinberg's agenda letter are fine, and we're fine with those.

As to a deadline for amending -- I mean, I'm sorry, for filing additional adversary proceedings or joining in ours, it was never our intention to encourage more adversary proceedings, but we did think it was important that there be a time when the Court be able to know that. I now know the universe of what the pleadings are.

THE COURT: What people want to assert.

MR. FLAXER: Yes. So we're fine with picking a date for that, maybe a date in mid to late May would be fine. Mr. Steinberg's agenda letter suggested May 14th as a date for us to amend our complaint. We are considering

three amendments, which we don't think would have any effect on the process that's being developed here, but we're okay with that date.

Mr. Weisfelner discussed sort of the interplay between adversary proceedings and the contested matter. I think that there is agreement here that for discovery purposes and for the scheduling we're doing here today, they should be treated as consolidated and run contemporaneously, and there's no need at this point to have any distinction that's meaningful that I can think of.

I mentioned to Mr. Steinberg this morning in the hallway that, you know, because we filed a complaint, a summons has been issued, and there's a date to answer, which backs into a date for a Rule 26(f) conference. But I think those dates can be just sort of rolled into this process so we don't have to have any, you know, separate concerns about other dates that sort of automatically come with a filing of an adversary proceeding.

THE COURT: I think my understanding then might flow from what you just said, but you're also equally amenable to any procedural consolidation, including briefs to cover the field in both.

MR. FLAXER: Correct.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FLAXER: And I think the last point that I

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 81 have to mention since everything's been so, I must say, very efficiently covered is we're the ones who did raise the possibility of mediation. I think I agree with what both counsel have said before me. I would just urge that we don't lose sight of it and as much as we'd like to avoid extensive discovery here, and as much as I'd hope we can avoid it, but I fear it may not be avoidable, the mediation alternative may wind up being much more productive and better for the victims we're all seeking to serve than extensive litigation. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MR. FLAXER: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Is there anybody else who hasn't had a chance to be heard for the first time who would like to be? Come on up, please. I'm taking someone in the courtroom first, and then I'll ask about the phone. MR. MARTORANA: Good morning, Your Honor, Keith Martorana of Gibson Dunn & Crutcher on behalf of the GUC Trust. THE COURT: Did you say Marona? MR. MARTORANA: Martorana. THE COURT: Martorana. MR. MARTORANA: Yes. THE COURT: I'm sorry.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. MARTORANA: Your Honor, I stand because you had suggested at the outset of this hearing the possibility that issues related to the GUC Trust and claims against the GUC Trust might be better addressed as a threshold issue to start. Based upon what I'm hearing today, it sounds like there's a consensus among the parties here at least, that this is something that should not be addressed as a threshold issue. THE COURT: Well, that depends on who you're including within that consensus, Mr. Martorana. MR. MARTORANA: I meant just these parties over here. Don't -- you would like to have it addressed to the threshold issue? UNIDENTIFIED: I'll address it later. MR. MARTORANA: Okay. All right. Then I guess there is no consensus on that, but I will tell you that from our perspective, we believe that it should not be addressed as a threshold issue. We do believe that first off it will require at least some discovery, probably substantial discovery. We also believe, you know, particularly because as it relates to issues of excusable neglect, which are fact sensitive. We also believe that it's not dispositive of -- as Mr. Weisfelner said the -- you know, the fundamental issue

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

here which is whether or not claims can be asserted against New GM.

Moving off it being a threshold issue, we also don't believe that this is an issue frankly that needs to be addressed at any point during this hearing -- during this proceeding.

No claimants, none of the plaintiffs, no claimants or potential claimants had raised this as a possibility. No one has filed a motion to lift the bar date. The only person that has raised it has been New GM, based upon, you know, some statements of fact in some pleadings. But the only person that has actually moved forward with it is New GM, and frankly, you know, it's our view that this is essentially a way to deflect liability away, and you know, the attention away from New GM and put it on to a third party.

To the extent that Your Honor is inclined to rule against us and have it either be dealt with as a threshold issue or as a -- I guess, a subsequent issue, we would request to participate in any of the discovery that does transpire. And then to the extent that there are any claims against New GM to be resolved, we would also ask to participate in any mediation.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

MR. FLAXER: Thank you.

Page 84 1 THE COURT: Let's see, Mr. Golden, Mr. Posner. First you, Mr. Golden, then I'll hear from you, Mr. Posner. 2 MR. GOLDEN: Thank you, Your Honor, Daniel Golden, 3 Akin Gump Strauss Hauer and Feld, counsel for certain 4 5 publically traded public -- publically traded unit trust 6 holders. Your Honor, I do take your admonition not to pile 7 on, although my name was used in vain, so I figured I'd 8 stand for a minute or two, we agree with the position just 9 10 advocated by counsel for the GUC Trust. We think it interesting that none of the potential 11 plaintiffs who might have asserted late claims against a GUC 12 Trust have indicated an intention to do so. It's only New 13 GM that has raised that issue. 14 THE COURT: Well, pause please, Mr. Golden. 15 MR. GOLDEN: Yes. 16 THE COURT: You've been around the block a couple 17 of times. 18 MR. GOLDEN: Too many times. 19 THE COURT: If you were a plaintiff's lawyer, 20 would you rather collect a hundred cents on the dollar or 30 21 cents on the dollar? And if I'm allowed to ask a compound 22 question, would you prefer to try to shoot the moon with a 23 claim for punitive damages or would you prefer to assert 24 that punitive damages claim in a bankruptcy where punitive 25

Page 85 1 damages come at the expense of the remainder of the creditor community? 2 MR. GOLDEN: So I'm assuming both of those 3 compound -- both parts of that compound question were 4 5 rhetorical. THE COURT: Yes. 6 MR. GOLDEN: I understand, Your Honor. 7 understand the strategy involved, but I think Mr. Weisfelner 8 is correct. There is a looming threshold issue here. I'm 9 not here to argue pro or con on that threshold issue, but 10 that issue once resolved will determine whether there needs 11 to be claims asserted or attempted to be asserted against 12 the GUC Trust. 13 I think Mr. Weisfelner was entirely correct, we 14 actually debated among ourselves whether to either --15 whether to even file a letter seeking to participate at this 16 hearing, because none of this hearing had anything to do 17 with the Trust or the beneficial interest holders of the 18 19 Trust. I was, however, concerned on April 30th, that 20 somehow some way the GUC Trust was going to be injected into 21 those proceedings, and therefore, we sent the letter asking 22 23 to participate. Sure enough, seven hours later, New GM filed their 24 letter. And for the first time injected that issue into 25

these proceedings. We don't think it's appropriate. We're frankly strangers to these proceedings. There may come a time when the plaintiffs and the claims that the plaintiffs represent, seek to assert those claims against the GUC Trust, it's not now. They haven't done so, they haven't indicated an intention to do so.

Furthermore, Your Honor --

THE COURT: Pause please, Mr. Golden. Put yourself -- I made you put yourself in the shoes of the plaintiffs' lawyers, now I want you to put yourself in my shoes.

Can you see how a judge might be uncomfortable with a scenario under which there's no claim against anybody, assuming solely for the purpose of discussion, that the claim otherwise has merit?

MR. GOLDEN: Absolutely, Your Honor. I've said to my colleagues that you must be struggling at night with these issues, whether to proceed, allow these claims to be filed against New GM. If so, then there's no need for the GUC Trust. But if not, does there -- is there another remedy available by going against the GUC Trust. I understand the discomfort of the Court, but that discomfort was caused by actions taken by other parties.

There's often times unfortunate circumstances when people are deprived of their ability. They fail to assert

Page 87 their rights, they fail to a -- timely assert their rights. Unfortunate things happen in bankruptcy, Your Honor is well aware of that, and I understand the discomfort level. But it doesn't change the fact that to adjudicate whether or not these claims should be allowed against the GUC Trust will require a significant amount of discovery. The Pioneer standards themselves that regulate or determine whether or not there is excusable neglect is ripe with discovery and evidentiary rationales. So, Your Honor, I think I agree with Mr. Weisfelner's suggestion, hold this off, it won't be permanently held off. If Your Honor is to determine that the plaintiffs can proceed against New GM, that will probably be the end of it as it relates to the GUC Trust. If that's not the Court's ruling, we can revisit the issue if and when it becomes appropriate. But to do it as a threshold issue, when there are already so many issues on the table, we think is a mistake. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MR. GOLDEN: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Posner, come on up, please. Now, I understand that you and your partner, Ms. Cyganowski are acting as liaison between Mr. Weisfelner, and Mr. Esserman and Mr. Inselbuch on the one hand, and the other, I quess it's, I don't know, 50 to a hundred other

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 88 1 class action lawyers, do you have some points that you need 2 to make that Mr. Weisfelner didn't satisfactorily make? MR. POSNER: No, Your Honor, just briefly, David 3 -- for the record, David Posner from Otterbourg, and as you 4 pointed out, and as Mr. Weisfelner mentioned I think twice, 5 6 Ms. Cyganowski, my partner, has -- is working with that group as a consultant and a liaison counsel-type role. 7 She asked me to convey to the Court that to the 8 9 extent that she can be helpful in harmonizing any discord in connection with the plaintiffs' group, she stands ready to 10 assist in that regard. And I would be remiss, Your Honor, 11 12 if I didn't say I'm working with co-counsel, Harley Tropin 13 of the Kozyak Tropin firm who's here today in the court. 14 THE COURT: Okay. MR. POSNER: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 Thank you, Mr. Posner. Mr. Etkin. 16 THE COURT: MR. ETKIN: Your Honor, Michael Etkin, Lowenstein 17 Sandler for the plaintiffs in two pending class actions. 18 I rise only to talk about an issue that has been 19 raised and was raised in Mr. Weisfelner's letter of 20 yesterday, just so I have some clarity. 21 First of all, given the time frame, the number of 22 23 lawsuits, the number of lawyers, I think it's extraordinary 24 that the plaintiffs' side has been able to achieve this level of cooperation so quickly for purposes of today's 25

hearing. And having dealt in the class action realm for many years, it is not the usual.

Second of all, I have enormous respect for Mr.

Inselbuch and his firm, Mr. Esserman, and his firm and Mr.

Weisfelner and his firm, that goes without say. However, I just want to quote from the second to last bullet point of Mr. Weisfelner's letter as it related to the question of liaison counsel for plaintiffs. And that's --

THE COURT: The letter of May 1?

MR. ETKIN: His letter of May 1, yes. And that's what I thought and assumed the state of play was as we walked into the courtroom today. And it's short.

Mr. Weisfelner says, "A majority of plaintiffs has designated counsel as lead counsel for the May 2nd conference. Counsel will endeavor to further a continued coordination amongst plaintiffs. The May 2nd conference agenda should not include debate about the appropriate procedures for such coordination, and if necessary, it can be addressed at a later conference."

I agree with that. I think that there's coordination that still needs to be discussed as we move forward. These three esteemed counsel were designated to appear on behalf of a majority of the plaintiffs for purposes of today's hearing, and I just want to make sure that I understand the state of play correctly.

Page 90 1 THE COURT: Well, I take it you're not asking me for a ruling on that. 2 MR. ETKIN: No. I'm not asking you for a ruling 3 at all. It's not something that really was placed on the 4 5 agenda, and it's really something for the plaintiffs' counsel and their respective bankruptcy counsel to work out, 6 7 to the extent more coordination is necessary. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anybody else -- oh, 8 9 there was a gentleman on the phone if I'm not mistaken. MR. BECNEL: Yes, Your Honor, Daniel Becnel of 10 Becnel Law Firm. I have since filed in the Eastern District 11 of Louisiana --12 THE COURT: Okay. Pause please. Was it Becnel? 13 MR. BECNEL: Becnel, B-e-c-n-e-1. 14 THE COURT: And did you give me a letter, Mr. 15 Becnel? My prep didn't reflect that letter. 16 MR. BECNEL: No, we did not submit a letter. 17 We've been on all of the conferences though. 18 19 THE COURT: I beg your pardon? MR. BECNEL: We've been with all of the conference 20 21 calls that all of the lawyers have had together. THE COURT: Well, forgive me, Mr. Becnel, I asked 22 another attorney to put himself in my shoes, and I'm going 23 to do the same with you. But frankly I'm not looking for 24 your understanding. I'm looking for you to understand my 25

Page 91 1 ruling. I have before me one full courtroom here, and I 2 believe I have two overflow courtrooms. And I issued an 3 order to obviate this exact situation, which every one of the other lawyers in this entire case was fully able to 5 comply with, and when I issue an administrative order to 6 7 avoid conduct that results in chaos in a case on my watch, I 8 need the legal community to understand that when I issue 9 orders, I mean them. 10 So respectfully, I am denying you the opportunity to be heard. If you have concerns, I'm sure that Mr. 11 12 Weisfelner or his colleagues will return your phone calls. And as you've undoubtedly heard, they're fairly capable 13 advocates. 14 15 So I think my ruling is clear. I'm denying you the opportunity to be heard for failure to comply with the 16 17 requirements of my case management order. Mr. Stein -- is there anybody else on the phone, 18 of course, a person on the phone who has complied with the 19 20 requirements of the order? 21 (No response) 22 THE COURT: Mr. Steinberg, you can reply. 23 MR. STEINBERG: Your Honor, I'm going to be very brief. One, to the extent there was a discussion about 24 25 mediation and Ken Feinberg, I want to just make it

absolutely clear that Mr. Feinberg has not been retained to examine the economic losses which are inherent in these lawsuits. His focus has been on the accident victims.

Second, that the accident victims, while not a part of our motion to enforce, it does not mean that there -- that our position is not that they are retaining liability at this point in time for the pre-sale accident victims only.

Third, that I agree with Mr. Weisfelner and Mr.

Flaxer that I think as far as melding the two procedures and making sure that the adversary proceeding, the contested matter are all dealt with efficiently, I think we'll be able to do that and work with each other to do that.

I did think Mr. Flaxer had actually a very good suggestion on the fraud and the court issue, is that once we go through the stipulated facts and the -- whether there will be discovery and if so, what narrowly tailored discovery there will be, then we will be able to evaluate whether it's still efficient to deal with fraud on the Court or not as a threshold issue.

And so our suggestion would be as Mr. Flaxer has modified it, is to let us go through the process of stipulated facts and if we do want to put on fraud on the Court as a threshold issue because we actually think we can get rid of it based on a legal theory, and whatever facts we

stipulated to, we want to reserve the right to do it. We're not asking Your Honor to rule on that now or not, but we would take that up at the next hearing if we're at that stage.

As far as the GUC Trust, the late filed claim, the reality is that the person who raised this issue was not me in my letter. The person who raised the issue was the objector, and I think it was Mr. Weisfelner who claimed a denial of procedural due process for failure to get notice of the bar order, and saying that he had no other remedy, and the only remedy that he could possibly look to is New GM.

The other person who put it on the calendar was Mr. Flaxer's client, because we've agreed that a threshold issue is three -- I'm sorry, 60(d)(1), which is that if there was some kind of a violation, is there -- should there be an equitable remedy that's fashioned against New GM for Old GM's conduct.

So he's put on the issue as to whether -- because there's no other opportunity to get any kind of recovery, that you have to look to New GM.

Now, when I said that I didn't concede that this was a threshold issue or not, it was because it was more nuanced. I'm not trying to suggest that as a threshold issue we brief the Pioneer issues. What I am suggesting is

that the plaintiffs here cannot make a legitimate procedural due process argument relating to the bar order if they want to sleep on their rights and not go against Old GM while Old GM is still sitting with securities. And I thought that that needed to be flabbed (ph).

And that if it's inherent in the 60(d)(1) issue that they're going to look to us because they otherwise have no other remedy, then I think that that is an issue that has to be dealt with. Having said that, and I don't say anything more on that issue.

I do think, Your Honor, and I wasn't sure why Mr. Weisfelner went into it, but his concerns with regard to an issue that I think Your Honor dealt with adequately, which is dealers who may have tried to put conditions on fixing an ignition switch, and Your Honor asked essentially, why are you asking me that, I think New GM clarified that. And as far as we know, it was one dealer, and it was immediately dealt with, and when they asked whether there were other dealers involved, we never got a list for anything else.

So I only say that not because it's relevant to anything here, except that there is press that is listening to this issue, and everybody likes to say in a very broad brushed way, New GM is acting irresponsibly. On this particular issue, we did act responsibly, and on all the issues I think we're trying to act responsibly.

And to the extent that Mr. Weisfelner conceded that he wasn't a class action lawyer, or a negligence lawyer, he's probably also not a scientist or an engineer who could decide whether the air bag issue is one thing or another thing. I only say that again because the people listening here, that it should be absolutely clear that you can say whatever you want to say, but at the end of the day, it ultimately has to be grounded in fact and a probable claim. Other than that, Your Honor, we appreciate the time you've given us today. THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, I want you to take a lengthy bathroom break, but hopefully no more than that. I would like people who are interested in my resulting directions to be back in 15 minutes. would be 25 to 1 on the clock up there. I can't guarantee you that I'll have it buttoned up all then, but I don't want to impose on you to wait any more than you need to. We're in recess. (Recessed at 12:21 p.m.; reconvened at 1:10 p.m.) THE COURT: Have seats, please. I apologize for keeping you all waiting. Here's what we're going to do. most respects, it will be similar to my tentatives, but with some refinements.

One, I want to leave as much time for thoughtful

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

briefing and thought by the Court as possible. But at the same time, I want this to proceed as expeditiously as I can consistent with fairness. So we're going to consider as threshold issues the two remaining issues shown on Mr. Weisfelner's blackline, the discrimination argument, the possibility that the claims now being asserted may be claims against Old GM or the GUC Trust, and subject to what I say momentarily, even the fraud on the Court contentions.

Messrs. Steinberg, Weisfelner, Flaxer, Martorana, and Golden, or their designees, are to confer and to prepare an order then to be settled on three business days' notice or overnight mail, consistent with these determinations that I'm dictating now, but putting meat on the bones, and providing for agreed upon dates.

Two, you're to meet and confer to agree upon facts to the maximum extent possible, consistent with your professional duties to your clients. To the extent you need to agree to disagree, you're to identify the matters that you can't agree upon and jointly present those identified matters to me, after which I'll determine the materiality of what's not agreed on and how it should affect further proceedings, either by way of authorizing limited discovery, or by taking issues off the table for now, and determining them later.

As a general matter, we're going to get as far as

we can without discovery. And notwithstanding what my case management order otherwise provides, there will be no discovery in either the adversary proceeding or the contested matter until and unless I order otherwise.

Three, I consider it preferable to consider the fraud on the Court claims as early as possible, and at this juncture, I'm including it as an issue to bring before me as one of the threshold issues.

But I recognize or at least assume that the fraud on the Court claim is likely to require at least some discovery. You're to confer and see if you can agree on limited discovery that will meet your respective needs on this. I hope, but I'm not sure that you'll be successful.

If after good faith discussion, agreeing on limited discovery is impossible, either side will be permitted to take the fraud on the Court issues off the table as threshold matters, and to defer them for consideration until a later time, assuming that you first identified the problem to me and gotten my green light to do so.

Four, I agree with Mr. Martorana and Mr. Golden that the matters involved in compliance with Pioneer are fact intensive, and are not appropriately threshold issues. But any party will be free to assert that claims now being asserted against New GM are prepetition and not post-

petition claims.

Before any decision is made on the extent to which the GUC Trust might have to satisfy any of those claims, each of Wilmington Trust and any holders of GUC Trust units will have full opportunity to be heard on any and all issues.

Each of Wilmington Trust and any holders of GUC

Trust units, though in the latter case, with the same kinds
of coordination that I expect from the plaintiffs' side,
will have unlimited standing to be heard on not just GUC

Trust related issues, but on any of the issues that we're
considering as part of this exercise; either in the
adversary proceeding or the contested matter.

Likewise, in the Wilmington Trust and any holders of GUC Trust units, again subject to the coordination requirement, will be free to participate in any discovery I authorize in connection with the remainder of the issues, even though I'm not authorizing any such discovery now.

But related to that, to the extent Wilmington

Trust told me in our discussion that it had a desire for

discovery, its request for that is denied at this time,

without prejudice to renewal at a time when it's more

appropriate.

Five, I will not interfere with the MDL panel's hearing now scheduled for May 29 and will permit the

judicial panel and multi-district litigation to rule on where pretrial proceedings with respect to any of the underlying actions might proceed.

But this ruling is without prejudice to the rights of any party to ask me to stay further proceedings before the transferee judge based on rulings in this Chapter 11 case, or based on any perceived delay in my issuing rulings in this Chapter 11 case.

Six, anyone who is unwilling to agree to the temporary stand still that the majority seems to agree upon must come forward before me within a time certain, either on the date proposed in the Steinberg and Weisfelner letters, or an alternative date they might agree upon, in consultation with the other parties that I've allowed to participate in the formation of the order, with a motion asking me to rule on whether I should force such a standstill on the dissenter by TRO or preliminary injunction.

Nothing in the scheduling order will, however, change the usual burdens associated with getting a TRO or preliminary injunction relief.

Seven, parties are to identify any and all issues they want me to decide by a date certain to be proposed by that team who I've designated for that purpose, the same one that's preparing the proposed form of order, and to state

whether or not their issues to be addressed as threshold issues or not.

They are then to confer with the others as to when any such issues are best decided, whether as threshold issues or as later issues. If any such additional issues are to be presented as threshold issues, briefing on them should be rolled into the briefing, otherwise authorized. But if they're not perceived to be threshold issues, they can be deferred with a full reservation of rights.

Eight, matters in the adversary proceeding and in the contested matter will be jointly administered. For the avoidance of doubt, this will include joint briefing and joint discovery, if and when any discovery is authorized.

Parties should agree upon a preferred place for a single docket to file all of the documents in connection with this controversy, and to provide for that in the proposed order. As far as I'm concerned, either the adversary or the contested matter will be equally satisfactory.

Nine, other than as I stated, I don't think that I intended to disapprove anything that had been agreed upon between Mr. Steinberg and the class action plaintiff steering committee. But for the avoidance of doubt, if you think I left something out, or was inconsistent in my rulings, I would ask that you tell me that now.

Ten, the matter of mediation is deferred without prejudice to anyone's right to raise the issue at a later time.

So, folks, you can take the weekend off, but after that, please get together as soon as practical to get me an agreed upon form of order, at least agreed upon between the people I mentioned, then to be settled. That order should take care of details, such as proposed dates, which I've intentionally left out of the rulings I just announced. I think you can and should meet your needs and concerns on that.

Now, not by way of reargument, I suspect that there may be some details I failed to address or some loose ends, and I'll allow people to be heard on that.

Mr. Steinberg?

MR. STEINBERG: Your Honor, I think I can deal with everything you said. The only thing is, do we talk to your chambers about the next status conference date, or do you want to give us the date and we'll try to back into to the sum of the requirements before then?

THE COURT: My preference, I think, Mr. Steinberg, is that we do it as an iterative process. You guys, after you've figured out the time you need, tell me what you would recommend as far as a date within a zone. Thereupon my courtroom deputy, Ms. Calderone will see how it fits into

	Page 102
1	the schedule. She'll advise you what we're in a position to
2	do, and then you can either massage your dates, or plug the
3	date we give you into the order that you settle.
4	MR. STEINBERG: That's acceptable, thank you.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Anything else? Mr. Esserman,
6	were you rising to be heard in any way?
7	MR. ESSERMAN: No, thank you, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Oh, okay. All right. Does anybody
9	have anything else?
10	(No response)
11	THE COURT: No. Okay. Thank you very much.
12	We're adjourned.
13	(Proceedings concluded at 1:22 PM)
14	* * * *
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

$099500226 \text{ reg} \quad \text{Doc } 132979-1 \quad \text{Filled } 079/149/15 \quad \text{Eintered } 079/149/15 \cdot 157:292:596 \quad \text{Exhibit } 1 \\ \text{Fig. } 1094 \text{ Of } 1228$

									Page	103
1			I	N	D	E	x			
2										
3		R	U	L	I	N	G	S		
4	IDENTIFICATION									PAGE
5	Judge's ruling									95
6										
7		ŧ								
8										
9										
10										
11										
12										
13										
14										
15										
16										
17										
18										
19										
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										
25										

Page 104 1 CERTIFICATION 2 I, Dawn South, certify that the foregoing transcript 3 is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. 4 5 Digitally signed by Dawn South Dawn South DN: cn=Dawn South, o=Veritext, ou, 6 email=digital@veritext.com, c=US Date: 2014.05.05 13:12:50 -04'00' 7 AAERT Certified Electronic Transcriber CET**D-408 8 9 I, Sheila G. Orms, certify that the foregoing is a 10 correct transcript from the official electronic sound 11 12 recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter. 13 14 Dated: May 3, 2014 15 Digitally signed by Shelia G. Orms Shelia G. Orms DN: cn=Shelia G. Orms, o=Veritext, ou, email=digital@veritext.com, c=US 16 Date: 2014.05.05 13:13:22 -04'00' 17 18 Signature of Approved Transcriber 19 Veritext 20 330 Old Country Road 21 Suite 300 Mineola, NY 11501 22 23 24 25

[& - addressed]

Page 1

&	2	6	accomplish 12:19
	2 2:5 29:4	60 10:25 11:16	17:22 30:11 44:6,16
& 3:2,10,17 4:1	2 2.3 29.4 20 29:5	21:20,21,24 22:25	accomplished 15:15
5:10 7:1,8,15 8:1,7	20 29.3 200 4:3	23:6 39:3 44:5 51:4	16:16
45:23,24 81:19	200 4.3 20005 7:4	77:7,7 93:15 94:6	account 61:5,15
0	2006 34:11	600 7:9	accounted 38:18
01:19:05 57:13	2009 11:11	60654 3:13	accurate 64:17
07068 6:4	2009 11.11 201 6:18	610 21:23	104:4
09-50026 1:6	201 0.18 2014 2:5 104:14	620 4:18	achieve 88:24
1	2014 2.3 104.14 21st 7:10	65 6:3	acknowledged 74:1
1 21:21 22:19 89:9	2200 7:17	7	act 94:24,25
89:10 93:15 94:6	230 5:19		acting 69:17,21,24
95:16	2323 7:16	7 13:25	69:25 87:23 94:23
10 16:22	23rd 11:24 12:11	70068 8:19	action 12:15 13:15
10014 6:20	13:13,20 15:20 16:3	75201-2689 7:18	15:10 24:4,10,11,12
10017 0.20 10017-2024 8:11	24 57:9	780 8:9	24:20 25:10 26:11
10018 4:19	24th 11:21 20:8	9	26:11 36:19 49:11
10020 6:11	25 95:16	92660 4:11	51:4 55:13 69:3
10023 3:20	26 80:14	95 103:5	88:1 89:1 95:2
10022 5:26 10022-7619 7:11	28 49:23	9:46 2:6	100:22
10036 5:4	29 98:25	a	actions 10:25 11:1
10036-4003 3:5	29th 16:5 24:16	a.m. 45:13	11:16 37:7 38:2
10036-6745 5:13	25:25 49:14 50:12	a.m. 43.13 aaert 104:8	70:13,23,23 71:17
1006 6:19	50:18	abade 58:13	71:19 72:16,16
101 8:2	2nd 28:3 89:14,16	abandon 40:11,14	86:23 88:18 99:3
10166-0193 4:4	3	abc 1:13	activities 46:1 50:11
10169 5:20		ability 19:22 73:9	acts 52:22
10178 8:3	3 21:24 22:25 65:16	86:25	actual 34:11 76:24
10:44 45:13	104:14	able 12:19 21:8	add 55:11 78:22 79:5
11 1:5 99:6,8	3,500 9:16 30 12:1 15:21 39:20	23:7 26:10 27:8,24	added 76:1
1100 7:3	84:21	29:9 31:14 32:9	additional 22:2,3
11501 104:22	300 3:12 104:21	34:2,22,22 61:15	54:22 55:11 79:15
1185 3:4	30th 43:1 85:20	75:25 78:3 79:18	100:5
1251 6:10	330 104:20	88:24 91:5 92:12,18	address 10:23 12:12
12:21 95:20	35 18:5	absolutely 32:14	20:22 21:8 23:17
13 54:7	36th 8:10	86:16 92:1 95:7	25:1 46:5 47:22
14-01929 1:16	4	acceptable 102:4	56:13 71:14 78:13
1407 49:23		accepted 36:12	82:15 101:13
14th 23:22 79:24	4 21:23 29:5	accident 32:21 33:3	addressed 9:22,23
15 26:22,23 95:15	408 104:8	34:24 37:4,16,17,18	10:10 13:6,24 14:15
165,000 34:12	425 8:17	39:16,17 40:6 92:3	14:24 15:5,6,15
19 4:10	437 3:19	92:4,7	17:8 20:16 42:12,17
1:10 95:20	5	accidents 32:18	58:18 77:20 82:4,8
1:22 102:13	5 13:14 22:20	33:1 37:19 39:20	82:13,18 83:5 89:19
	50 87:25	accommodated	100:1
		57:6	

[add1csscs - assets]				
addresses 49:25	79:12,24 89:17 90:5	amend 79:25	98:23	
addressing 12:25	aggrieved 41:14	amended 24:3,9,19	appropriately 26:6	
adequately 94:13	agree 16:19,20	24:23 25:18,19 26:3	97:23	
adjourned 102:12	17:20 18:8,8,10,22	52:1,6	approved 104:18	
adjournment 45:8	21:14 23:11,23 26:2	amending 79:14	approving 22:19	
adjudicate 87:4	27:5,18,20,24 28:7	amendment 23:21	april 11:21,24 12:1	
adjust 31:24	28:21 36:21 38:7	amendments 14:3	12:11 13:13,20	
adjusted 74:7	39:7 50:9 54:3,11	80:1	15:20,21 16:3 20:8	
adjustment 42:5	61:14 70:12 75:2	americas 3:4 6:10	43:1 85:20	
administered 1:7	79:8 81:3 84:9	amount 87:6	arbitrate 70:7	
19:8 100:11	87:10 89:20 92:9	amy 1:16	arbitration 68:18	
administrative	96:15,18,19 97:11	analogy 62:24	area 54:21 75:24	
24:18,20 26:2 28:6	97:21 99:9,10,13	ands 46:9	arguably 70:25	
91:6	100:14	anecdote 69:14	71:20	
admission 27:6	agreed 17:6 40:23	announced 34:6	argue 10:7 62:16	
admissions 18:1,5	41:10 42:11,13	36:4 101:9	63:5 74:20 85:10	
26:16 27:2,11,11	71:18 93:14 96:14	announcement 33:8	argues 21:21	
42:18 57:21	96:21 100:21 101:6	34:14 35:7	argument 14:22,22	
admitted 18:2,3	101:6	answer 27:6 57:22	38:1 43:14,21 62:1	
admonition 54:18	agreeing 17:24	71:15 72:24 80:13	62:15 94:2 96:5	
84:7	97:14	answering 27:5	arguments 73:20	
adv 1:15	agreement 23:13	anticipate 51:7 53:3	art 47:3	
advanced 53:6,9	24:16 32:15 42:6	74:12	arthur 3:7	
advantage 55:8,23	69:11 80:6	anticipated 52:16	article 49:16	
advantages 55:16	agreements 54:18	anybody 13:3,6	articulating 43:3	
advent 55:7	70:7	20:6 21:1 59:19	ascertain 17:5 22:9	
adversaries 17:19	ah 67:25	64:22 81:13 86:14	67:19	
18:13,18 19:5,6,9	ahead 66:20	90:8 91:18 102:8	ascribed 72:4	
adversary 13:10	air 71:12,14,14 95:4	anybody's 17:3	asked 17:16 18:25	
18:12,22,25 19:4	airline 8:17	42:4 56:16	32:13 38:12,20	
23:20 25:18 39:3	akin 5:10 84:4	anymore 45:7	43:24 44:15 46:1	
55:9,11,17,24 56:1	al 1:7,8 4:9 5:2 8:16	anyone's 101:2	50:11 58:5,12 66:22	
56:11,13,22 57:14	allegations 52:12	apologize 14:4	88:8 90:22 94:15,18	
57:19 73:14 76:14	55:16 72:3	95:21	asking 79:11 85:22	
79:15,17 80:5,18	alleged 12:5 78:14	appear 12:8 19:15	90:1,3 93:2 94:16	
92:11 97:3 98:13	allison 1:16	50:15 89:23	99:16	
100:10,18	allow 9:14 14:19	appearing 45:18	assert 19:22 22:1	
advise 47:15 78:3	24:16 48:5 56:15	applies 61:25	36:18 76:14 79:21	
102:1	74:8 86:18 101:14	apply 64:19,20 66:2	84:24 86:4,25 87:1	
advocated 84:10	allowed 20:18 60:23	73:23 74:19	97:24	
advocates 60:14	84:22 87:5 99:14	appreciate 10:12	asserted 28:4 38:9	
91:14	alternate 16:22	95:10	68:21 83:1 84:12	
affect 51:24 64:16	alternative 31:16	appropriate 15:2	85:12,12 96:6 97:25	
79:2 96:21	73:24 81:8 99:13	19:1,5 44:18 46:3	asserting 19:21	
afforded 55:19	amenable 14:19	48:7,17,22 50:3	asset 32:15	
agenda 9:20 23:19	80:21	56:15,20 65:8 75:17	assets 32:16	
25:14 29:10 30:16		86:1 87:16 89:17		
	1		_1	

[assist - burdens]

Page 3

[assist - buldens]			S
assist 88:11	avoiding 57:25	becnel 8:15,21	bones 96:13
associated 59:1	aware 38:19 47:4	90:10,10,11,13,14	bought 65:15
99:20	48:3 73:5 87:3	90:14,16,17,20,22	bound 38:14
assor 3:17	b	beg 90:19	bove 8:13
assume 31:13 33:18	b 2:9 8:18 21:23	beginning 41:11	box 11:13 32:17
38:1,8,15 54:4	77:7 90:14	behalf 1:17 45:18	37:21 38:22,23
60:10 65:8 71:18	back 13:19 21:9	81:19 89:23	break 44:19 95:13
97:9	50:2 52:20 60:24	behooves 47:16	breathing 42:1
assumed 11:7 32:16		believe 36:8,17	brian 6:22
32:23 33:4 36:12	61:10,19 65:21 76:22 78:2 79:10	82:18,20,22,24 83:4	bridge 28:18
89:11	95:15 101:19	91:3	bridged 42:20
assuming 14:1 85:3	backs 80:14	bell 3:17	brief 59:20 91:24
86:14 97:18	bad 36:2 46:23	beneficial 85:18	93:25
assumption 38:6	62:24	beneficiaries 74:6,8	briefed 17:13
attempt 58:5,12	bag 71:12,15 95:4	74:25	briefing 29:6 30:12
71:17 75:10,15	bags 71:14	benefit 70:8	49:1 96:1 100:6,7
attempted 85:12	bailiwick 67:15	benefits 53:10	100:12
attention 41:6	69:8	benjamin 8:5	briefly 88:3
48:14 61:9,20,22	balance 21:17	berman 45:20	briefs 14:8 80:21
68:5,24 69:8 70:3	ball 56:16	best 17:9 57:23 58:7	bring 18:21 19:4
83:15	bankruptcy 1:1 2:1	58:14 100:4	67:20 69:7 97:7
attorney 3:11 4:2,9	2:11 13:1 22:19	better 12:8 20:16	bringing 55:6
6:2,9,16 8:8,16 47:6	25:2,14,21 27:12	28:24 29:9 32:9,9	broad 76:19 94:22
90:23	30:18 41:6,8 49:12	32:10 33:14 39:6	broader 76:21
attorneys 3:3,18	50:25 55:13 61:7	51:15,19 56:21	broken 67:4
4:16 5:2,11 20:21	64:14 74:2 84:25	57:10 71:21 81:9	bromberg 7:15
60:13	87:2 90:6	82:4	45:23
attractive 20:11	bar 19:23 31:6	beyond 51:7 65:2	brought 13:10
authorize 98:17	39:23 83:9 93:10	big 28:8 32:11 56:4	17:19 19:6,9 23:20
authorized 100:7	94:2	bit 54:23	34:9 37:7,24 38:3
100:13	bargained 66:2	black 14:19 43:1,3	38:11 41:6 61:8
authorizing 96:22	barred 13:18	43:6	68:23 70:2,10
98:18	base 31:24	blackline 96:5	brown 5:1 45:17
auto 46:17	based 11:23 14:10	blaming 48:1	brushed 94:23
automatically 80:17	37:5 38:19 44:14	bleed 46:9	bryan 7:16
available 20:20	60:1 63:16 78:4	bleeds 52:8	bryant 5:12
63:12,13 86:21	82:6 83:10 92:25	block 84:17	build 36:11
avenue 3:4,19 4:3	99:6,7	blown 39:23	building 4:17 6:17
4:18 5:19 6:3,10	basically 76:25 77:3	blush 12:8	32:11
7:9 8:2,9 23:15	basis 14:7 15:25	board 63:7	bullet 89:6
avoid 10:14 46:6	40:3,5 70:1 74:4	boatload 47:3	bunch 20:14 40:7 55:12
56:20 81:5,7 91:7	76:6	bog 59:2 bogged 17:23 41:4	bundle 56:5,11
avoidable 81:7	bathroom 95:13	71:24	bundling 52:1
avoidance 100:12	battle 20:11	boils 48:13	burden 29:3
100:23	beach 4:11		burdens 99:20
avoided 72:15	bear 40:19	boling 2:2	DULUCIIS 77.20
		<u></u>	

burdensome 15:7	cases 32:24 34:8	circumstances 23:6	closer 59:24,25 62:6
business 96:11	75:17	31:23 75:14 86:24	coalesce 25:19
buttoned 95:17	catch 74:9	cited 18:19 51:10	cobalt 34:12,12
c	category 13:11	civil 47:14	cobalts 67:7
c 1:16,17 3:1,15 9:1	73:25	claim 32:10 38:8	coleman 8:16
90:14 104:1,1	cause 13:16 38:13	64:1 72:9 76:12,21	collars 63:9
ca 4:11	41:2 58:25 69:22	84:24,25 86:13,15	colleagues 44:17
calcagnie 4:8 45:18	71:12	93:5 95:9 97:10	55:22 61:2 86:17
calderone 101:25	caused 86:23	claimants 83:7,7,8	91:12
calendar 65:23	causes 13:1 69:2	claimed 33:6 93:8	collect 75:17 84:21
93:13	cents 39:21 84:21	claims 11:4,22	collective 45:25
calibrations 71:13	84:22	13:17 14:23,23,23	48:14
california 10:18	certain 16:21 19:12	14:25 15:3 19:19,21	collectively 61:19
14:6 26:8 50:14	26:20 27:14 84:4	19:21,22,22 23:24	72:20 73:11 75:11
call 17:1,8 49:9	99:11,23	31:2 36:15,18 39:21	come 9:17 16:20
52:19 68:5	certainly 23:12 51:6	46:18 51:24 59:24	18:4 41:12 53:12,18
called 14:21,24	51:22 52:2 56:18	60:1 67:10,20 68:20	
25:14	certified 104:8	69:2 74:1,1,3,24	58:25 75:25 78:2
calling 10:8 46:7	certify 104:3,10	76:25 82:3 83:1,21	80:17 81:15 85:1
71:9	cet 104:8	84:12 85:12 86:3,4	86:2 87:21 99:11
calls 65:6 90:21	chambers 101:18	86:18 87:5 96:6,6	comes 18:7 48:10
91:12	chance 20:7 28:14	97:6,24 98:1,3	comfort 64:6 73:17
can't 69:18 70:14	28:15 52:24 70:20	clarified 94:16	73:18 coming 42:21 53:13
95:17 96:19	81:14	clarity 88:21 class 10:25 11:16	commence 17:19
capable 91:13	change 44:15 75:14 78:16 87:4 99:20	12:15 13:15 15:10	commenced 47:7
caplin 7:1,8 45:24	changed 44:14	49:11 51:4 55:13	comments 9:15
car 27:13 33:7,10	chaos 91:7	74:4 88:1,18 89:1	commetted 33:25
33:18 34:3,5,13	chapter 1:5 99:6,8	95:2 100:22	committee 15:10
35:6,21,22,24 37:16	character 20:1 26:5	classic 58:19	16:2 100:23
37:17 40:8 68:16	characterize 46:11	clear 9:24 32:25	common 26:22
69:5	46:15	35:8 36:23,24 44:3	commonality 73:10
care 10:16 21:3	characterized 11:4	49:21 77:8 91:15	73:10
101:8	characterizing	92:1 95:7	communication
careful 21:6	34:20	clearly 18:20 44:7	68:25 70:6
cares 20:6	charge 22:16,17	66:12	communications
cars 33:15,24,25	chartered 7:1,8	client 93:14	22:9
34:10,16 35:11,14	cheap 67:7	clients 61:25 74:13	community 85:2
35:15,20 36:3,4,5	chevy 34:12 67:7	96:17	91:8
36:10 60:11 65:16	chicago 3:13 49:14	climb 19:4	company 1:6
65:18 67:3,8	choice 22:25 75:13	clinton 1:16,17	compensated 35:1,5
carve 60:8 64:22	chop 64:22	clock 45:10 95:16	competent 67:12
carved 33:3 case 1:6 19:23	chops 52:7	clog 55:14	complaint 9:18
41:24 50:17 51:1	circle 7:2	close 16:23 42:21	23:21 24:3,9,19,24
64:17 65:6 66:2,7	circuit 10:19	62:13	24:25 25:9,18,19
91:5,7,17 97:1 98:8	circumstance 38:16	closely 45:22	26:3 51:5,12 52:1,4
71.7,1,11 71.1 70.0			52:6 55:2,9 79:25

_			
80:12	89:19 90:20 101:18	consumer 60:14	costs 20:21
complaints 25:20	conferences 90:18	consumers 64:11	council 63:12
51:2,4,12 52:12	conferring 10:14	consuming 75:3	counsel 9:3 26:1
54:24 55:4,11	56:14	contained 68:20	50:25 51:14 64:6
complete 28:16	confess 78:12	contemporaneously	75:21,22 81:4 84:4
34:2 75:25	confident 22:22	80:8	84:10 88:7,12 89:8
completely 68:4	confirm 32:13	contends 72:16	89:14,14,15,22 90:6
complex 21:14	congress 63:12	contention 65:14,14	90:6
compliance 97:22	congressional 47:4	contentions 15:19	counsel's 9:19
complied 91:19	conjunction 75:21	17:11 64:17 96:8	count 47:8
comply 36:13 91:6	connect 24:22	contested 18:13,17	country 11:2,17
91:16	connection 21:10	55:18 56:12 57:14	104:20
complying 11:14	24:10 60:24 68:13	57:19 80:5 92:11	couple 11:1 15:19
compound 84:22	68:19,21 88:10	97:4 98:13 100:11	46:8 84:17
85:4,4	98:17 100:15	100:18	course 11:10 73:8
con 85:10	consensus 53:21	contests 57:25	91:19
concede 93:22	68:9 75:25 77:18	context 63:23 64:19	court 1:1 2:1 9:2,9
conceded 95:1	82:7,11,17	70:10	9:11 14:11 18:21
concepts 40:23	consenting 68:18	continue 20:24	21:19,25 22:2,5,6
concern 13:1 70:11	consequences 47:15	26:13	24:8 26:5,13 27:16
76:14	consider 14:9,17	continued 89:15	33:13,17,21 35:15
concerned 14:16	21:17 30:19 66:6	contrasted 65:7	35:18 37:2,11,18
66:24 69:25 71:2	73:24 96:3 97:5,5	controversy 100:16	39:6,19,23 40:22
75:6 85:20 100:17	consideration 21:6	conversely 13:5	41:17,20,22 42:24
concerns 10:23	26:3 28:20 47:18	converts 61:22	43:8,11,16,17,25
13:22 20:11,16	49:18,19 57:18	convey 88:8	44:2,4,9,21 45:6,9
80:16 91:11 94:12	72:12 97:18	cooperation 88:25	45:12,14,19 48:20
101:10	considered 15:3,17	coordinate 46:1	49:20,25 50:5,10,10
conclude 27:22	20:5 22:4 43:20	coordination 53:7	50:19,20,22,24
concluded 47:15	considering 79:25	89:16,18,21 90:7	51:21,23 52:16,24
102:13	98:12	98:9,15	53:3,18,25 54:5,7,8
conclusion 73:22	consistent 18:8,14	corners 20:18	54:12 56:2,7,24
concrete 28:1	49:21 96:3,12,16	corollary 15:18	58:1,15,19,25 59:6
conditioned 69:1	consists 49:16	52:17	60:15,17,19,21
conditions 94:14	consolidate 18:17	corp 1:8	61:11,16 62:8,13
conduct 11:23 12:6	consolidated 19:7	corporate 4:10	63:15,25 64:2 65:1
35:10,10 36:9 70:11	24:25 51:5 80:8	47:12	65:5,10 66:14,16,20
70:11,14 71:18 72:6	consolidation 80:21	correct 32:15 36:19	66:22 67:12,17 68:1
91:7 93:18	constituency 67:24	38:7 39:22 41:19	69:13 70:19 75:19
confer 96:10,15	constitute 72:9	45:21 50:4,21 54:7	76:13,18 77:2,6,14
97:11 100:3	constitutes 70:22	80:23 85:9,14	77:17 78:4,6,6,18
conference 2:14	constructed 35:16	104:11	79:9,18,21 80:19,24
10:4 25:11,12,13	consultant 88:7	corrected 35:14	81:11,13,21,23,25
26:4 27:18 30:11,21	consultation 73:8	correctly 40:22	82:10 83:24 84:1,15
30:21 48:23 51:8	99:14	76:5 77:5 89:25	84:17,20 85:6 86:8
56:19 63:3 78:11,19	consulting 61:2	cost 18:6 27:3 59:20	86:22 87:19,21 88:8
79:3 80:14 89:15,16		66:16	88:13,14,16 89:9

[court - difference]

00.1 9 12 15 10 22	date 16:6,21,22	99:23	deputy 101:25
90:1,8,13,15,19,22	17:5,18 19:12,23	decided 17:7 39:9	designated 89:14,22
91:22 92:15,19,24	l '	41:8,22 43:4 58:24	99:24
95:12,21 96:1,8	38:2 39:23 41:10	76:6 100:4	designees 96:10
97:6,10,16 101:21	42:8 57:6 79:23,23	*	desire 73:11 98:20
102:5,8,11	79:25 80:3,13,14	decides 66:11	
courtroom 42:22	83:9 99:12,13,23	decision 25:24 53:8	detail 28:5 53:11
44:25 49:7 69:11	101:18,19,24 102:3	98:2	54:23
81:16 89:12 91:2	dated 104:14	decisions 18:19	details 12:5 49:1
101:25	dates 30:2,5,6,8	declaratory 18:24	62:11 70:17 101:8
courtrooms 45:1	79:7,11 80:15,17	deem 46:2	101:13
91:3	96:14 101:8 102:2	defect 34:17 46:13	detected 53:12
court's 67:15 87:15	david 5:7,22 88:3,4	71:10	deterioration 34:13
covenant 36:12,13	davidson 3:8	defective 64:12,13	35:6 40:8
cover 80:22	davis 4:8	defendant 1:23	determination 10:2
covered 81:2	dawn 2:25 104:3	defendants 30:22	48:19 58:23 62:12
covers 77:4	day 10:7 30:7 32:8	defending 37:8	67:12
creates 36:15	42:25 63:4 95:8	defer 26:2 28:20	determinations
creditor 31:11 85:1	days 9:17 17:2	59:5,6 97:17	52:23 96:12
creditors 14:22	days' 96:11	deferred 25:10	determinative
19:16 58:20	dc 7:4	100:9 101:1	66:10
criminal 47:14	deadline 17:10	define 25:15	determine 26:6
crossed 43:12,23	79:14	defined 37:23,24	27:23 49:17 52:5,11
crudely 56:7	deal 15:13 29:22	definition 38:5	61:24 67:22 85:11
cruder 56:9	31:23 43:22,24 59:1	definitively 71:18	87:8,12 96:20
crutcher 4:1 81:19	61:24 69:17 72:21	deflect 83:14	determined 10:11
currently 47:9	92:19 101:16	defrauding 65:9,10	57:25 62:4,23
52:14,15 75:8	dealer 35:24 68:13	65:13	determining 62:22
curtailing 44:7	68:14 71:3 94:17	delaware 20:13	74:3 96:23
cut 64:21	dealers 68:25 69:21	delay 99:7	develop 78:15
cyganowski 73:8	69:22,23 94:14,19	delays 42:10	developed 80:2
87:23 88:6	dealing 29:4,8,12	deluco 1:12	developing 78:1,20
d	61:23 65:23 69:23	delve 48:21	develops 52:15
	deals 35:8 57:18	demarcation 15:2	device 18:6
d 8:5 9:1 21:21,24	dealt 31:3 69:5	21:11 36:23 76:4	devil 12:5 28:4
22:25 77:7 93:15	83:18 89:1 92:12	demonstrate 72:3	70:17
94:6 103:1 104:8	94:9,13,18	denial 66:5 74:21	dialogue 27:4,8
dallas 7:18	death 11:7,10 14:23	93:9	dicey 72:8
damage 11:8 14:25	60:8,10 66:25	denied 48:16 64:24	dictating 96:13
32:19 34:25 60:9,11	debate 89:17	66:1 98:21	didn't 69:18 73:9
66:25	debated 85:15	denominator 26:22	73:15 88:2,12 90:16
damaged 73:21	debtors 1:10	denying 91:10,15	93:22
damages 66:23 67:5	decent 9:21	department 6:15	differ 77:17
67:9 72:5 75:17	decide 18:10 20:17	depending 46:23	differed 42:21
84:24,25 85:1	20:18 25:13,21	depends 82:10	difference 14:13,14
daniel 5:16 8:21	29:14 53:22 54:1	deploy 71:12	35:20 42:8 43:5,12
84:3 90:10	56:5 59:15 65:25	deprived 64:18	43:13 48:8 50:15
darby 6:2,9	66:4 78:8,17 95:4	73:21 86:25	53:12 65:9 72:23
			<u>L</u>

77:7 78:10	87:9 92:17,18 96:22	domain 46:12,14,20	74:9 80:1
differences 39:4	97:1,3,11,12,15	don't 68:10 70:20	effective 31:8 41:12
41:10 42:17 48:24	98:16,18,21 100:13	71:4,15 72:23 78:8	65:3 66:17 68:10
different 13:3 22:13	100:13	78:9,17 79:5,10	72:13 75:13
23:19 27:7 35:25	discreet 22:18	80:1,16 81:5 82:13	effectively 22:25
50:6 51:4 52:20	discretion 65:23	83:4 86:1 87:25	efficient 48:12 65:3
56:24 57:11 58:20	66:8,11	94:9 95:18 100:20	75:3 92:19
63:16	discretionary 65:6	doubt 100:12,23	efficiently 81:2
differing 26:20	discrimination	download 48:1	92:12
difficult 28:11	14:21 39:7 43:14,21	drifts 44:24	effort 61:23
70:24 76:15	58:20 59:3,18 62:1	drive 4:10	efforts 10:12
difficulty 12:20	96:5	driven 67:4	eight 20:8 33:7 67:3
dilute 74:24	discuss 50:16	driving 65:9,13,17	100:10
direct 72:9	discussed 80:4	drop 43:16	eighth 4:18
directed 33:14	89:21	drye 8:1	eiseman 3:17
direction 42:2	discussion 10:21	drysdale 7:1,8	either 10:8 15:12
60:13 62:18	63:18 86:14 91:24	45:24	16:21 19:4 21:12
directions 95:15	97:14 98:20	due 21:24 31:5	23:2 25:17 45:4
directive 52:13	discussions 13:20	48:17 62:15 64:18	47:20 56:20 58:5,12
directly 46:9	26:18 63:17	64:24 66:1,5 72:11	59:14 60:3 62:6,7
disagree 18:10 30:8	disposal 75:10	72:19 73:21 74:18	62:18 66:13 68:18
96:18	dispositive 60:3	74:21 76:15,23	83:18 85:15 96:22
disagreeing 24:18	62:5 65:24 82:24	77:11,20 78:5,14,24	97:3,15 98:12 99:11
disagreement 24:15	dispute 12:4 63:15	93:9 94:2	100:17 102:2
49:9 53:20	disputed 18:3	dunn 4:1 81:19	elbows 63:1
disapprove 100:21	dissenter 99:17	duties 96:17	electronic 71:13
disbursed 51:19	dissolve 74:22	e	104:8,11
disclosure 22:11	dissolved 72:18	e 2:9,9,10 3:1,1 9:1	element 36:6 64:1
discomfort 86:22	distinction 48:13	9:1 90:14,14 103:1	elements 38:12 65:1
86:22 87:3	65:13 77:8,10 80:9	104:1	elevate 57:23
discord 88:9	distinguish 70:13	eamonn 4:22	elihu 7:13 45:24
discoverable 63:11	distributions 74:9	earlier 18:21 40:10	48:4
discovery 15:6,7,8	district 1:2 20:12	53:14	elizabeth 1:13
15:11,13,22,23,24	53:22 90:11 99:1	early 15:15,22,24	ellis 3:10
17:23 21:13,13,14	districts 50:3	16:3 17:13 19:12	eloquently 78:22
22:2,3,12,14,21,23	dividends 74:7	27:2 78:25 97:6	else's 59:19
23:3,3,14 27:22	docket 55:2,14	easier 52:6 59:17	eluded 28:25 39:12
28:17 29:7 30:13	57:13,14 100:15	71:21	encourage 79:16
39:5 40:18 43:20	documents 100:15	eastern 90:11	encouraged 63:8
44:6 46:20,20 47:13	doesn't 67:13 72:9	easy 66:12	endeavor 89:15
47:18 49:1 57:24	72:19 87:4	economic 11:5,19	ends 101:14
59:2,4,7,14,16,21	doing 25:12,17,24	14:25 33:6 34:21	energy 72:1
62:5,13 63:15,22	30:20 32:9 34:1	92:2	enforce 33:1 38:12
76:7,8,9,10,20,23	42:15 51:12 53:25	economy 52:4 77:13	92:5
76:24,25 77:12,15	54:2 65:2 80:7	edward 5:6 45:17	enforced 69:5,6
77:21 80:6 81:6	dollar 39:21 84:21	effect 25:10 29:12	enforcing 18:21
82:21,21 83:20 87:6	84:22	38:13 41:8 42:13	

[engage - filed] Page 8

	700	7	6:1 10.10.00.10
engage 47:13	evade 58:6	explain 59:11	fairly 19:12 22:18
engendered 11:16	evaluate 27:24	explicit 42:6	22:22 42:21 78:25
66:22	38:16 39:15 92:18	explore 31:15 54:22	91:13
engineer 95:3	event 60:3 62:3	expressed 32:7	fairness 16:23 96:3
enjoin 54:1,8	events 78:15 79:7	expression 10:18	faith 97:14
enjoined 54:8	eventually 52:20	extensive 76:10	familiarity 61:6
enjoining 54:5	everybody 10:6	81:6,10	far 10:16 16:25
enormous 89:3	34:3 42:3 45:14	extent 9:24 12:3	27:19 31:19 38:19
ensuring 68:25	52:24 94:22	16:11 19:20 20:3	38:21 50:17 92:10
entire 60:7 91:5	everything's 81:1	22:9 26:11,21 30:22	93:5 94:17 96:25
entirely 85:14	evidence 22:17 71:8	31:4 35:3 41:4 44:5	100:17 101:24
entirety 49:6	evidentiary 87:9	46:15 51:24 58:23	farmed 50:2
entitled 31:17	evolve 24:6	60:23 64:16 67:19	farnan 20:13
104:12	evolved 16:3	67:22 69:3 70:3,13	fashion 16:14 22:13
entity 47:12	exacerbation 68:11	83:17,21 88:9 90:7	64:5 66:21
environment 75:12	exact 64:7 91:4	91:24 95:1 96:16,17	fashioned 21:23
envision 41:5,25	exactly 10:2 11:20	98:2,19	93:17
51:23	41:25 54:11 56:6,10	extraordinarily	fast 36:16 79:9
envisioned 41:16	64:8	77:15	faster 36:17
equally 80:20	examine 92:2	extraordinary	favor 43:17 54:20
100:18	example 59:17	88:23	favored 43:21
equitable 21:22	70:24	extremely 76:2	fear 62:15 81:7
93:17	exception 38:18	f	feder 8:5
	64:8 73:3		federal 6:17 36:14
especially 10:8	04.0 /3.3	6 1 7 5 5 5 5 1 4	16061 at 0.1/30.14
especially 10:8 esq 3:7.8.15.22.23		f 1:7 2:9 80:14	67:16
esq 3:7,8,15,22,23	exchange 28:15	104:1	
esq 3:7,8,15,22,23 4:6,13,21,22 5:6,7,8	exchange 28:15 excusable 19:19	104:1 fact 12:22 17:13	67:16 feel 15:11 55:22
esq 3:7,8,15,22,23 4:6,13,21,22 5:6,7,8 5:15,16,22 6:6,13	exchange 28:15	104:1 fact 12:22 17:13 18:4 31:15 36:11	67:16
esq 3:7,8,15,22,23 4:6,13,21,22 5:6,7,8 5:15,16,22 6:6,13 6:22 7:6,13,20 8:5	exchange 28:15 excusable 19:19 31:2,11 82:23 87:8 executive 63:6	104:1 fact 12:22 17:13 18:4 31:15 36:11 38:15 47:2 49:5	67:16 feel 15:11 55:22 feeling 32:3 fees 20:21
esq 3:7,8,15,22,23 4:6,13,21,22 5:6,7,8 5:15,16,22 6:6,13 6:22 7:6,13,20 8:5 8:13,21	exchange 28:15 excusable 19:19 31:2,11 82:23 87:8 executive 63:6 exercise 25:17,22	104:1 fact 12:22 17:13 18:4 31:15 36:11 38:15 47:2 49:5 60:25 61:7 63:8	67:16 feel 15:11 55:22 feeling 32:3 fees 20:21 feinberg 31:22 32:1
esq 3:7,8,15,22,23 4:6,13,21,22 5:6,7,8 5:15,16,22 6:6,13 6:22 7:6,13,20 8:5 8:13,21 essentially 26:16	exchange 28:15 excusable 19:19 31:2,11 82:23 87:8 executive 63:6 exercise 25:17,22 98:12	104:1 fact 12:22 17:13 18:4 31:15 36:11 38:15 47:2 49:5 60:25 61:7 63:8 64:7,11 67:6 71:20	67:16 feel 15:11 55:22 feeling 32:3 fees 20:21 feinberg 31:22 32:1 32:4,5 39:14 40:1
esq 3:7,8,15,22,23 4:6,13,21,22 5:6,7,8 5:15,16,22 6:6,13 6:22 7:6,13,20 8:5 8:13,21 essentially 26:16 83:14 94:15	exchange 28:15 excusable 19:19 31:2,11 82:23 87:8 executive 63:6 exercise 25:17,22 98:12 exercising 66:11	104:1 fact 12:22 17:13 18:4 31:15 36:11 38:15 47:2 49:5 60:25 61:7 63:8 64:7,11 67:6 71:20 73:17 74:10 78:13	67:16 feel 15:11 55:22 feeling 32:3 fees 20:21 feinberg 31:22 32:1 32:4,5 39:14 40:1 91:25 92:1
esq 3:7,8,15,22,23 4:6,13,21,22 5:6,7,8 5:15,16,22 6:6,13 6:22 7:6,13,20 8:5 8:13,21 essentially 26:16 83:14 94:15 esserman 7:15,20	exchange 28:15 excusable 19:19 31:2,11 82:23 87:8 executive 63:6 exercise 25:17,22 98:12 exercising 66:11 exist 55:17	104:1 fact 12:22 17:13 18:4 31:15 36:11 38:15 47:2 49:5 60:25 61:7 63:8 64:7,11 67:6 71:20 73:17 74:10 78:13 78:21 79:1 82:23	67:16 feel 15:11 55:22 feeling 32:3 fees 20:21 feinberg 31:22 32:1 32:4,5 39:14 40:1 91:25 92:1 feld 5:10 84:4
esq 3:7,8,15,22,23 4:6,13,21,22 5:6,7,8 5:15,16,22 6:6,13 6:22 7:6,13,20 8:5 8:13,21 essentially 26:16 83:14 94:15 esserman 7:15,20 9:12 10:13 13:13,19	exchange 28:15 excusable 19:19 31:2,11 82:23 87:8 executive 63:6 exercise 25:17,22 98:12 exercising 66:11 exist 55:17 existing 67:21	104:1 fact 12:22 17:13 18:4 31:15 36:11 38:15 47:2 49:5 60:25 61:7 63:8 64:7,11 67:6 71:20 73:17 74:10 78:13 78:21 79:1 82:23 83:11 87:4 95:9	67:16 feel 15:11 55:22 feeling 32:3 fees 20:21 feinberg 31:22 32:1 32:4,5 39:14 40:1 91:25 92:1 feld 5:10 84:4 feldman 63:21
esq 3:7,8,15,22,23 4:6,13,21,22 5:6,7,8 5:15,16,22 6:6,13 6:22 7:6,13,20 8:5 8:13,21 essentially 26:16 83:14 94:15 esserman 7:15,20 9:12 10:13 13:13,19 15:20,23 16:1 45:23	exchange 28:15 excusable 19:19 31:2,11 82:23 87:8 executive 63:6 exercise 25:17,22 98:12 exercising 66:11 exist 55:17 existing 67:21 exists 12:10	104:1 fact 12:22 17:13 18:4 31:15 36:11 38:15 47:2 49:5 60:25 61:7 63:8 64:7,11 67:6 71:20 73:17 74:10 78:13 78:21 79:1 82:23 83:11 87:4 95:9 97:23	67:16 feel 15:11 55:22 feeling 32:3 fees 20:21 feinberg 31:22 32:1 32:4,5 39:14 40:1 91:25 92:1 feld 5:10 84:4
esq 3:7,8,15,22,23 4:6,13,21,22 5:6,7,8 5:15,16,22 6:6,13 6:22 7:6,13,20 8:5 8:13,21 essentially 26:16 83:14 94:15 esserman 7:15,20 9:12 10:13 13:13,19 15:20,23 16:1 45:23 45:23 87:24 89:4	exchange 28:15 excusable 19:19 31:2,11 82:23 87:8 executive 63:6 exercise 25:17,22 98:12 exercising 66:11 exist 55:17 existing 67:21 exists 12:10 expanded 56:22	104:1 fact 12:22 17:13 18:4 31:15 36:11 38:15 47:2 49:5 60:25 61:7 63:8 64:7,11 67:6 71:20 73:17 74:10 78:13 78:21 79:1 82:23 83:11 87:4 95:9 97:23 factor 46:24	67:16 feel 15:11 55:22 feeling 32:3 fees 20:21 feinberg 31:22 32:1 32:4,5 39:14 40:1 91:25 92:1 feld 5:10 84:4 feldman 63:21 felt 43:19 field 46:17 80:22
esq 3:7,8,15,22,23 4:6,13,21,22 5:6,7,8 5:15,16,22 6:6,13 6:22 7:6,13,20 8:5 8:13,21 essentially 26:16 83:14 94:15 esserman 7:15,20 9:12 10:13 13:13,19 15:20,23 16:1 45:23 45:23 87:24 89:4 102:5,7	exchange 28:15 excusable 19:19 31:2,11 82:23 87:8 executive 63:6 exercise 25:17,22 98:12 exercising 66:11 exist 55:17 existing 67:21 exists 12:10 expanded 56:22 expanding 66:13	104:1 fact 12:22 17:13 18:4 31:15 36:11 38:15 47:2 49:5 60:25 61:7 63:8 64:7,11 67:6 71:20 73:17 74:10 78:13 78:21 79:1 82:23 83:11 87:4 95:9 97:23 factor 46:24 factors 46:8 47:20	67:16 feel 15:11 55:22 feeling 32:3 fees 20:21 feinberg 31:22 32:1 32:4,5 39:14 40:1 91:25 92:1 feld 5:10 84:4 feldman 63:21 felt 43:19 field 46:17 80:22 fifth 17:22
esq 3:7,8,15,22,23 4:6,13,21,22 5:6,7,8 5:15,16,22 6:6,13 6:22 7:6,13,20 8:5 8:13,21 essentially 26:16 83:14 94:15 esserman 7:15,20 9:12 10:13 13:13,19 15:20,23 16:1 45:23 45:23 87:24 89:4 102:5,7 esserman's 11:24	exchange 28:15 excusable 19:19 31:2,11 82:23 87:8 executive 63:6 exercise 25:17,22 98:12 exercising 66:11 exist 55:17 existing 67:21 exists 12:10 expanded 56:22 expanding 66:13 expansive 57:11	104:1 fact 12:22 17:13 18:4 31:15 36:11 38:15 47:2 49:5 60:25 61:7 63:8 64:7,11 67:6 71:20 73:17 74:10 78:13 78:21 79:1 82:23 83:11 87:4 95:9 97:23 factor 46:24 factors 46:8 47:20 facts 27:22 28:8	67:16 feel 15:11 55:22 feeling 32:3 fees 20:21 feinberg 31:22 32:1 32:4,5 39:14 40:1 91:25 92:1 feld 5:10 84:4 feldman 63:21 felt 43:19 field 46:17 80:22 fifth 17:22 figure 29:6 30:24
esq 3:7,8,15,22,23 4:6,13,21,22 5:6,7,8 5:15,16,22 6:6,13 6:22 7:6,13,20 8:5 8:13,21 essentially 26:16 83:14 94:15 esserman 7:15,20 9:12 10:13 13:13,19 15:20,23 16:1 45:23 45:23 87:24 89:4 102:5,7 esserman's 11:24 12:11	exchange 28:15 excusable 19:19 31:2,11 82:23 87:8 executive 63:6 exercise 25:17,22 98:12 exercising 66:11 exist 55:17 existing 67:21 exists 12:10 expanded 56:22 expanding 66:13 expansive 57:11 expect 36:21 98:9	104:1 fact 12:22 17:13 18:4 31:15 36:11 38:15 47:2 49:5 60:25 61:7 63:8 64:7,11 67:6 71:20 73:17 74:10 78:13 78:21 79:1 82:23 83:11 87:4 95:9 97:23 factor 46:24 factors 46:8 47:20 facts 27:22 28:8 29:7 42:18 61:23	67:16 feel 15:11 55:22 feeling 32:3 fees 20:21 feinberg 31:22 32:1 32:4,5 39:14 40:1 91:25 92:1 feld 5:10 84:4 feldman 63:21 felt 43:19 field 46:17 80:22 fifth 17:22 figure 29:6 30:24 31:23 33:12 51:14
esq 3:7,8,15,22,23 4:6,13,21,22 5:6,7,8 5:15,16,22 6:6,13 6:22 7:6,13,20 8:5 8:13,21 essentially 26:16 83:14 94:15 esserman 7:15,20 9:12 10:13 13:13,19 15:20,23 16:1 45:23 45:23 87:24 89:4 102:5,7 esserman's 11:24 12:11 establish 10:10	exchange 28:15 excusable 19:19 31:2,11 82:23 87:8 executive 63:6 exercise 25:17,22 98:12 exercising 66:11 exist 55:17 existing 67:21 exists 12:10 expanded 56:22 expanding 66:13 expansive 57:11 expect 36:21 98:9 expectation 17:14	104:1 fact 12:22 17:13 18:4 31:15 36:11 38:15 47:2 49:5 60:25 61:7 63:8 64:7,11 67:6 71:20 73:17 74:10 78:13 78:21 79:1 82:23 83:11 87:4 95:9 97:23 factor 46:24 factors 46:8 47:20 facts 27:22 28:8 29:7 42:18 61:23 78:1 92:16,23,25	67:16 feel 15:11 55:22 feeling 32:3 fees 20:21 feinberg 31:22 32:1 32:4,5 39:14 40:1 91:25 92:1 feld 5:10 84:4 feldman 63:21 felt 43:19 field 46:17 80:22 fifth 17:22 figure 29:6 30:24 31:23 33:12 51:14 figured 84:8 101:23
esq 3:7,8,15,22,23 4:6,13,21,22 5:6,7,8 5:15,16,22 6:6,13 6:22 7:6,13,20 8:5 8:13,21 essentially 26:16 83:14 94:15 esserman 7:15,20 9:12 10:13 13:13,19 15:20,23 16:1 45:23 45:23 87:24 89:4 102:5,7 esserman's 11:24 12:11 establish 10:10 established 76:20	exchange 28:15 excusable 19:19 31:2,11 82:23 87:8 executive 63:6 exercise 25:17,22 98:12 exercising 66:11 exist 55:17 existing 67:21 exists 12:10 expanded 56:22 expanding 66:13 expansive 57:11 expect 36:21 98:9 expectation 17:14 expectations 10:21	104:1 fact 12:22 17:13 18:4 31:15 36:11 38:15 47:2 49:5 60:25 61:7 63:8 64:7,11 67:6 71:20 73:17 74:10 78:13 78:21 79:1 82:23 83:11 87:4 95:9 97:23 factor 46:24 factors 46:8 47:20 facts 27:22 28:8 29:7 42:18 61:23 78:1 92:16,23,25 96:15	67:16 feel 15:11 55:22 feeling 32:3 fees 20:21 feinberg 31:22 32:1 32:4,5 39:14 40:1 91:25 92:1 feld 5:10 84:4 feldman 63:21 felt 43:19 field 46:17 80:22 fifth 17:22 figure 29:6 30:24 31:23 33:12 51:14
esq 3:7,8,15,22,23 4:6,13,21,22 5:6,7,8 5:15,16,22 6:6,13 6:22 7:6,13,20 8:5 8:13,21 essentially 26:16 83:14 94:15 esserman 7:15,20 9:12 10:13 13:13,19 15:20,23 16:1 45:23 45:23 87:24 89:4 102:5,7 esserman's 11:24 12:11 establish 10:10 established 76:20 establishing 76:20	exchange 28:15 excusable 19:19 31:2,11 82:23 87:8 executive 63:6 exercise 25:17,22 98:12 exercising 66:11 exist 55:17 existing 67:21 exists 12:10 expanded 56:22 expanding 66:13 expansive 57:11 expect 36:21 98:9 expectation 17:14 expectations 10:21 expected 74:6	104:1 fact 12:22 17:13 18:4 31:15 36:11 38:15 47:2 49:5 60:25 61:7 63:8 64:7,11 67:6 71:20 73:17 74:10 78:13 78:21 79:1 82:23 83:11 87:4 95:9 97:23 factor 46:24 factors 46:8 47:20 facts 27:22 28:8 29:7 42:18 61:23 78:1 92:16,23,25 96:15 factual 66:13	67:16 feel 15:11 55:22 feeling 32:3 fees 20:21 feinberg 31:22 32:1 32:4,5 39:14 40:1 91:25 92:1 feld 5:10 84:4 feldman 63:21 felt 43:19 field 46:17 80:22 fifth 17:22 figure 29:6 30:24 31:23 33:12 51:14 figured 84:8 101:23 figuring 10:3 53:4 65:7
esq 3:7,8,15,22,23 4:6,13,21,22 5:6,7,8 5:15,16,22 6:6,13 6:22 7:6,13,20 8:5 8:13,21 essentially 26:16 83:14 94:15 esserman 7:15,20 9:12 10:13 13:13,19 15:20,23 16:1 45:23 45:23 87:24 89:4 102:5,7 esserman's 11:24 12:11 establish 10:10 established 76:20 esteemed 89:22	exchange 28:15 excusable 19:19 31:2,11 82:23 87:8 executive 63:6 exercise 25:17,22 98:12 exercising 66:11 exist 55:17 existing 67:21 exists 12:10 expanded 56:22 expanding 66:13 expansive 57:11 expect 36:21 98:9 expectation 17:14 expectations 10:21 expected 74:6 expedited 15:25	104:1 fact 12:22 17:13 18:4 31:15 36:11 38:15 47:2 49:5 60:25 61:7 63:8 64:7,11 67:6 71:20 73:17 74:10 78:13 78:21 79:1 82:23 83:11 87:4 95:9 97:23 factor 46:24 factors 46:8 47:20 facts 27:22 28:8 29:7 42:18 61:23 78:1 92:16,23,25 96:15 factual 66:13 fail 86:25 87:1	67:16 feel 15:11 55:22 feeling 32:3 fees 20:21 feinberg 31:22 32:1 32:4,5 39:14 40:1 91:25 92:1 feld 5:10 84:4 feldman 63:21 felt 43:19 field 46:17 80:22 fifth 17:22 figure 29:6 30:24 31:23 33:12 51:14 figured 84:8 101:23 figuring 10:3 53:4
esq 3:7,8,15,22,23 4:6,13,21,22 5:6,7,8 5:15,16,22 6:6,13 6:22 7:6,13,20 8:5 8:13,21 essentially 26:16 83:14 94:15 esserman 7:15,20 9:12 10:13 13:13,19 15:20,23 16:1 45:23 45:23 87:24 89:4 102:5,7 esserman's 11:24 12:11 establish 10:10 established 76:20 esteemed 89:22 et 1:7,8 4:9 5:2 8:16	exchange 28:15 excusable 19:19 31:2,11 82:23 87:8 executive 63:6 exercise 25:17,22 98:12 exercising 66:11 exist 55:17 existing 67:21 exists 12:10 expanded 56:22 expanding 66:13 expansive 57:11 expect 36:21 98:9 expectation 17:14 expectations 10:21 expected 74:6 expedited 15:25 expeditiously 96:2	104:1 fact 12:22 17:13 18:4 31:15 36:11 38:15 47:2 49:5 60:25 61:7 63:8 64:7,11 67:6 71:20 73:17 74:10 78:13 78:21 79:1 82:23 83:11 87:4 95:9 97:23 factor 46:24 factors 46:8 47:20 facts 27:22 28:8 29:7 42:18 61:23 78:1 92:16,23,25 96:15 factual 66:13 fail 86:25 87:1 failed 101:13	67:16 feel 15:11 55:22 feeling 32:3 fees 20:21 feinberg 31:22 32:1 32:4,5 39:14 40:1 91:25 92:1 feld 5:10 84:4 feldman 63:21 felt 43:19 field 46:17 80:22 fifth 17:22 figure 29:6 30:24 31:23 33:12 51:14 figured 84:8 101:23 figuring 10:3 53:4 65:7 file 18:12 19:19 23:21 24:24 25:9
esq 3:7,8,15,22,23 4:6,13,21,22 5:6,7,8 5:15,16,22 6:6,13 6:22 7:6,13,20 8:5 8:13,21 essentially 26:16 83:14 94:15 esserman 7:15,20 9:12 10:13 13:13,19 15:20,23 16:1 45:23 45:23 87:24 89:4 102:5,7 esserman's 11:24 12:11 establish 10:10 established 76:20 establishing 76:20 esteemed 89:22 et 1:7,8 4:9 5:2 8:16 etkin 6:13 88:16,17	exchange 28:15 excusable 19:19 31:2,11 82:23 87:8 executive 63:6 exercise 25:17,22 98:12 exercising 66:11 exist 55:17 existing 67:21 exists 12:10 expanded 56:22 expanding 66:13 expansive 57:11 expect 36:21 98:9 expectation 17:14 expectations 10:21 expected 74:6 expeditiously 96:2 expense 85:1	104:1 fact 12:22 17:13 18:4 31:15 36:11 38:15 47:2 49:5 60:25 61:7 63:8 64:7,11 67:6 71:20 73:17 74:10 78:13 78:21 79:1 82:23 83:11 87:4 95:9 97:23 factor 46:24 factors 46:8 47:20 facts 27:22 28:8 29:7 42:18 61:23 78:1 92:16,23,25 96:15 factual 66:13 fail 86:25 87:1 failed 101:13 failure 71:12 91:16	67:16 feel 15:11 55:22 feeling 32:3 fees 20:21 feinberg 31:22 32:1 32:4,5 39:14 40:1 91:25 92:1 feld 5:10 84:4 feldman 63:21 felt 43:19 field 46:17 80:22 fifth 17:22 figure 29:6 30:24 31:23 33:12 51:14 figured 84:8 101:23 figuring 10:3 53:4 65:7 file 18:12 19:19 23:21 24:24 25:9 55:10,19 56:1,13,22
esq 3:7,8,15,22,23 4:6,13,21,22 5:6,7,8 5:15,16,22 6:6,13 6:22 7:6,13,20 8:5 8:13,21 essentially 26:16 83:14 94:15 esserman 7:15,20 9:12 10:13 13:13,19 15:20,23 16:1 45:23 45:23 87:24 89:4 102:5,7 esserman's 11:24 12:11 establish 10:10 established 76:20 establishing 76:20 esteemed 89:22 et 1:7,8 4:9 5:2 8:16 etkin 6:13 88:16,17 88:17 89:10 90:3	exchange 28:15 excusable 19:19 31:2,11 82:23 87:8 executive 63:6 exercise 25:17,22 98:12 exercising 66:11 exist 55:17 existing 67:21 exists 12:10 expanded 56:22 expanding 66:13 expansive 57:11 expect 36:21 98:9 expectation 17:14 expectations 10:21 expected 74:6 expedited 15:25 expeditiously 96:2 expense 85:1 expiration 57:9	104:1 fact 12:22 17:13 18:4 31:15 36:11 38:15 47:2 49:5 60:25 61:7 63:8 64:7,11 67:6 71:20 73:17 74:10 78:13 78:21 79:1 82:23 83:11 87:4 95:9 97:23 factor 46:24 factors 46:8 47:20 facts 27:22 28:8 29:7 42:18 61:23 78:1 92:16,23,25 96:15 factual 66:13 fail 86:25 87:1 failed 101:13 failure 71:12 91:16 93:9	67:16 feel 15:11 55:22 feeling 32:3 fees 20:21 feinberg 31:22 32:1 32:4,5 39:14 40:1 91:25 92:1 feld 5:10 84:4 feldman 63:21 felt 43:19 field 46:17 80:22 fifth 17:22 figure 29:6 30:24 31:23 33:12 51:14 figured 84:8 101:23 figuring 10:3 53:4 65:7 file 18:12 19:19 23:21 24:24 25:9 55:10,19 56:1,13,22 85:16 100:15
esq 3:7,8,15,22,23 4:6,13,21,22 5:6,7,8 5:15,16,22 6:6,13 6:22 7:6,13,20 8:5 8:13,21 essentially 26:16 83:14 94:15 esserman 7:15,20 9:12 10:13 13:13,19 15:20,23 16:1 45:23 45:23 87:24 89:4 102:5,7 esserman's 11:24 12:11 establish 10:10 established 76:20 establishing 76:20 esteemed 89:22 et 1:7,8 4:9 5:2 8:16 etkin 6:13 88:16,17	exchange 28:15 excusable 19:19 31:2,11 82:23 87:8 executive 63:6 exercise 25:17,22 98:12 exercising 66:11 exist 55:17 existing 67:21 exists 12:10 expanded 56:22 expanding 66:13 expansive 57:11 expect 36:21 98:9 expectation 17:14 expectations 10:21 expected 74:6 expeditiously 96:2 expense 85:1	104:1 fact 12:22 17:13 18:4 31:15 36:11 38:15 47:2 49:5 60:25 61:7 63:8 64:7,11 67:6 71:20 73:17 74:10 78:13 78:21 79:1 82:23 83:11 87:4 95:9 97:23 factor 46:24 factors 46:8 47:20 facts 27:22 28:8 29:7 42:18 61:23 78:1 92:16,23,25 96:15 factual 66:13 fail 86:25 87:1 failed 101:13 failure 71:12 91:16	67:16 feel 15:11 55:22 feeling 32:3 fees 20:21 feinberg 31:22 32:1 32:4,5 39:14 40:1 91:25 92:1 feld 5:10 84:4 feldman 63:21 felt 43:19 field 46:17 80:22 fifth 17:22 figure 29:6 30:24 31:23 33:12 51:14 figured 84:8 101:23 figuring 10:3 53:4 65:7 file 18:12 19:19 23:21 24:24 25:9 55:10,19 56:1,13,22

[filed - gm's]

72:2 73:25 74:1,23 80:12 83:9 85:24 86:19 90:11 93:5 files 22:9 filing 24:3,9,19,23 79:15 80:17 filings 9:16 filing 28:16 final 60:7 final 60:7 final 60:7 final 60:7 final 60:7 final 60:7 fine 24:1 79:12,13 79:22,24 fine 24:1 79:12,13 79:22,24 fine berg 75:9,10 fingers 47:8 fires 11:10 firm 8:15 25:23 firms 12:23 13:4,7 90:11 firms 12:23 13:4,7 55:13 first 12:8 13:10 14:11 15:20 20:25 43:17 47:23 59:12 59:17 60:6 65:24 77:6 66:524 77:6 66:9:15 five 45:9 57:20 67:3 98:24 five 45:9 57:20 67:3 98:24 fixe 67:5 fixed 33:15,18,22 33:24 34:3,4 68:6,7 forming 19:10 fixms 12:23 33:15,18,22 33:24 34:3,4 68:6,7 forming 19:10 fixms 12:23 33:15,18,22 33:24 34:3,4 68:6,7 forming 19:10 fixe 67:5 fixed 33:15,18,22 33:24 34:3,4 68:6,7 forming 19:10 fixer 23:12 forming 19:10 fixer 23:24 forming 19:10 fixer 33:15,18,22 forming 19:10 fixer 23:24 forming 19:10 fixer 33:15,18,22 forming 19:10 fixer 24:34 34:34 68:6,7 forming 19:10 formin	51054055550	77.00 70.00 00 00	form 20.10 54-17	ganarals 60.14
80:12 83:9 85:24 86:19 90:11 93:5 files 22:9 filings 9:16 filing 24:3,9,19,23 79:15 80:17 filings 9:16 filing 18:16 filinal 60:7 filind 21:9 findings 60:24 fine 24:1 79:12,13 79:22,24 fine 24:1 79:12,13 79:22,24 fine 25:11:0 filings 19:16 firm 8:15 25:23 45:19,19 46:3 75:21 88:13 89:4,4,5 90:11 firm 8:15 25:23 45:19,19 46:3 75:21 88:13 89:4,4,5 90:11 firm 12:23 13:4,7 force 99:16 force 99:16 force 99:16 force 20:17 ford 46:18 forcelosed 25:4 forcelosed 25:4 73:6 81:14,16 82:20 97:18 fit 9:15 38:14 fit 19:16 fit 9:16 fit	51:3 54:25 57:7,8	77:22 79:22 80:23	four 20:18 54:17	generals 60:14
86:19 90:11 93:5 files 22:9 flaxer's 9:18 17:17 17:21 19:11 17:22 19:12 17:22 17:12 19:12 17:22 17:12 19:12 17:22 17:12 19:12 19:12 17:22 17:12 19:12 17:21 19:12 17:22 17:12 19:12 17:22 17:12 19:12 17:22 17:12 19:12 17:22 17:12 19:12 17:22 17:12 19:12 17:21 19:12 17:22 17:12 19:12 17:22 17:12 19:12 17:22 17:12 19:12 17:21 19:12 17:22 17:12 19:12 17:22 17:12 19:12 17:22 17:12 19:12 17:22 17:12 19:12 17:22 17:12 19:12 17:22 17:12 19:12 17:22 17:12 19:12 17:22 17:12 19:12 17:22 17:12 19:12 17:22 17:12 19:12 17:22 17:12 19:12 17:22 17:12 19:12 17:22 17:12 19:12 17:22 17:12 19:12 17:22 17:12 19:12 17:22 17:12 19:12 17:22 17:12 19:12 17				, •
files 22:9 filing 24:3,9,19,23 filacer's 93:14 flaxer's flaxer's 93:14 glips flaxer's 93:14 glips 30:9,11 55:1,8 60:6 flip 58:4,11 floor flow 80:20 flip 58:4,11 floor 70:10 8:10 flow 60:28 83:4,13 86:2 glips 90:24 fraud 14:11 12:18 glive 99:20 glbson 4:18:19 give 99:20 glbson 4:18:19 give 99:20 glbson 4:18:19 give 99:20 glbson 4:18:19 give 99:20 flow 99:20 glbson 4:18:19 give 99:13 give 99:13 give 99:13 give				, G
filing 24:3,9,19,23 79:15 80:17 filings 9:16 filing 28:16 final 60:7 filing 28:16 final 60:7 find 21:9 findings 60:24 fine 24:1 79:12,13 79:22,24 fineberg 75:9,10 filingers 47:8 fires 11:10 firm 8:15 25:23 45:19,19 46:3 75:21 88:13 89:4,4,5 90:11 firms 12:23 13:4,7 55:13 first 12:8 13:10 14:11 15:20 20:25 43:17 47:23 59:12 59:17 60:6 65:24 73:6 81:14,16 82:20 84:2 85:25 88:22 97:18 fit 91:5 38:14 fits 38:9 101:25 five 45:9 57:20 67:3 98:24 first 33:29 10:25 five 45:9 57:20 67:3 98:24 first 33:15,18,22 33:24 34:3,4 68:6,7 68:14 fix 91:5 38:14 fits 38:9 101:25 five 45:9 57:20 67:3 98:24 first 12:8 13:10 11:10 12:11 29:13 13:10 14:11 15:20 20:25 43:17 47:23 59:12 50:15 67:17 90:22 five 33:15,18,22 33:24 34:3,4 68:6,7 68:14 fix 91:5 38:14 fits 38:9 101:25 five 45:9 57:20 67:3 98:24 first 12:8 13:10 11:10 11:11 94:14 fix 67:5 fixed 33:15,18,22 33:24 34:3,4 68:7 68:14 fix 91:5 38:14 fits 38:9 101:25 five 45:9 57:20 67:3 98:24 form 56:16 68:9,15 formal 16:20 23:16,23 24:16 25:4 form 56:16 68:9,15 formal 16:20 23:16,23 24:16 25:4 form 56:16 68:9,15 formal 16:20 23:16,23 24:16 25:4 form 56:16 68:9,15 formal 16:20 39:9,11 55:1,8 60:6 63:8 83:4,13 86:2 py0:24 firaud 14:11 21:18 21:25 22:1,6 23:14 64:2 29:18 26:314 64:2 29:19 62:24 64:14,15 77:4,614 78:6,18 77:4,614 78:6,18 77:4,614 78:6,18 77:4,614 78:6,18 77:5,614 78:6,18 77:4,614 78:6,18 77:4,614 78:6,18 77:4,614 78:6,18 77:4,614 78:6,18 77:4,614 78:6,18 77:4,614 78:6,18 77:4,614 78:6,18 77:4,614 78:6,18 77:4,614 78:6,18 77:4,614 78:6,18 77:4,614 78:6,18 77:4,614 78:6,18 77:4,614 78:6,18 77:4,614 78:6,18 77:4,614 78:6,18 77:4,614 78:6,18 77:6,614 78:6,18 77:4,614 78:6,1				, 0
79:15 80:17 filings 9:16 fill 28:16 find 21:9 find 21:9 findings 60:24 fine 24:1 79:12,13 79:22,24 fineberg 75:9,10 fill 28:16 firm 8:15 25:23 45:19,19 46:3 75:21 88:13 89:4,4,5 90:11 firms 12:23 13:4,7 55:13 first 12:8 13:10 14:11 15:20 20:25 43:17 47:23 59:12 59:17 60:6 65:24 73:6 81:14,16 82:20 84:2 85:25 88:22 97:18 fit 9:15 38:14 fit 9:16 46:18 fit 9:15 38:14 fit 9:19 10:10 formal 14:7 29:11 fit 9:10 formal 14:7 29:11 fit 9:10 formal 14:7 29:11 fit 9:10 formal 19:10 f			<u> </u>	0
filings 9:16 flip 58:4,11 90:24 99:20 gibson 4:18:19 99:20 gibson 4:18:19 99:20 gibson 4:18:19 gibson 4:18:14,15 62:24 62:25 60:16 66:16 66:16 48:20 58:19,25 59:5 66:18 66:18 77:19 101:4 97:69,16 67:12 78:19 101:4 97:69,16 67:12 89:16 77:19	filing 24:3,9,19,23			
fill 28:16 floor 7:10 8:10 fraud 14:11 21:18 gibson 4:1 81:19 final 60:7 floor 7:10 8:10 fraud 14:11 21:18 gibson 4:1 81:19 final 60:7 floor 80:20 39:6 43:11,16 44:4 48:20 58:19,25 59:5 48:14,15 70:20 90:13 28:14,15 29:19 62:24 64:14 64:14,15 70:20 90:15 101:19 102:3 29:19 62:24 64:14 64:14,15 70:20 90:15 101:19 102:3 29:15 66:1 66:14 76:12,18 64:14,15 70:20 90:15 101:19 102:3 give 9:13 28:14,15 29:19 62:24 64:14 64:14,15 70:20 90:15 101:19 102:3 66:16 66:14 76:12,18 67:14 78:6,18 99:15 101:19 102:3 66:16 66:14 76:12,18 77:46,14 78:6,18 99:15 101:19 102:3 66:18 67:13 77:19 101:4 77:46,14 78:6,18 99:15 101:19 102:3 66:16 66:14 76:12,18 76:6 88:22 95:14 77:6 88:22 95:14 77:6 88:22 95:14 77:19 101:4 67:6 99:16 67:13 77:19 10:4 77:19 101:4 67:13 77:19 29:19 77:19 101:4 67:13 77:19 29:29 67:13 77:19 29:29 78:10 8:11 11:11,6,12 11:12,2,3 31:17 11:12,41 33:10 11:12,41 33:17 78:19 82:25 68:17 99:25 101:6 68:17 99:25 101:6 68:17 99:25 101:6 68:17 99:25 101:6 72:19 82:25 11 9:13 29:19	79:15 80:17		•	
Final 60:7 flooring 1:14 flow 80:20 39:6 43:11,16 44:4 50:19:19:25 59:5 60:24 60:us 19:8 33:5 48:14 79:4 92:3 60:22,24 focusing 58:1,9 65:1 66:14 76:12,18 77:46,14 78:6,18 77:19 101:4 follow 34:21 43:9 fores 11:10 fores 11:10 fores 12:23 13:4,7 50:11 firm 8:15:25:23 45:19,19 46:3 75:21 force 99:16 forcing 20:17 ford 46:18 foreclosed 42:4 foregoing 104:3,10 foregive 24:8 49:10 30:25 91:5 fores 12:8 13:10 14:11 15:20 20:25 43:17 47:23 59:12 fore 16:16 68:9,15 77:18 101:25 first 12:8 13:10 14:11 15:20 20:25 43:17 47:23 59:12 fores 16:16 68:9,15 form 36:16 68:9,15 form 36:16 68:9,15 form 33:25 69:1 form 46:20 form 56:16 68:9,15 form 45:06 68:24 form 56:16 68:9,15 form 45:06 68:24 form 56:16 68:9,15 form 45:06 68:24 form 56:16 68:9,15 form 45:06 68:14 form 33:25 69:1 form 46:20 form 33:25 69:1 form 46:20 form 56:16 68:9,15 form 45:16 formal 46:20 form 56:16 68:9,15 form 45:16 formal 46:20 form 36:16 68:9,15 form 45:16 formal 46:20 form 36:16 68:9,15 form 45:16 formal 46:20 form 56:16 68:9,15 form 45:16 formal 46:20 form 56:16 68:9,15 form 45:16 formal 46:20 form 56:16 68:9,15 form 45:10 formulation 21:15 formal 45:20 form 56:16 68:9,15 formal 46:20 form 56:16 68:9,15 formal 46:20 form 56:16 68:9,15 form 45:10 formulation 21:15 formal 45:20 form 56:16 68:9,15 form 45:10 formulation 21:15 formal 45:20 form 56:16 68:9,15 form 45:10 formulation 21:15 form 45:10 formulation 21:15 form 45:10 formulation 21:15 form 45:10 formulation 21:15 form 46:20 form 56:16 68:9,15 fo	filings 9:16	· • ·		
find 21:9 flow 80:20 39:6 43:11,16 44:4 42:11,16 44:4 42:11,16 44:4 42:11,16 44:4 42:11,16 44:4 42:11,16 44:4 42:11,16 44:4 42:11,16 44:4 42:11,16 44:4 42:11,16 44:4 42:11,16 44:4 42:11,16 44:4 42:11,16 44:4 42:11,16 44:4 42:11,16 44:4 42:11,16 44:4 42:11,16 44:4 42:11,16 44:4 42:11,16 44:4 42:10 58:19,25 59:5 48:10 58:19,25 59:5 48:10 58:14,63 48:10 79:42 39:12 62:25 66:81 47:6:12,18 77:46,14 78:6,18 77:46,14 78:6,18 77:46,9,16 90:15 77:49 101:4 77:49 101:4 97:69,16 97:69,16 97:69,16 97:69,16 97:69,16 97:69,16 97:69,16 97:69,16 97:69,16 97:19 97:69,16 97:69,16 97:19 97:69,16 97:19 97:69,16 97:19 97:19 98:16 67:13 97:12 98:16 67:13 97:12 98:16 67:13 97:12 98:16 67:13 97:12 98:16 67:13 97:12 98:16 67:13 97:12 98:16 67:13 97:19 97:19 97:19 97:19	fill 28:16			, •
findings 60:24 fine 24:1 79:12,13 79:22,24 fineberg 75:9,10 finegrs 47:8 fires 11:10 firm 8:15 25:23 45:19,19 46:3 75:21 88:13 89:4,4,5 90:11 firms 12:23 13:4,7 55:13 first 12:8 13:10 14:11 15:20 20:25 43:17 47:23 59:12 59:17 60:6 65:24 73:6 81:14,16 82:20 84:2 85:25 88:22 97:18 fit 9:15 38:14 fires 13:10 14:11 15:20 20:25 formal 46:18 fires 19:19 38:14 fires 19:15 38:14 fires 19:10 50:15 66:14 76:12,18 fires 19:15 10:19 102:3 fires 19:10 50:15 69:15 fires 16:15 77:24 give 30:37:113 75:6 88:22 95:11 give 30:37:13 75:6 88:22 95:11 give 30:37:13 75:6 88:22 95:11 free 16:15 57:24 give 19:15:15,19,23 96:8 given 30:37:13 75:6 88:22 95:11 free 16:15 57:24 give 19:15:15,18 give 30:15 10:19 102:3 give 30:15 10:119 102:3 give 30:15 10:15 102:3 give 30:15 10:15 10:25 give 30:15 10:15 10:15 10:25 give 30:15 10:15 10:25 give 10:13 32:13 30:25 91:5 sill 66:14 76:12,18 give 30:13 14:11:2,18 give 30:13 14:1	final 60:7	flooring 1:14	-	(—
fine 24:1 79:12,13 48:14 79:4 92:3 focusing 58:1,9 62:8,12 63:14 64:2 for:4 65:1 66:14 76:12,18 fores 47:8 folks 13:21 69:15 force 99:16 forcing 20:17 ford 46:18 forcelose 42:4 foreclose 42:4 foreclose 42:4 foregoing 104:3,10 forging 104:3,10 formal 46:20 formal 46:2	find 21:9	flow 80:20	•	
79:22,24 fineberg 75:9,10 fingers 47:8 fires 11:10 firm 8:15 25:23 d45:19,19 46:3 75:21 88:13 89:4,4,5 90:11 firms 12:23 13:4,7 fore ose 42:4 foreclose 42:4 foreclose 42:4 foreclose 42:4 foreclose 42:4 foregoing 104:3,10 14:11 15:20 20:25 d3:17 47:23 59:12 59:17 60:6 65:24 73:6 81:14,16 82:20 form 56:16 68:9,15 fit 9:15 38:14 fit 9:15 98:25 formal 46:20 g9:13 30:25 91:5 formal 46:20 g9:13 30:25 91:5 furthermore 86:7 future 16:8 74:7 future 16:8 74:7 future 16:8 74:7 future 16:8 74:7 foredosed 22:4 gather 10:25 13:20 gat	findings 60:24	focus 19:8 33:5	_	
fineberg 75:9,10 62:25 77:4,6,14 78:6,18 75:6 88:22 95:11 fingers 47:8 folks 13:21 69:15 77:4,6,14 78:6,18 75:6 88:22 95:11 fires 11:10 77:19 101:4 92:15,19,23 96:8 97:69,16 glove 11:13 32:17 fires 13:18 38:4,45 force 99:16 free 16:15 97:24 glove 11:13 32:17 55:13 forcing 20:17 fore lose 42:4 fore lose 52:4 fore lose 42:4 fore lose 52:4 full 91:2 98:5 100:9 22:10,16 31:8,21 31:11 1:1,6,12 11:22,23 13:17 12:22,3 13:17 12:22,3 13:17 12:22,3 30:22 30:25 91:5 full 91:2 98:5 100:9 full 91:2 98:5 100:9 22:10,16 31:8,21 32:10,16 20:2 33:25 35:10,10,12 32:10,16 20:2 33:25 35:10,10,12 35:24 36:2,69,10 36:14 49:17 53:6,9 57:10 37:5,8,8,16,17 37:5,8,8,16,17 37:5,8,8,16,17 37:5,8,8,16,17 37:5,8,8,16,17 37:5,8,8,16,17 37:5,8,8,16,17 37:5,8,8,16,17 37:19 8:18 46:18 47:19 8:25 48:25 49:	fine 24:1 79:12,13	48:14 79:4 92:3		
fingers 47:8 fires 11:10 firm 8:15 25:23 45:19,19 46:3 75:21 88:13 89:4,4,5 90:11 firms 12:23 13:4,7 55:13 first 12:8 13:10 14:11 15:20 20:25 43:17 47:23 59:12 59:17 60:6 65:24 73:6 81:14,16 82:20 84:2 85:25 88:22 97:18 fit 9:15 38:14 fix 67:5 fixed 33:15,18,22 33:24 34:3,4 68:6,7 68:14 fix 67:5 fixed 33:15,18,22 33:24 34:3,4 68:6,7 68:14 fixer 3:22 9:12 fixing 33:25 69:1 71:12 94:14 fixers 69:23 fixing 33:25 69:1 71:12 94:14 fixer 3:22 9:12 fixing 33:29 21:2,18 giving 55:24 glove 11:13 32:17 37:21 38:21,23 gm 3:11 11:1,6,12 11:22,23 13:17 11:22,23 13:17 11:22,23 13:17 11:22,23 13:17 11:22,23 13:17 11:22,23 13:17 11:22,23 13:17 11:29,15 fored 46:18 foreclose 42:4 foreclose 42:4 foreclose 42:4 foreclose 42:4 foreoing 104:3,10 forgive 24:8 49:10 50:5 67:17 90:22 formal 46:20 formalities 56:4 formation 99:15 formed 14:7 29:11 giabled 94:5 fixer 3:22 9:12 10:13 13:9 18:14,23 19:3 20:9 21:2,18 24:24 54:24 55:2 99:11 folls 3:21 69:15 free 16:15 97:24 glove 11:13 32:17 37:21 38:21,23 gm 3:11 11:1,6,12 11:22,23 13:17 14:24 15:10 19:14 19:15,20,23 20:3 22:10,16 31:8,21 32:16,13 (19) 11:22,23 13:17 11:22,23 13:17 14:24 15:10 19:14 19:15,20,223 33:25 51:0,10,12 35:24 36:2,6,9,10 36:12,15,20,22 33:25 51:5 further 15:14 44:15 49:17 53:6,9 57:10 89:15 90:21 99:15 format 16:15 97:24 gm 3:11 11:1,6,12 11:22,23 13:17 14:24 15:10 19:14 19:15,20,23 20:3 32:25 91:5 further 15:14 44:15 49:17 53:6,9 57:10 89:15 90:21 99:15 gially 29:21,23 30:22 33:25 57:10 37:21 38:21,23 30:25 91:5 further 15:14 44:15 49:17 53:6,9 57:10 89:15 90:21 99:13 gg 9:1 103:3 104:10 gap 28:18 42:21 gaps 28:16 gather 10:25 13:20 gather 10:25 13:2	79:22,24	focusing 58:1,9	65:1 66:14 76:12,18	given 30:3 71:13
fingers 47:8 folks 13:21 69:15 92:15,19,23 96:8 giving 55:24 firms 11:10 follow 34:21 43:9 free 97:6,9,16 fray 51:15 free 97:6,9,16 fray fray fray 51:15 free 96:11 force 99:16 free force 99:16 fray 51:15 free 16:15 97:24 gm 3:11 11:16,12 37:21 38:21,23 37:21 38:21,23 gm 3:11 11:16,12 37:21 38:21,23 gm 3:11 11:16,12 12:22,23 13:17 14:24 15:10 19:14 19:15,20,23 20:3 gm 3:11 11:16,12 11:22,23 13:17 14:24 15:10 19:14 19:15,20,23 20:3 22:10,16 31:8,21 11:22,23 13:17 14:24 15:10 19:14 19:15,20,23 20:3 22:10,16 31:8,21 19:15,20,23 20:3 22:10,16 31:8,21 19:15,20,23 20:3 22:10,16 31:8,21 19:15,20,23 20:3 22:10,16 31:8,21 19:15,20,23 20:3 22:10,16 31:8,21 37:24 36:16,42 19:15,20,23 20:3 22:10,16 31:8,21 19:15,20,23 20:3 22:10,16 31:8,21 19:15,20,23 20:3 32:16,16,20,22 33:25 35:10,10,12 35:14 46:18 49:17 53:6,9 57	fineberg 75:9,10	62:25		!
fires 11:10 77:19 101:4 97:6,9,16 glove 11:13 32:17 firm 8:15 25:23 force 99:16 fray 51:15 glove 11:13 32:17 88:13 89:4,4,5 force 99:16 front 48:15 25:23 gm 3:11 11:1,6,12 90:11 ford 46:18 forcelose 42:4 46:18 front 42:6 44:6 11:22,23 13:17 55:13 foreclosed 25:4 foreclosed 25:4 foreclosed 25:4 foreclosed 25:4 forelose 42:6 44:6 46:13 19:15,20,23 20:3 22:10,16 31:8,21 11:22,23 13:17 14:24 15:10 19:14 19:15,20,23 20:3 22:10,16 31:8,21 32:17 37:21 38:21,23 30:25 91:5 full 91:29,21,23 30:22 30:25 91:5 full 91:29,21,23 30:22 33:25 35:10,10,12 35:24 36:2,6,9,10 35:24 36:2,6,9,10 36:12,21,23 31:17 42:26 44:6 47:18 82:25 48:18 42:15 47:19 82:25 47:19 82:25 66:17 49:22 66:17 49:22 66:17 49:22 67:18 49:17 53:6,9 57:10 49:17 53:6,9 57:10 49:17 53:6,9 57:10 </td <td>-</td> <td>folks 13:21 69:15</td> <td>92:15,19,23 96:8</td> <td>giving 55:24</td>	-	folks 13:21 69:15	92:15,19,23 96:8	giving 55:24
45:19,19 46:3 75:21	, –	77:19 101:4	97:6,9,16	glove 11:13 32:17
88:13 89:4,4,5 90:11 firms 12:23 13:4,7 55:13 foreclose 42:4 foreclosed 25:4 first 12:8 13:10 14:11 15:20 20:25 43:17 47:23 59:12 59:17 60:6 65:24 73:6 81:14,16 82:20 84:2 85:25 88:22 97:18 fit 9:15 38:14 fits 38:9 101:25 five 45:9 57:20 67:3 98:24 fixer 67:5 fixed 33:15,18,22 33:24 34:3,4 68:6,7 68:14 fixers 69:23 fixing 33:25 69:1 71:12 94:14 fixers 69:23 fixing 33:25 69:1 71:12 94:14 fixers 69:23 fixing 33:25 69:1 71:12 94:14 fixers 3:22 9:12 10:13 13:9 18:14,23 19:3 20:9 21:2,18 24:24 54:24 55:2 88:13 89:4,4,5 forcing 20:17 ford 46:18 front 42:6 44:6 67:13 full 91:2 98:5 100:9 fully 29:21,23 30:22 30:25 91:5 full 91:2 98:5 100:9 fully 29:21,23 30:22 30:25 91:5 fundamental 60:4 72:19 82:25 further 15:14 44:15 49:17 53:6,9 57:10 89:15 96:21 99:5 furthermore 86:7 future 16:8 74:7 g 9:1 10:33 104:10 gather 10:25 13:20 gathering 51:25 gender 63:15 general 1:7,22 3:3 17:1 19:16 28:3 30:9 38:17,18,19 40:3,24 47:6 96:25 generally 21:20 26:11 77:4	firm 8:15 25:23	follow 34:21 43:9	fray 51:15	37:21 38:21,23
88:13 89:4,4,5 forcing 20:17 ford 46:18 front 42:6 44:6 11:22,23 13:17 14:24 15:10 19:14 first 12:8 13:10 foreclose 25:4 foregoing 104:3,10 foregoing 104:3,10 forgive 24:8 49:10 forgive 24:8 49:10 form 56:16 68:9,15 form 56:16 68:9,15 form 56:16 68:9,15 form 56:16 68:9,15 formal 46:20 formal 46:20 formal 46:20 formal 46:20 formal 47:29:11 49:17 53:6,9 57:10 36:12,15,20,24 37: 37:5,8,8,16,17 39:18 46:18 47:2,7 48:25 49:7 51:3 53:13 57:7 59:25,2 60:10 62:10 formal 46:20 formal 46:20 formal 46:20 formal 46:20 formal 47:29:11 general 10:25 13:3 57:7 59:25,2 53:13 57:7 59:25,2 60:16 68:9,15 60:16 68:9,15 futther 15:14 44:15 46:18 44:15 49:17 53:6,9 57:10 39:18 46:18 47:2,7 48:25 49:7 51:3 37:5,8,8,16,17 39:18 46:18 47:2,7 48:25 49:7 51:3 48:25 49:7 51:3 53:13 57:7 59:25,2 60:16 68:9,17 60:10 62:19,216 63: 60:16 68:9,17 99:10 99:10 99:11 03:3 104:10 60:16 68:24 69:3 70:1 99:10 99:10 99:10 60:16 68:24 69:3 70:1 99:11 99:10 99:10 99:10	45:19,19 46:3 75:21	force 99:16	free 16:15 97:24	
90:11 firms 12:23 13:4,7 55:13 foreclose 42:4 foreclosed 25:4 foregoing 104:3,10 14:11 15:20 20:25 43:17 47:23 59:12 59:17 60:6 65:24 73:6 81:14,16 82:20 84:2 85:25 88:22 97:18 fit 9:15 38:14 formalities 56:4 formalities 56:4 fits 38:9 101:25 fixed 33:15,18,22 33:24 34:3,4 68:6,7 68:14 fixers 69:23 fixing 33:25 69:1 71:12 94:14 fixers 69:23 fixing 33:25 69:1 71:12 94:14 fixers 39:25 23:16,23 24:16 25:4 51:10 19:14 40:20 forgive 24:8 49:19 50:56 67:17 90:22 formal 46:20 formalities 56:4 formulation 21:15 fixed 33:15,18,22 33:24 34:3,4 68:6,7 68:14 fixers 69:23 fixing 33:25 69:1 71:12 94:14 fixers 69:23 fixing 33:25 69:1 71:12 94:14 fixers 69:23 fixing 33:25 69:1 71:12 94:14 71:13 94:14 71:13 94:14 71:13 94:14 71:13 94:14 71:13 94:14 71:13 94:14 71:13 94:14 71:13 94:14 71:13 94:14 71:13 94:14 71:13 94:14 71:13 94:14 71:13 94:14 71:13 94:14 71:13 94:14 71:14 71:14 71:14 71:15 71:14 71:15 71:14 71:15 71:14 71:15 71:14 71:15 71:1	88:13 89:4,4,5	forcing 20:17	98:16	11:22,23 13:17
55:13 foreclosed 25:4 foregoing 104:3,10 full 91:2 98:5 100:9 22:10,16 31:8,21 14:11 15:20 20:25 43:17 47:23 59:12 50:5 67:17 90:22 50:5 67:17 90:22 50:5 67:17 90:22 50:5 67:17 90:22 50:5 67:17 90:22 50:5 68:17 99:25 101:6 50:5 67:17 90:22 50:5 67:17 90:22 50:5 68:17 99:25 101:6 50:5 68:17 99:25 101:6 50:5 68:17 99:25 101:6 50:5 68:17 99:25 101:6 50:5 68:17 99:25 101:6 50:5 68:17 99:25 101:6 50:5 68:17 99:25 101:6 50:5 68:17 99:25 101:6 50:5 68:17 99:25 101:6 50:5 68:17 99:25 101:6 50:5 68:17 99:25 101:6 50:5 68:17 99:25 101:6 50:5 68:17 99:25 101:6 50:5 68:17 99:25 101:6 50:5 68:17 99:25 101:6 50:5 68:17 99:25 101:6 50:10 68:9,15 50:10 68:9,15 50:10 68:19,15 30:12,15,20,24 37: 30:18 46:18 47:2,7 30:18 46:18 47:2,7 30:18 46:18 47:2,7 30:18 46:18 47:2,7 48:25 49:7 51:3 30:13 57:7 59:25,2 50:10 62:19,21 63: 50:10 62:19,21 63: 60:10 62:19,21 63: 60:10 62:19,21 63: 60:10 62:19,21 63: 60:10 62:19,21 63: 60:10 62:19,21 63: 70:6,24 71:17,17,1 68:24 69:6,16,16,2 70:6,24 71:17,17,1 70:6,24 71:17,17,1 70:6,24 71:17,17,1 70:6,24 71:17,17,1	1	_	front 42:6 44:6	14:24 15:10 19:14
first 12:8 13:10 foreclosed 25:4 full 91:2 98:5 100:9 22:10,16 31:8,21 first 12:8 13:10 foregoing 104:3,10 full 91:2 98:5 100:9 22:10,16 31:8,21 43:17 47:23 59:12 50:5 67:17 90:22 full 92:21,23 30:22 33:25 35:10,10,12 59:17 60:6 65:24 50:5 67:17 90:22 form 56:16 68:9,15 fundamental 60:4 35:24 36:2,6,9,10 84:2 85:25 88:22 68:17 99:25 101:6 formal 46:20 49:17 53:6,9 57:10 39:18 46:18 47:2,7 97:18 formal 46:20 formal 46:20 89:15 96:21 99:5 further 15:14 44:15 37:5,8,8,16,17 49:17 53:6,9 57:10 39:18 46:18 47:2,7 48:25 49:7 51:3 53:13 57:7 59:25,2 five 45:9 57:20 67:3 6rmal 14:7 29:11 29:13,17 g 98:24 forming 19:10 forming 19:10 forming 19:10 49:17 33:3 104:10 48:25 49:7 51:3 48:25 49:7 51:3 48:25 49:7 51:3 48:25 49:7 51:3 48:25 49:7 51:3 48:25 49:7 51:3 48:25 49:7 51:3 48:25 49:7 51:3 48:25 49:7 51:3 49:17 33:3 104:10	firms 12:23 13:4,7	foreclose 42:4	67:13	19:15,20,23 20:3
14:11 15:20 20:25 forgive 24:8 49:10 30:25 91:5 33:25 35:10,10,12 43:17 47:23 59:12 50:5 67:17 90:22 fundamental 60:4 35:24 36:2,6,9,10 59:17 60:6 65:24 form 56:16 68:9,15 72:19 82:25 36:12,15,20,24 37: 73:6 81:14,16 82:20 68:17 99:25 101:6 further 15:14 44:15 37:5,8,8,16,17 84:2 85:25 88:22 formal 46:20 49:17 53:6,9 57:10 39:18 46:18 47:2,7 97:18 formalities 56:4 formalities 56:4 formed 14:7 29:11 futther 16:8 74:7 39:18 46:18 47:2,7 fits 38:9 101:25 formed 14:7 29:11 g futther 16:8 74:7 60:10 62:19,21 63: fixed 33:15,18,22 23:12 gap 28:18 42:21 63:16,16,19,19 64:12 66:2 67:11,1 fixers 69:23 forth 25:16 forum 26:10 gather 10:25 13:20 70:6,24 71:7,71,7 flabbed 94:5 23:16,23 24:16 25:4 25:22,25 26:10,12 30:9 38:17,18,19 94:23 96:7 97:25 flaxer 3:22 9:12 51:16 54:6 55:9 60:1 68:8 69:3 70:1 78:10 83:12 89:22 78:10 83:12 89:22 99:11 90:1 77:4 90:23 96:7 97:25 90:29 55:7 62:22,22 60:23		foreclosed 25:4	full 91:2 98:5 100:9	22:10,16 31:8,21
14:11 15:20 20:25 forgive 24:8 49:10 30:25 91:5 33:25 35:10,10,12 43:17 47:23 59:12 50:5 67:17 90:22 fundamental 60:4 35:24 36:2,6,9,10 59:17 60:6 65:24 form 56:16 68:9,15 72:19 82:25 36:12,15,20,24 37: 73:6 81:14,16 82:20 68:17 99:25 101:6 further 15:14 44:15 37:5,8,8,16,17 84:2 85:25 88:22 formal 46:20 49:17 53:6,9 57:10 39:18 46:18 47:2,7 97:18 formalities 56:4 formalities 56:4 formal 14:7 29:11 89:15 96:21 99:5 48:25 49:7 51:3 fits 38:9 101:25 formed 14:7 29:11 g future 16:8 74:7 60:10 62:19,21 63: fixed 33:15,18,22 23:12 gap 28:18 42:21 63:16,16,19,19 64:12 66:2 67:11,1 68:24 69:6,16,16,2 70:6,24 71:7,71,1 68:24 69:6,16,16,2 70:6,24 71:7,71,1 72:5,9,16,16 73:16 68:24 69:6,16,16,2 70:6,24 71:7,17,1 72:5,9,16,16 73:16 73:20 83:2,10,13,1 83:22 84:14 85:24 86:19 87:13 93:12 86:19 87:13 93:12 86:19 87:13 93:12 94:23 96:7 97:25 94:23 96:7 97:25 94:23 96:7 97:25 94:23 96:7 97:25 95:7 62:22,22 92:9 55:7 62:22,22 62:23 92:1 17:4	first 12:8 13:10	foregoing 104:3,10	fully 29:21,23 30:22	32:16,16,20,22
43:17 47:23 59:12 50:5 67:17 90:22 fundamental 60:4 35:24 36:2,6,9,10 59:17 60:6 65:24 form 56:16 68:9,15 72:19 82:25 36:12,15,20,24 37: 73:6 81:14,16 82:20 68:17 99:25 101:6 further 15:14 44:15 37:5,8,8,16,17 84:2 85:25 88:22 formal 46:20 49:17 53:6,9 57:10 39:18 46:18 47:2,7 97:18 formalities 56:4 89:15 96:21 99:5 48:25 49:7 51:3 fits 38:9 101:25 formed 14:7 29:11 g 53:13 57:7 59:25,2 five 45:9 57:20 67:3 29:13,17 g 60:10 62:19,21 63: 98:24 forming 19:10 gap 28:18 42:21 63:16,16,19,19 fixed 33:15,18,22 forth 25:16 gap 28:18 42:21 68:24 69:6,16,6,2 71:12 94:14 25:22,25 26:10,12 gathering 51:25 33:22 84:14 85:24 flaxer 3:22 9:12 37:8,14,15,20 44:19 51:16 54:6 55:9 60:1 68:8 69:3 70:1 78:10 83:12 89:22 78:10 83:12 89:22 99:11 99:11 99:12 99:13 99:13 96:23 99:15 90:1 17:4 90:2 25 90:1 17:4 90:2 25 90:1 17:1 90:1 17:1 90:1 17:1 90:1 17:1 90:1 17:1 90:1 17:1 90:1 17:1 <td></td> <td>, ,</td> <td>30:25 91:5</td> <td>33:25 35:10,10,12</td>		, ,	30:25 91:5	33:25 35:10,10,12
73:6 81:14,16 82:20 84:2 85:25 88:22 97:18 fit 9:15 38:14 formalities 56:4 formal 46:20 formalities 56:4 formal 46:20 g9:15 96:21 99:5 furthermore 86:7 future 16:8 74:7 g 9:1 103:3 104:10 gaiting 58:3,10 gap 28:18 42:21 gaps 28:16 gather 10:25 13:20 gathering 51:25 gender 63:15 general 1:7,22 3:3 17:1 19:16 28:3 30:9 38:17,18,19 40:3,24 47:6 96:25 generally 21:20 gar's 67:4 68:24 gen's 67:4 68:24 gri's 67:4 68:24 gri's 9:17 19:16 g2:9 55:7 62:22,22 gri's 67:4 68:24 gri's 67:4 68:24	43:17 47:23 59:12	50:5 67:17 90:22	fundamental 60:4	35:24 36:2,6,9,10
73:6 81:14,16 82:20 68:17 99:25 101:6 further 15:14 44:15 37:5,8,8,16,17 84:2 85:25 88:22 97:18 formal 46:20 49:17 53:6,9 57:10 39:18 46:18 47:2,7 fit 9:15 38:14 formation 99:15 furthermore 86:7 48:25 49:7 51:3 fits 38:9 101:25 formed 14:7 29:11 29:13,17 future 16:8 74:7 60:10 62:19,21 63: fix 67:5 fixed 33:15,18,22 33:24 34:3,4 68:6,7 68:14 formlation 21:15 g 99:1 103:3 104:10 68:24 69:6,16,19,19 fixers 69:23 forum 26:10 forward 16:20 23:16,23 24:16 25:4 gather 10:25 13:20 70:6,24 71:17,17,1 72:5,9,16,16 73:16 flabbed 94:5 25:22,25 26:10,12 37:8,14,15,20 44:19 51:16 54:6 55:9 60:1 68:8 69:3 70:1 78:10 83:12 89:22 78:10 83:12 89:22 99:11 99:11 99:11 99:11 37:5,8,8,16,17 39:18 46:18 47:2,7 48:25 49:7 51:3 60:10 62:19,21 63: 60:10 62:19,21 63: 63:16,16,19,19 64:12 66:2 67:11,1 68:24 69:6,16,16,2 70:6,24 71:17,17,1 72:5,9,16,16 73:16 73:20 83:2,10,13,1 73:20 83:2,10,13,1 83:22 84:14 85:24 86:19 87:13 93:12 94:23 96:7 97:25 94:23 96:7 97:25 94:23 96:7 97:25 94:23 96:7 97:25 </td <td>ł .</td> <td>form 56:16 68:9,15</td> <td>72:19 82:25</td> <td>36:12,15,20,24 37:3</td>	ł .	form 56:16 68:9,15	72:19 82:25	36:12,15,20,24 37:3
84:2 85:25 88:22 formal 46:20 49:17 53:6,9 57:10 39:18 46:18 47:2,7 97:18 formalities 56:4 89:15 96:21 99:5 48:25 49:7 51:3 fit 9:15 38:14 formation 99:15 furthermore 86:7 53:13 57:7 59:25,2 five 45:9 57:20 67:3 98:24 forming 19:10 g 60:10 62:19,21 63: fixed 33:15,18,22 33:24 34:3,4 68:6,7 formulation 21:15 gap 28:18 42:21 64:12 66:2 67:11,1 68:24 69:6,16,16,2 fixers 69:23 forward 16:20 gaps 28:16 gather 10:25 13:20 70:6,24 71:17,17,1 72:5,9,16,16 73:16 flabbed 94:5 flabbed 94:5 flacer 3:22 9:12 37:8,14,15,20 44:19 general 1:7,22 3:3 17:1 19:16 28:3 30:9 38:17,18,19 94:23 96:7 97:25 gm's 9:17 19:16 22:9 55:7 62:22,22 62:23 gm's 67:4 68:24 10:13 13:9 18:14,23 78:10 83:12 89:22 99:11 49:17 53:6,9 57:10 49:17 53:6,9 57:10 39:18 46:18 47:2,7 48:25 49:7 51:3 60:10 62:19,21 63: 63:16,16,19,19 64:12 66:2 67:11,1 68:24 69:6,16,16,22 70:6,24 71:17,17,1 72:5,9,16,16 73:16 73:20 83:2,10,13,1 83:22 84:14 85:24 86:19 87:13 93:12			further 15:14 44:15	37:5,8,8,16,17
97:18 fit 9:15 38:14 formalities 56:4 89:15 96:21 99:5 48:25 49:7 51:3 fits 38:9 101:25 formed 14:7 29:11 formed 14:7 29:11 future 16:8 74:7 60:10 62:19,21 63: five 45:9 57:20 67:3 98:24 forming 19:10 g 9:1 103:3 104:10 63:16,16,19,19 64:12 66:2 67:11,1 68:24 69:6,16,16,2 70:6,24 71:17,17,1 68:24 69:6,16,16,2 70:6,24 71:17,17,1 72:5,9,16,16 73:16 68:24 69:6,16,16,2 70:6,24 71:17,17,1 72:5,9,16,16 73:16 73:20 83:2,10,13,1 72:5,9,16,16 73:16 73:20 83:2,10,13,1 72:5,9,16,16 73:16 73:20 83:2,10,13,1 72:5,9,16,16 73:16 73:20 83:2,10,13,1 72:5,9,16,16 73:16 73:20 83:2,10,13,1 72:5,9,16,16 73:16 73:20 83:2,10,13,1 72:5,9,16,16 73:16 73:20 83:2,10,13,1 73:20 83:2,10,13,1 73:20 83:2,10,13,1 73:20 83:2,10,13,1 73:20 83:2,10,13,1 73:20 83:2,10,13,1 73:21 94:3,4,16 73:20 83:2,10,13,1 73:11 19:16 28:3 73:17 19:16 28:3 73:17 19:16 28:3 73:17 19:16 73:20 83:2,10,13,1 73:17 19:16 73:20 83:2,10,13,1 73:17 19:16 73:20 83:2,10,13,1 73:17 19:16 73:20 83:2,10,13,1 73:17 19:16 73:20 83:2,10,13,1 73:17 19:1		formal 46:20	49:17 53:6,9 57:10	39:18 46:18 47:2,7
fit 9:15 38:14 fits 38:9 101:25 five 45:9 57:20 67:3 98:24 fix 67:5 fixed 33:15,18,22 33:24 34:3,4 68:6,7 68:14 fixers 69:23 fixing 33:25 69:1 71:12 94:14 flabbed 94:5 flaxer 3:22 9:12 10:13 13:9 18:14,23 19:3 20:9 21:2,18 24:24 54:24 55:2 fits 99:15 formation 99:15 formed 14:7 29:11 29:13,17 forming 19:10 formulation 21:15 23:12 forth 25:16 forum 26:10 forward 16:20 23:16,23 24:16 25:4 25:22,25 26:10,12 37:8,14,15,20 44:19 51:16 54:6 55:9 60:10 62:19,21 63: 63:16,16,19,19 64:12 66:2 67:11,1 68:24 69:6,16,16,2 70:6,24 71:17,17,1 72:5,9,16,16 73:16 gather 10:25 13:20 gathering 51:25 gender 63:15 general 1:7,22 3:3 17:1 19:16 28:3 30:9 38:17,18,19 40:3,24 47:6 96:25 generally 21:20 26:11 77:4 gap 28:18 42:21 gaps 28:16 gather 10:25 13:20 gathering 51:25 gender 63:15 general 1:7,22 3:3 17:1 19:16 28:3 30:9 38:17,18,19 40:3,24 47:6 96:25 generally 21:20 26:11 77:4		ì		-
fits 38:9 101:25 formed 14:7 29:11 guture 16:8 74:7 60:10 62:19,21 63: five 45:9 57:20 67:3 98:24 forming 19:10 g 63:16,16,19,19 64:12 66:2 67:11,1 68:24 69:6,16,16,2 63:16,16,19,19 64:12 66:2 67:11,1 68:24 69:6,16,16,2 70:6,24 71:17,17,1 72:5,9,16,16 73:16 73:20 83:2,10,13,1 73:20 83:2,10,13,1 73:20 83:2,10,13,1 83:22 84:14 85:24 86:19 87:13 93:12 73:20 83:2,10,13,1 73:20 83:2,10,13,1 73:20 83:2,10,13,1 73:20 83:2,10,13,1 83:22 84:14 85:24 86:19 87:13 93:12 83:22 84:14 85:24 86:19 87:13 93:12 93:17,21 94:3,4,16 94:23 96:7 97:25 99:17 19:16 94:23 96:7 97:25 99:17 19:16 94:3,24 47:6 96:25 99:17 19:16 94:3,24 47:6 96:25 99:17 19:16 94:3,24 47:6 96:25 99:17 19:16 94:23 96:7 46:24 94:23 96:7 46:24 94:23 96:7 46:24 96:25 96:11 77:4 94:3,24 47:6 96:25 96:25 96:25 96:25 96:25 96:25 96:25 96:25 96:25 96:25 96:25 96:25 96:25 96:25 96:25 96:25 96:25 96:25			furthermore 86:7	53:13 57:7 59:25,25
five 45:9 57:20 67:3 29:13,17 g 63:16,16,19,19 64:12 66:2 67:11,1 64:12 66:2 67:11,1 68:14 68:24 69:6,16,16,2 70:6,24 71:17,17,1 70:6,24 71:17				60:10 62:19,21 63:5
98:24 forming 19:10 g 9:1 103:3 104:10 64:12 66:2 67:11,1 68:24 69:6,16,16,2 60:14,1 68:24 69:6,16,16,2 69:6,16,16,2 70:6,24 71:17,17,1 72:5,9,16,16 73:16 70:6,24 71:17,17,1 72:5,9,16,16 73:16 70:6,24 71:17,17,1 72:5,9,16,16 73:16 73:20 83:2,10,13,1 83:22 84:14 85:24 86:19 87:13 93:12 83:22 84:14 85:24 86:19 87:13 93:12 86:19 87:13 9		!		4
fix 67:5 formulation 21:15 gaiting 58:3,10 68:24 69:6,16,16,2 fixers 69:23 forward 16:20 23:16,23 24:16 25:4 gather ing 51:25 68:14 85:24 68:24 69:6,16,16,2 70:6,24 71:17,17,1 72:5,9,16,16 73:16 73:20 83:2,10,13,1 83:22 84:14 85:24 83:22 84:14 85:24 86:19 87:13 93:12 83:22 84:14 85:24 86:19 87:13 93:12 83:12 89:22 99:11 93:17,21 94:3,4,16 94:23 96:7 97:25 99:17 19:16 94:23 96:7 97:25 99:17 19:16 94:23 96:7 97:25 99:17 19:16 94:23 96:7 97:25 99:17 19:16 94:23 96:7 97:25 99:17 19:16 94:23 96:7 97:25 99:17 19:16 96:14 77:4 96:25 99:17 19:16 96:14 77:4 96:25 99:17 19:16 96:11 77:4 96:25 99:17 19:16 96:25 99:17 19:16 96:25 99:17 19:16 96:25 99:17 19:16 96:17 77:4 96:25 96:25 96:25 96:25 96:25 96:25 96:25 96:25 96:25 96:25 96:25 96:25 96:25 96:25 96:25 96:25 96:25 96:25		,		64:12 66:2 67:11,13
fixed 33:15,18,22 23:12 33:24 34:3,4 68:6,7 forth 25:16 gap 28:18 42:21 70:6,24 71:17,17,17 68:14 forum 26:10 gaps 28:16 gaps 28:16 72:5,9,16,16 73:16 fixing 33:25 69:1 23:16,23 24:16 25:4 gather 10:25 13:20 gather 73:20 83:2,10,13,1 83:22 84:14 85:24 86:19 87:13 93:12 83:22 84:14 85:24 86:19 87:13 93:12 93:17,21 94:3,4,16 94:23 96:7 97:25 93:17,21 94:3,4,16 94:23 96:7 97:25 91:7 19:16 94:23 96:7 97:25 91:7 19:16 92:9 55:7 62:22,22 92:11 90:11 93:17,18,19 94:23 96:7 97:25 91:7 19:16 92:9 55:7 62:22,22 92:11			1 —	68:24 69:6,16,16,21
33:24 34:3,4 68:6,7 68:14 forum 26:10 forward 16:20 23:16,23 24:16 25:4 71:12 94:14 71:12 94:14 194:		· ·		1
68:14 fixers 69:23 fixing 33:25 69:1 71:12 94:14 flabbed 94:5 flaxer 3:22 9:12 10:13 13:9 18:14,23 19:3 20:9 21:2,18 24:24 54:24 55:2 forum 26:10 forward 16:20 23:16,23 24:16 25:4 25:22,25 26:10,12 37:8,14,15,20 44:19 51:16 54:6 55:9 60:1 68:8 69:3 70:1 78:10 83:12 89:22 99:11 forum 26:10 gather 10:25 13:20 gathering 51:25 gender 63:15 general 1:7,22 3:3 17:1 19:16 28:3 30:9 38:17,18,19 40:3,24 47:6 96:25 generally 21:20 26:11 77:4 73:20 83:2,10,13,1 83:22 84:14 85:24 86:19 87:13 93:12 93:17,21 94:3,4,16 94:23 96:7 97:25 gm's 9:17 19:16 22:9 55:7 62:22,22 62:23 gm's 67:4 68:24				1 ' ' '
fixers 69:23 forward 16:20 gather 10:25 13:20 83:22 84:14 85:24 fixing 33:25 69:1 23:16,23 24:16 25:4 gathering 51:25 86:19 87:13 93:12 flabbed 94:5 37:8,14,15,20 44:19 general 1:7,22 3:3 17:1 19:16 28:3 93:17,21 94:3,4,16 flaxer 3:22 84:14 85:24 86:19 87:13 93:12 93:17,21 94:3,4,16 general 1:7,22 3:3 17:1 19:16 28:3 94:23 96:7 97:25 99:17 19:16 19:3 20:9 21:2,18 78:10 83:12 89:22 99:11 40:3,24 47:6 96:25 generally 21:20 22:9 55:7 62:22,22 26:11 77:4 27:4 27:4 28:22 84:14 85:24 86:19 87:13 93:12 86:19 87:13 93:12 93:17,21 94:3,4,16 94:23 96:7 97:25 99:17 19:16 22:9 55:7 62:22,22 26:23 23:10 83:12 89:22 23:10 83:12 89:22 23:10 83:12 89:22 23:10 83:12 89:22 23:10 83:12 89:22 23:17,21 94:3,4,16 23:17,21 94:3,4,16 23:17,21 94:3,4,16 23:17,21 94:3,4,16 23:17,21 94:3,4,16 23:17,21 94:3,4,16 23:17,21 94:3,4,16 23:17,21 94:3,4,16 23:17,21 94:3,4,16 23:17,21 94:3,4,16<				73:20 83:2,10,13,15
fixing 33:25 69:1 23:16,23 24:16 25:4 gathering 51:25 71:12 94:14 25:22,25 26:10,12 37:8,14,15,20 44:19 general 1:7,22 3:3 flabbed 94:5 51:16 54:6 55:9 30:9 38:17,18,19 94:23 96:7 97:25 10:13 13:9 18:14,23 60:1 68:8 69:3 70:1 78:10 83:12 89:22 99:11 40:3,24 47:6 96:25 generally 21:20 24:24 54:24 55:2 99:11 26:11 77:4 gathering 51:25		1	, -	, , ,
71:12 94:14 flabbed 94:5 flaxer 3:22 9:12 10:13 13:9 18:14,23 19:3 20:9 21:2,18 24:24 54:24 55:2 71:12 94:14 25:22,25 26:10,12 37:8,14,15,20 44:19 51:16 54:6 55:9 60:1 68:8 69:3 70:1 78:10 83:12 89:22 99:11 25:22,25 26:10,12 37:8,14,15,20 44:19 51:16 54:6 55:9 60:1 68:8 69:3 70:1 78:10 83:12 89:22 99:11 25:22,25 26:10,12 37:8,14,15,20 44:19 51:16 54:6 55:9 60:1 68:8 69:3 70:1 40:3,24 47:6 96:25 generally 21:20 26:11 77:4 93:17,21 94:3,4,16 94:23 96:7 97:25 gm's 9:17 19:16 22:9 55:7 62:22,22 62:23 gm's 67:4 68:24		t in the second		
flabbed 94:5 flaxer 3:22 9:12 51:16 54:6 55:9 10:13 13:9 18:14,23 51:16 54:6 55:9 30:9 38:17,18,19 94:23 96:7 97:25 19:3 20:9 21:2,18 78:10 83:12 89:22 99:11 40:3,24 47:6 96:25 generally 21:20 22:9 55:7 62:22,22 26:11 77:4 27:4 27:4 27:4 27:4 27:4		· ·	9	
flaxer 3:22 9:12 10:13 13:9 18:14,23 19:3 20:9 21:2,18 24:24 54:24 55:2 flaxer 3:22 9:12 51:16 54:6 55:9 60:1 68:8 69:3 70:1 78:10 83:12 89:22 99:11 51:16 54:6 55:9 40:3,24 47:6 96:25 generally 21:20 26:11 77:4 gm's 9:17 19:16 22:9 55:7 62:22,22 62:23 gm's 67:4 68:24		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	
10:13 13:9 18:14,23 19:3 20:9 21:2,18 24:24 54:24 55:2 60:1 68:8 69:3 70:1 78:10 83:12 89:22 99:11 30:9 38:17,18,19 40:3,24 47:6 96:25 generally 21:20 26:11 77:4 22:9 55:7 62:22,22 62:23 gm's 67:4 68:24				
19:3 20:9 21:2,18 24:24 54:24 55:2 78:10 83:12 89:22 99:11 40:3,24 47:6 96:25 generally 21:20 26:11 77:4 62:23 gm's 67:4 68:24	l			1
24:24 54:24 55:2 99:11 generally 21:20 gm's 67:4 68:24	· ·		1	
20:11 //:4	· ·		•	
1.7.17.40 [1.3.10.10]		77.11	26:11 77:4	•
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	13.17,20 17.3,10,10			1

[gm's - honor's]

93:18	gotten 47:25 97:19	happened 35:21	hit 55:2
go 9:13 20:20 21:9	government 78:23	60:24	hold 16:14 24:11
23:15 24:16 25:4,22	grace 41:12	happens 49:7 51:2	70:6 87:11
25:25 26:10,12	grease 63:1	happy 66:18 78:14	holders 5:11 74:12
35:22 37:8 39:6	great 20:19 54:15	hard 31:20 72:11	84:6 85:18 98:4,7
40:20 42:1 44:19	greater 53:11	harder 12:7 29:6	98:14
45:22 50:17 52:19	green 2:2 97:19	harley 88:12	holding 18:20
Ţ.	•	harmonizing 88:9	hon 2:10
52:20 61:17 66:8,10	groman 1:12	harry 63:21	honor 9:7,10 21:5
66:12,20 70:14 74:2	ground 79:2	hasn't 81:13	21:11,16 22:18,24
75:5 92:16,22 94:3	grounded 95:9	hauer 5:10 84:4	23:17 24:13 25:16
goal 12:21	group 26:19,19,20		26:14 27:3,25 28:19
godfrey 3:15 9:7,9	29:11,14 30:4 45:25	haven't 68:17 70:5	_
godrey 9:7,10	48:3,5,25 72:18	86:5,5	28:25 29:13,15,23
goes 53:23 78:20	73:5 75:24 88:7,10	head 40:17	29:25 30:19,24
89:5	groups 29:4,5,5,16	hear 10:17 11:17	31:21 32:7,13,14
going 9:14,22,23	30:4 51:19	13:3,9 20:25 21:2,3	35:9,10 37:6,7,22
10:1,3 17:15 18:3,4	grumet 1:13	57:3 84:2	38:25 39:9 40:20,21
20:25 23:23 25:16	guarantee 95:17	heard 9:12,14 10:20	40:22 41:13,24
26:10 28:8,8,18,23	guc 4:2 5:11 19:15	13:7 14:9 15:2	42:11,14 44:20 45:3
30:7,8,11 32:8 33:9	20:4 31:1,8,10	16:10 20:2,4 21:3	45:15,20,25 46:7
34:3,4 35:1,1 37:7	73:16 74:6,25 81:19	43:18 50:16 53:14	47:16,22 48:1,12,21
37:14,15,19 40:4	82:3,4 84:10,12	69:9,16 70:20,23	49:3,10,24 50:16
42:1,2,3 44:22 45:4	85:13,21 86:4,20,21	73:14 76:5 81:14	51:8,10 52:5 53:1,7
47:17 50:9 51:14,17	87:5,14 93:5 96:7	91:11,13,16 98:5,10	54:3,10,16 55:1,7
53:3,23 55:14 60:21	98:3,4,7,10,15	101:14 102:6	57:20,21 58:5,12
61:12,14 63:17	guess 12:3 19:2	hearing 2:14 16:5	59:10,19,21 61:1,3
64:21 66:20 69:3	24:11 51:6 55:3	21:10 24:17 53:21	61:4,18 62:1,10
70:1,20 72:22 74:16	57:20 82:16 83:19	82:2,6 83:5 85:17	64:3 65:1,12,25
76:7,23,24 77:11,12	87:25	85:17 89:1,24 93:3	66:4,5,10,18,19
78:10 79:10 85:21	gump 5:10 84:4	98:25	67:8 68:3 69:25
86:21 90:23 91:23	guy 20:15 62:25	hearings 49:2	70:12 71:6 72:3
94:7 95:22 96:3,25	guys 16:10 17:24	heart 54:16	73:16,19 75:7,14,20
golden 5:16 20:1	18:7 67:20 69:23	heat 57:23 66:23	75:23,25 76:5 77:1
73:17,18 84:1,2,3,3	101:22	held 87:12	81:12,18 82:1 83:17
84:15,16,19 85:3,7	h	help 12:8	84:3,7 85:7 86:7,16
86:8,16 87:20 96:10	haigins 45:20	helpful 12:19 76:2	87:2,10,12,20 88:3
97:21	half 54:8	88:9	88:11,15,17 90:10
golden's 74:13	hallway 80:12	here's 95:22	91:23 93:2 94:11,13
golenbock 3:17	halthenstein 75:22	hey 73:9	94:15 95:10 101:16
good 9:2,10 11:18	hand 26:8 65:10	he's 93:19 95:3	102:7
13:4 19:10 23:15	79:8,9 87:24	highway 8:17 63:11	honor's 25:1 33:1
28:15,17 35:22 36:2	handle 9:21 11:15	hired 31:21 39:14	42:12,17,20 44:12
44:20 45:2 46:11,15	12:8	40:1	44:14 51:11 52:13
46:21 81:18 92:14	handled 59:2	historically 70:3	53:8 54:23 57:18
97:14	happen 19:12 36:25	history 34:5 60:7,19	59:12 61:9
goodwin 4:15	53:9 79:7 87:2	histrionics 10:22	honor's 65:22 66:7
			67:14 68:5 69:7
l	L	<u> </u>	1

[honor's - issues]

Page	1	1	
1 450		-	

70.0.10.70. (.10.	17.2	00.10.21	invite 56.12		
70:2,10 72:6,12	importance 17:3	99:18,21	invite 56:12		
hope 68:8 81:6	important 40:16	injunctive 34:16	inviting 55:10,25		
97:13	56:4 76:11 79:18	injured 20:20 39:20	invoked 11:19		
hopefully 72:1	impose 17:10 95:18	65:4	involve 19:14,15		
95:13	impossible 97:15	injury 11:8,10	55:12 59:4		
hoping 68:6	impression 20:14	14:23 32:10,19,22	involved 34:9 63:22		
hours 57:9 85:24	imputed 63:3,6	34:25 40:6 60:8,11	85:8 94:19 97:22		
howard 5:8	inability 72:25	66:25	involves 35:19		
hundred 84:21	incidents 11:8	inselbuch 7:13 9:11	involving 20:3		
87:25	32:18	10:13 45:24 87:24	irrespective 16:16		
hurt 39:24	inclinations 10:19	89:4	irresponsibly 94:23		
i	inclined 14:17,19	inselbuch's 11:21	isn't 67:18 73:6		
ice 53:16	77:17 83:17	insofar 14:15	issue 11:22 12:2,10		
idea 17:23 19:10	include 26:6 77:14	instance 73:7	12:23 19:2,18 20:9		
20:10	89:17 100:12	insult 66:21	21:19 22:3,4,14,15		
identical 56:22	included 43:11	intend 48:4 56:14	22:24 23:1,6 24:4,5		
77:16	includes 13:2	intended 100:21	24:6 25:9 26:3,21		
identification 103:4	including 16:15	intensive 97:23	27:3,10,16,23 29:19		
identified 21:11	74:13 80:21 82:11	intention 57:24	29:24 31:3,4,5		
27:3 42:14 96:19	97:7	79:16 84:13 86:6	32:22 34:13 35:9,12		
	inclusion 43:21	intentionally 101:9	35:19 36:8,20,23		
97:19	inclusive 23:25	interest 17:4 46:9	38:25 39:7,8,9,10		
identifies 11:21	inconsistent 100:24	73:11 85:18	40:10,10,12,16,16		
identify 10:9 18:9	indicated 45:21	interested 17:18	43:11,14,15,20 44:4		
27:14 30:17 42:16	46:16 70:15 84:13	95:14	44:5,8 48:15,19		
75:7 96:18 99:22	86:6	interesting 55:12	54:13 55:6 56:13		
identifying 10:1	indicating 18:20	60:3 84:11	57:21 58:3,11,16		
76:3	indiscernible 57:13	interests 73:6	59:8,18,20 60:3,4		
ignition 11:2 33:9	individual 11:1	interface 12:25	62:3,4,9 65:22		
34:1,4,10,14 35:2	34:8 68:12,18	interfere 16:4 50:9	66:14,14 68:11,19		
35:12,13,22 36:1,7	individually 74:3	50:11 98:24	69:17 72:12,21 73:3		
37:6 38:2 48:16	individuals 64:18	interfering 52:22	73:10 74:16,18,20		
62:20 65:19 68:14	73:21	52:22	75:24 77:11,12 78:5		
70:25 71:1,1,3,4,9	inefficiencies 10:14	intermediary 63:19	78:14,19 82:4,9,14		
71:11,13 94:15	inferring 61:13	internal 47:7 78:24	82:19,25 83:3,4,19		
iii 49:16	influence 47:20	interplay 80:4	83:19 84:14 85:9,10		
il 3:13	information 46:12	interpreting 67:16	85:11,25 87:15,17		
imagine 47:11	46:19 62:19	interrupt 60:21	88:19 91:6,8 92:15		
imbedded 25:17	inherent 42:9 92:2	introduce 9:6	92:20,24 93:6,7,15		
immediately 94:17	94:6	intuitions 63:17	93:19,23,25 94:6,8		
impact 69:2 73:16	inherently 75:2	investigation 47:6,6	94:10,13,22,24 95:4		
impacted 36:5	injected 85:21,25	47:8	97:7 101:2		
48:16 74:17	injunction 13:18	investigations 47:4	issued 34:10 80:13		
impacts 51:16	33:1 60:2 66:1	47:5,9,14 75:8	91:3		
impermissible	67:11,13,14 70:16	78:23	issues 9:22,23,25		
71:10	71:20 72:6,10,17,18	investment 45:2	10:1,9,11,15 13:5		
implicate 71:20	73:23 74:19,22		14:12,14,17,18,20		
72:6	10.20 11.17,22				
VERITEXT REPORTING COMPANY					

[issues - left]

25:21 26:18,20 27:9 27:14,15 29:2 30:12 30:18,18,19 32:6 39:1,2,4 41:5,6,7,8 41:20 42:12 43:3,4 47:1,19,21 48:11 50:16 51:18 53:5,6 57:2,16 58:17,19,22 59:4,13,15 62:17 65:24 66:6,15 68:10 68:23 71:10 72:8,22 75:11,16 76:3 79:1 79:4 82:3,23 86:18 87:18 93:25 94:25 96:4,4,23 97:8,16 99:22 100:1,2,4,5,5 100:5,6,8 issuing 99:7 item 13:25 items 9:20 iterative 27:8 101:22 ites 69:18 ites 69:18 ites 69:22 ites 31:22 ites 78:14 keeping 77:2 95:22 keith 4:6 81:18 kelley 8:1 kelley 8:1 kelley 8:1 kelley 8:1 kinds 22:13 27:21 lastly 20:8 late 19:19 30:10 31:2 73:25 74:1,22 38:5,8,15 62:5 67:16 72:22 90:11 assuing 3:2 12 ites 78:14 keeping 77:2 95:22 keith 4:6 81:18 kelley 8:1 keeping 77:2 95:22 keith 4:6 81:18 kelley 8:1 keeping 77:2 95:22 kite 78:19 kinds 22:13 27:21 straking 3:2 ites 78:12 ites 78:14 keeping 77:2 95:22 keith 4:6 81:18 kelley 8:1 keeping 77:2 95:22 keith 78:19 solid 46:7 land 17:15 laplace 8:19 laste 79:22 lastey 20:21 lastey	<u> </u>			
18:10 19:14 20:1,3 20:66,7,17 21:6,12 21:20 23:2,7,19 24:6 25:3,14,15,20 25:21 26:18,20 27:9 27:14,15 29:2 30:12 30:18,18,19 32:6 39:1,2,4 41:5,6,7,8 41:20 42:12 43:3,4 47:1,19,21 48:11 50:16 51:18 53:5,6 57:2,16 58:17,19,22 59:4,13,15 62:17 65:24 66:6,15 68:10 68:23 71:10 72:8,22 75:11,16 76:3 79:1 79:4 82:3,23 86:18 87:18 93:25 94:25 75:11,16 76:3 79:1 79:4 82:3,23 86:18 87:18 93:25 94:25 100:12,2 100:12,2,4,5 100:12,13		•	-	1
18:10 19:14 20:1,3 20:67,717 21:6,12 21:20 23:2,7,19 24:6 25:3,14,15.20 25:21 26:18,20 27:9 27:14,15 29:2 30:12 30:18,18,19 32:6 39:1,2,4 41:5,6,7,8 41:20 42:12 43:3,4 47:1,19,21 48:11 50:16 51:18 53:5,6 57:2,16 58:17,19,22 59:4,13,15 62:17 65:24 66:6,5 68:10 68:23 71:10 72:8,22 75:11,16 76:3 79:1 79:4 82:3,23 86:18 87:18 93:25 94:25 96:4,4,23 97:8,16 99:10 10:12,13 99:2 100:1,2,4,5,5 100:2,6 18:suing 99:7 item 13:25 items 9:20 iterative 27:8 101:22 iterative 27:8 101:22 iterative 27:8 101:22 iterative 27:8 101:22 iterative 27:8 20:13 63:1 20:14 14:5:11,17 46:5 59:8 82:2 88:23 89:12 90:4,5 20:12 13:2 10:2 12:24 13:4 86:1,5 87:25 99:8 82:3 89:12 90:4,5 20:14 66:4 92:2 52:4 16:6 49:22 52:4 16:6 49:22 52:4 16:6 49:22 52:4 16:6 49:22 52:4 16:6 49:22 52:4 16:6 49:22 52:4 16:6 49:22 52:4 10:2 12:24 16:6 49:22 52:4 10:2 12:24 16:6 49:22 52:4 10:2 12:24 16:6 49:22 52:4 10:2 12:24 16:6 49:22 52:4 10:2 12:24 10:2 12:24 10:2 12:24 10:2 12:24 10:2 12:24 10:2 12:24 10:2 12:24 10:2 12:24 10:2 12:24 10:2 12:24 10:2 12:24 10:2 12:22 12:27 13:13 75:7,12 10:2 12:22 12:27 13:13 75:7,12 10:2 12:22 12:27 13:13 75:7,12 10:2 12:22 12:27 13:13 75:7,12 10:2 12:24 10:2 12:24 10:2 12:24 10:2 12:22 12:27 13:13 75:7,12 10:2 12:24 10:2 12:22 12:27 13:13 75:7,12 10:2 12:24				1 7:20 90:14 103:3
20:66,7,17 21:6,12 21:20 23:2,7,19 24:6 25:3,14,15,20 25:21 26:18,20 27:9 27:14,15 29:2 30:12 30:18,18,19 32:6 30:12,24 41:5,6,7,8 41:20 42:12 43:3,4 47:1,19,21 48:11 50:16 51:18 53:5,6 57:2,16 58:17,19,22 59:4,13,15 62:17 65:24 66:6,15 68:10 68:23 71:10 72:8,22 75:11,16 76:3 79:1 79:4 82:3,23 86:18 87:18 93:25 94:25 79:4 82:3,23 86:18 87:18 93:25 94:25 79:4 82:3,23 86:18 87:18 93:25 94:25 79:23 98:6,11,11,17 99:22 100:1,2,4,5,5 100:5,68 issuing 99:7 item 13:25 items 9:20 iterative 27:8 101:22 it*s 65:8,17,18,22 66:4,7,9,12 67:9 66:4,7,9,12 67:9 66:4,79,12 67:9 67:4 67:1 67:1 67:1 67:1 67:1 67:1 67:1 67:1	18:10 19:14 20:1,3	•	justice 6:15	
21:20 23:27,19 24:6 25:3,14,15,20 59:14,24 101:8 99:14,24 101:8 99:14,24 101:8 17:15 keep 37:12 76:12 78:14 1adies 95:12 13:17 8:17 8:19 8:16,20 37:19 13:25 13:17 8:17 8:12 13:17 8:15 8:12 9:14 13:17 8:15 8:15 8:12 13:17 8:17 8:12 13:17 8:15 8:12 13:17 8:15 8:12 13:17 8:12 13:17 8:15 8:12 13:17 8:15 8:12 13:17 8:15 8:12 13:17 8:15 8:12 13:17 8:15 8:12 13:17 8:15 8:12 13:17 8:15 8:12 13:17 8:16 8:19 13:17 8:15 8:15 8:11,13 8:13 8:13 8:13 8:13 8:13 8:13 8:13 8:	20:6,7,17 21:6,12	96:13 97:7,13 98:18	k	
25:21 26:18,20 27:9 27:14,15 29:2 30:12 30:18,18,19 32:6 39:1,2,4 41:5,6,7,8 41:20 42:12 43:3,4 47:1,19,21 48:11 50:16 51:18 53:5,6 57:2,16 58:17,19,22 59:4,13,15 62:17 65:24 66:6,15 68:10 68:23 71:10 72:8,22 75:11,16 76:3 79:1 79:4 82:3,23 86:18 87:18 93:25 94:25 96:4,4,23 97:8,16 97:23 98:6,11,11,17 99:22 100:1,2,4,5,5 100:5,6,8 issuing 99:7 item 13:25 items 9:20 iterative 27:8 101:22 it's 65:8,17,18,22 66:4,7,9,12 67:9 69:8 70:24 71:8 72:18 76:15 katelyn 1:15 keep 37:12 76:12 78:14 keeping 77:2 95:22 kieth 4:6 81:18 kelley 8:1 keep 37:12 76:12 78:14 keeping 77:2 95:22 kieth 4:6 81:18 kelley 8:1 keep 37:12 76:12 78:14 keeping 77:2 95:22 kieth 4:6 81:18 kelley 8:1 keep 37:12 76:12 78:14 keeping 77:2 95:22 kieth 4:6 81:18 kelley 8:1 keep 37:12 76:12 78:14 keeping 77:2 95:22 kieth 4:6 81:18 kelley 8:1 keep 37:12 76:12 78:14 keeping 77:2 95:22 kieth 4:6 81:18 kelley 8:1 keep 37:12 76:12 78:14 keeping 77:2 95:22 kieth 4:6 81:18 kelley 8:1 keep 37:12 76:12 78:14 keeping 77:2 95:22 kieth 4:6 81:18 kelley 8:1 keeping 77:2 95:22 kiind 22:13 27:21 laid 46:7 land 17:15 laplace 8:19 larger 26:21 lastle 20:1 side 97:25 lastle 20:1 side 19:19 30:10 31:2 78:14 keeping 77:2 95:22 kich 78:19 side 20:13 63:5 john 6:6 join 55:20 joined 57:16 joining 79:16 joint 49:15 50:11 jointly 1:7 19:7 96:19 100:11 75:18 86:129:6 foi:4 6:46:1:18 kelley 8:1 keeping 77:2 95:22 kick 78:19 kind 22:13 27:21 lastle 9:13 side 19:19 30:10 31:12 78:14 keeping 77:2 95:22 kick 78:19 larger 26:21 laid 46:7 land 17:15 keeping 77:2 95:22 kick 78:19 larger 26:21 lastle 9:19 sides 20:13 63:5 joint 20:13 37:18,19 93:16,20 kinds 20:7 58:20 poi:4 (14-6:7) poi:2 5 poi:4 (14-6:7) poi:2 5 poi:4 (14-6:7) poi:2 6:2 6:3 19,2 20 poi:5 20:3 63:4 poi:4 (14-7) poi:2 10 10:4 (2:1) poi:5 6:2 6:2 6:3 19,2 20 poi:4 (2:1) poi:4 (2:1) poi:5 (2:2 6:3 19,2 20 poi:5 (2:2	21:20 23:2,7,19		k 1.76.6	ı
25:21 26:18,20 27:9 27:14,15 29:2 30:12 30:18,18,19 32:6 39:1,2,4 41:5,6,7,8 41:20 42:12 43:3,4 47:1,19,21 48:11 50:16 51:18 53:5,6 57:2,16 58:17,19,22 59:4,13,15 62:17 65:24 66:6,15 68:10 68:23 71:10 72:8,22 75:11,16 76:3 79:1 79:4 82:3,23 86:18 87:18 93:25 94:25 96:4,4,23 97:8,16 97:23 98:6,11,11,7 99:22 100:1,2,4,5,5 100:15,6,8 issuing 99:7 item 13:25 items 9:20 iterafive 27:8 101:22 item 9:20 iterafive 27:8 101:22 item 13:25 items 9:20 iterafive 27:8 101:22 item 5:20 jones 6:2,9 8:7 jr 4:13 8:21 judge 2:11 18:19,19 20:12,17 27:19 44:11 45:11,17 46:5 51:13 52:19,23 54:1 75:18 86:12 99:6 judge's 103:5 judgent 18:23,24 judge's 103:5 judgent 18:23,24 judicial 10:2 12:24 18:41 49:15 50:12 19:19 94:6,20 98:22 176:64 92:2 52:4 58:23 78:17 82:15 84:2 95:17 96:20 101:14 Pm 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 99:14,24 101:8 j 3: 75:7 jerk 69:18 jerks 69:18, keepig 77:2 95:22 keith 4:6 81:18 kelley 8:1 keepig 77:2 95:22 keith 4:6 81:18 kelley 8:1 kelley 8:1 kelley 8:1 shild 46:7 land 17:15 laplace 8:19 lasule 3::12 lastly 20:8 late 19:19 30:10 31:2 73:25 74:1,22 18:14 4:63:138 kelley 8:1 kelley 8:1 keepig 77:2 95:22 keith 4:6 81:18 kelley 8:1 shild 46:7 land 17:15 laplace 8:19 lasule 3::12 lastly 20:8 late 19:19 30:10 31:2 73:25 74:1,22 38:5,8,15 62:5 67:16 72:22 90:11 18:19 92:20 13:25 law 8:15 12:23 13: 20:4 32:17 37:22,2 38:5,8,15 62:5 67:16 72:22 90:11 18:11 23:12 20:12 17 7:19 4:11 4:11 14:21 20:12,17 27:19 4:11 4:11 14:23 29:19 94:6,20 98:22 16:64 9:22 52:4 bind 22:13 27:21 lastly 20:8 late 19:19 30:10 31:2 73:25 74:1,22 38:5,8,15 62:5 67:16 72:22 90:11 laws 11:14 12:11 36:14 38:18 lawsuit 3:11,15,1 lawsuits 32:14,24 18:46 82:22,25 83:11,13 83:14 87:25 74:6 18:40 46:7 land 17:15 laplace 8:19 larger 26:21 lastly 20:8 late 19:19 30:10 31:2 73:25 75:12 lastly 40:62:10 31:2 75:12 lastly 40:62:10 31:2 75:12 lastly 40:62:10 31:2 75:12 lastly 40:62:10 31:2 75:12 lastly 40:62:10 13:2 75:12 lastly 40:62:10 13:2	1 1			72:18 74:18 76:15
30:18,18,19 32:6 39:1,2,4 41:5,6,78 ferk 69:18 ferk 69:22,24 70:1 for 69:8 70:24 71:8 71:19 70:22 for 69:8 70:24 71:8 71:19 70:22 for 69:8 70:24 71:8 71:15 73:19 76:15 77:5,6 82:24 83:13 84:13 86:1,5 87:25 88:23 89:12 90:4,5 92:19 94:6,20 98:22 for 66:13,18,20 for 66:22,23 63:2 for 66:13,18,20 for 66:22,23 63:2 for 66:13,18,20 for 66:22,23 63:2 for 66:22,23 63:2 for 66:23,23,25 64:1,11 for for 69:22 for 11:15,16 31:10 for for 69:22 for 11:15,16 31:10 for for 69:22 for	1	99:14,24 101:8	_	
30:18,18,19 32:6 39:1,2,4 41:5,6,7,8 41:20 42:12 43:3,4 47:1,19,21 48:11 50:16 51:18 53:5,6 57:2,16 58:17,19,22 59:4,13,15 62:17 65:24 66:6,15 68:10 68:23 71:10 72:8,22 75:11,16 76:3 79:1 79:4 82:3,23 86:18 87:18 93:25 94:25 96:4,4,23 97:8,16 97:23 98:6,11,11,17 99:22 100:1,2,4,5,5 100:5,6,8 issuing 99:7 item 13:25 items 9:20 iterative 27:8 101:22 it's 65:8,17,18,22 66:4,7,9,12 67:9 69:8 70:24 71:8 72:15 73:19 76:15 77:5,6 82:24 83:13 84:13 86:1,5 87:25 88:23 89:12 90:4,5 92:19 94:6,20 98:22 i'd 65:12 72:20 81:6 84:8 i'll 81:17 82:15 84:2 95:17 96:20 101:14 i'm 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 673 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 673 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 673 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 673 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:22 66:3,7,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 673 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 673 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:18 60:12 72:20 13 75:18 60:12 72:20 13 75:18 80:12 99:6 99:7 1	1	${f j}$	_	
39:1,2,441:5,6,7,8 41:20 42:12 43:3,4 47:1,19,21 48:11 50:16 51:18 53:5,6 57:2,16 58:17,19,22 59:4,13,15 62:17 65:24 66:6,15 68:10 68:23 71:10 72:8,22 75:11,16 76:3 79:1 79:48 22:3,23 86:18 87:18 93:25 94:25 96:4,4,23 97:8,16 97:23 98:6,11,11,17 99:22 100:1,2,4,5,5 100:5,6,8 issuing 99:7 item 13:25 itemative 27:8 101:22 it*s 65:8,17,18,22 66:4,79,12 67:9 69:8 70:24 71:8 72:15 73:19 76:15 77:5,6 82:24 83:13 84:13 86:1,5 87:25 88:23 89:12 90:4,5 92:19 94:6,20 98:22 itd 65:12 72:20 81:6 84:8 i*II 81:17 82:15 84:2 95:17 96:20 101:14 i*m 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 273:13 75:7,12 75:21 273:13 75:7,12 75:21 273:13 75:7,12 75:21 273:13 75:7,12 75:21 273:13 75:7,12 75:21 273:13 75:7,12 75:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 273:13 75:7,12 75:22 273:13 75:7,12 75:22 273:13 75:7,12 75:22 273:13 75:7,12 75:22 273:13 75:7,12 75:22 273:13 75:7,12 75:22 273:13 75:7,12 75:22 273:13 75:7,12 75:22 273:13 75:7,12 75:22 273:13 75:7,12 75:22 273:13 75:7,12 75:22 273:13 75:7,12 75:22 273:13 75:7,12 75:22 273:13 75:7,12 75:22 273:13 75:7,12 75:22 273:13 75:7,12 75:22 273:13 75:7,12 75:23 273:13 75:7,12 75:24 273:	1	i 3:7.5:7		
41:20 42:12 43:3,4 47:1,19,21 48:11 50:16 51:18 53:5,6 57:2,16 58:17,19,22 59:4,13,15 62:17 65:24 66:6,15 68:10 68:23 71:10 72:8,22 75:11,16 76:3 79:1 79:48 23:3,23 86:18 87:18 93:25 94:25 96:4,4,23 97:8,16 97:23 98:6,11,11,17 99:22 100:1,2,4,5,5 100:5,6,8 issuing 99:7 item 13:25 items 9:20 iterative 27:8 101:22 it* 65:8,17,18,22 66:4,7,9,12 67:9 69:8 70:24 71:8 72:15 73:19 76:15 77:5,6 82:24 83:13 84:13 86:1,5 87:25 88:23 89:12 90:4,5 92:19 94:6,20 98:22 i*d 65:12 72:20 81:6 84:8 i*II 81:17 82:15 84:2 95:17 96:20 101:14 i*m 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 273:13 75:7,12 75:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:23 73:27 73:12 75:24 66:3,77,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:24 66:3,77,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:24 66:3,77,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:24 66:3,73 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:24 66:3,73 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:24 66:3,73 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:24 66:3,73 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:24 66:3,73 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:24 66:3,73 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:24 66:3,73 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:24 66:3,73 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:24 66:4,73,174 76:5 75:26 69:22 72:4 70:1 70:4 70:4 10 63:21 70:4 10 63:21 70:4 10 63:21 10 63:21 10 63:21 10 63:21 10 63:21 10 63:21 10 63:21 10 20:13 63:5 10 20:15 59:3 53:4 10 20:13 63:5 10 20:15 52:0 10 20:15 52:0 137:18,19 93:16,20 137:18,19 93:16,20 131:2 75:20 137:18,19 93:16,20 131:2 75:20 137:18,19 93:16,20 131:2 75:20 137:18,19 93:16,20 131:2 75:20 137:18,19 93:16,20 13:2 73:2 75:12 138tb 22:13 22:13 27:21 138tb 22:13 22:13 27:21 138tb 22:13 ssty 20:8 131:122:13 27:21 131:14 12:11 22:13 27:21 131:15,22 20:13 25:2 14 18 tel 19:19 30:10 31:2 73:2 75:12 20:4 32:17 72:12 31:5 22 20:3 3:25 20:4 32:17 37:22,7 33:5,62:5 67:16 72:22 90:11 12		•		
47:1,19,21 48:11 50:16 51:18 53:5,6 57:2,16 58:17,19,22 59:4,13,15 62:17 65:24 66:6,15 68:10 68:23 71:10 72:8,22 75:11,16 76:3 79:1 79:4 82:3,23 86:18 87:18 93:25 94:25 96:4,4,23 97:8,16 97:23 98:6,11,11,17 99:22 100:1,2,4,5,5 100:5,6,8 issuing 99:7 item 13:25 items 9:20 iterative 27:8 101:22 it's 65:8,17,18,22 66:4,7,9,12 67:9 69:8 70:24 71:8 77:4 70:4 jim 63:21 job 20:15 29:3 53:4 job 20:15 29:25 58:20 job 20:15 29	1	•		
50:16 51:18 53:5,6 57:2,16 58:17,19,22 59:4,13,15 62:17 65:24 66:6,15 68:10 68:23 71:10 72:8,22 75:11,16 76:3 79:1 79:4 82:3,23 86:18 87:18 93:25 94:25 96:4,4,23 97:8,16 97:23 98:6,11,11,17 99:22 100:1,2,4,5,5 100:5,6,8 issuing 99:7 item 13:25 iterative 27:8 101:22 it*s 65:8,17,18,22 66:4,7,9,12 67:9 69:8 70:24 71:8 72:15 73:19 76:15 77:5,6 82:24 83:13 84:13 86:1,5 87:25 88:23 89:12 90:4,5 92:19 94:6,20 98:22 i*d 65:12 72:20 81:6 84:8 i*II 81:17 82:15 84:2 95:17 96:20 101:14 i*m 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:14 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:15 73:10 17 75:	1	•		-
57:2,16 58:17,19,22 59:4,13,15 66:17 65:24 66:6,15 68:10 68:23 71:10 72:8,22 75:11,16 76:3 79:1 79:4 82:3,23 86:18 87:18 93:25 94:25 96:4,4,23 97:8,16 97:23 98:6,11,1,17 99:22 100:1,2,4,5,5 100:5,6,8 issuing 99:7 item 13:25 items 9:20 iterative 27:8 101:22 it's 65:8,17,18,22 66:4,7,9,12 67:9 69:8 70:24 71:8 72:15 73:19 76:15 77:5,6 82:24 83:13 84:13 86:1,5 87:25 88:23 89:12 90:4,5 92:19 94:6,20 98:22 i'd 65:12 72:20 81:6 84:8 i'll 81:17 82:15 84:2 95:17 96:20 101:14 i'm 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 76:21 67:37 70:20 75:21 69:20 73:20 75:20 join 6:6 join 55:20 join 6:6 join 57:10 joind 49:15 50:11 100:12,13 jointly 1:7 19:7 96:19 10:11 jomaka 8:16 jonathan 3:22 jones 6:2,9 8:7 jr 4:13 8:21 judge 2:11 18:19,19 20:12,17 27:19 44:11 45:11,17 46:5 51:13 52:19,23 54:1 75:18 86:12 99:6 judges 20:18 49:16 judges 20:18 49:16 judges 10:12 i'll 81:17 82:15 84:2 ges:17 96:20 101:14 i'm 66:13,18,20 68:37:10,17 73:0.17 65:24 66:6,15 68:10 join 55:20 joined 57:16 joining 79:16 joining 3:2 kind 22:13 27:21 37:18,19 93:16,20 kinds 20:7 58:20 98:8 knew 46:14 62:19 62:20 63:19,23 know 9:3,11 13:8 13:15,22 20:13 25:2 67:16 72:22 90:11 laws 11:14 12:11 36:14 38:15 20:4 32:17 37:22,2 79:23 84:12 93:5 67:16 72:22 90:11 laws 11:14 12:11 36:14 38:19 31:15,22 20:13 37:0 31:2 73:25 74:1,2: 79:23 84:12 93:5 67:16 72:22 90:11 laws 11:14 12:11 36:14 38:19 31:15,22 20:13 35:20 40:9 44:11,16 48:2 55:2 56:10,22 57:15 51:13 52:19,23 54:1 79:22 88:18 29:2,7,12,13 36:14 80:19 93:16,20 81:8 13:15,22 20:13 25:20 88:8 13:15,12 22 01:13 25:2 88:18 29:2,7,12,13 36:14 80:19 98 81:14 11:11 100:12,13 36:14 80:19 98 81:19 120:12,13 37:18,19 93:16,20 81:10 22:13 37:12,21 37:12,13 28:5,6,9 22:20,21 30:25 34:20 35:9 36:3 40:9 44:11,16 48:2 58:13 19:19 63:20 63:19,23 84:13 86:12 99:6 62:20 63:19,23 84:13 80:12 99:6 67:16 72:22 90:11 18ws 11:14 12:11 36:14 38:19 31:15,22 20:13 45:20 31:15,20 31:17 13:10 31:12 37:12,21 37:12,13 28:20 31:13 13:19 31:13 13:10 3	1			•
59:4,13,15 62:17 65:24 66:6,15 68:10 68:23 71:10 72:8,22 75:11,16 76:3 79:1 79:4 82:3,23 86:18 87:18 93:25 94:25 96:4,4,23 97:8,16 97:23 98:6,11,11,17 99:22 100:1,2,4,5,5 100:5,6,8 issuing 99:7 item 13:25 items 9:20 iterative 27:8 101:22 if*s 65:8,17,18,22 66:4,7,9,12 67:9 69:8 70:24 71:8 77:15 73:19 76:15 77:5,6 82:24 83:13 84:13 86:1,5 87:25 88:23 89:12 90:4,5 92:19 94:6,20 98:22 i*d 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 90:20 100:14 i*m 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 76:21 76:27 77:10 17 75:26 82:27 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 75:27 73:10 17 75:26 82:27 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 75:27 73:10 17 75:22 75:23 70:20 77:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 75:27 73:20 75:20 ione 55:20 join 6:6 join 55:20 joined 57:16 joining 79:16 kinds 20:7 58:20 98:8 king 3:2 kirkland 3:10 9:8 king 3:2 kirkland 3:10 9:8 king 3:2 kirkland 3:10 9:8 king 3:2 20:4 32:17 37:22,3 38:5,8,15 62:5 67:16 72:22 90:11 laws 11:14 12:11 36:14 38:1,8 lawsuit 34:11,15,1 lawsuits 32:14,24 136:14 63:19 37:18,19 93:16,20 kinds 20:7 58:20 98:8 king 3:2 20:4 32:17 37:22,3 38:5,8,15 62:5 67:16 72:22 90:11 laws 11:14 12:11 36:14 38:1,8 lawsuits 32:14,24 136:14 38:1,5 82:2 27:12,13 28:5,6,9 28:18 29:2,7,12,13 33:5,6 38:1 52:10 38:5,8,15 62:5 67:16 72:22 90:11 laws 11:14 12:11 36:14 38:1,8 lawsuits 32:14,24 49:11,15 48:2 79:3 14:10 12:2 49:11,12 48:20 95 95:3 lawyer 20:12 22:7 79:23 84:12 93:5 67:16 72:22 90:11 laws 11:14 12:11 36:14 38:1,9 36:14 38:1,5 82:1 37:18,19 93:16,20 kinds 20:7 58:20 98:8 king 3:2 20:4 32:17 37:22,3 38:5,8,15 62:5 67:16 72:22 90:11 laws 11:14 12:11 36:14 38:1,9 31:15,22 20:13 25:2 27:12,13 28:5,6,9 28:18 29:2,7,12,13 33:5,6 38:1 52:10 38:5,8,15 62:5 67:16 72:22 90:11 laws 11:14 12:11 36:14 38:1,9 36:14 38:1,		•		
65:24 66:6,15 68:10 68:23 71:10 72:8,22 75:11,16 76:3 79:1 79:4 82:3,23 86:18 87:18 93:25 94:25 96:4,4,23 97:8,16 97:23 98:6,11,11,17 99:22 100:1,2,4,5,5 100:5,6,8 issuing 99:7 item 13:25 items 9:20 iterative 27:8 101:22 66:4,7,9,12 67:9 69:8 70:24 71:8 72:15 73:19 76:15 77:5,6 82:24 83:13 84:13 86:1,5 87:25 88:23 89:12 90:4,5 92:19 94:6,20 98:22 i'd 65:12 72:20 81:6 84:8 1**Il 81:17 82:15 84:2 95:17 96:20 101:14 i'm 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 75:27 73:10 17 75:22 75:23 1	1	J		_
68:23 71:10 72:8,22 75:11,16 76:3 79:1 79:4 82:3,23 86:18 87:18 93:25 94:25 96:4,4,23 97:8,16 97:23 98:6,11,11,17 99:22 100:1,2,4,5,5 100:5,6,8 issuing 99:7 item 13:25 joins 6:2,9 8:7 items 9:20 iterative 27:8 101:22 items 9:20 iterative 27:8 101:22 items 9:20 iterative 27:8 72:15 73:19 76:15 77:5,6 82:24 83:13 84:13 86:1,5 87:25 92:19 94:6,20 98:22 17 8:29 94:6,20 98:22 17 8:20 81:6 84:8 18:17 82:15 84:2 95:17 96:20 101:14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2:20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 76	-	·		31:2 73:25 74:1,23
75:11,16 76:3 79:1 79:4 82:3,23 86:18 87:18 93:25 94:25 96:4,4,23 97:8,16 97:23 98:6,11,11,17 99:22 100:1,2,4,5,5 100:5,6,8 issuing 99:7 item 13:25 items 9:20 iterative 27:8 101:22 it's 65:8,17,18,22 66:4,7,9,12 67:9 69:8 70:24 71:8 77:5,6 82:24 83:13 84:13 86:1,5 87:25 88:23 89:12 99:4,5 92:19 94:6,20 98:22 i'd 65:12 72:20 81:6 84:8 i'll 81:17 82:15 84:2 95:17 96:20 101:14 i'm 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 76:27 77:10 14 i'm 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 76:27 77:10 14 i'm 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 76:72 77:10 14 i'm 76:17 77:71:0 14 i'm 76:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 76:72 77:10 14 i'm 76:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 76:72 77:10 14 i'm 76:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 76:72 77:10 14 i'm 76:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 76:72 77:10 14 i'm 76:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 76:72 77:10 14 i'm 76:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 76:72 77:10 14 i'm 76:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 76:72 77:10 14 i'm 76:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 76:72 77:10 14 i'm 76:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:22 76:20 81:6 75:16 79:25 06:31,9,23 75:18 knew 46:14 62:19 62:20 63:19,23 76:19 100:11 100:12,13 79:19 62:20 63:19,23 8knew 46:14 62:19 62:20 63:19,23 8king 3:2 8knew 46:14 62:19 62:20 63:19,23 8king 3:2 8knew 46:14 62:19 62:20 63:19,23 8ix salio 9:2 62:20 63:19,23 83:5,8,15 62:5 67:16 72:22 90:11 86:13 13:8 13:15,22 20:13 25:2 88:23 92:3 1awsuit 34:11,15,1 1awsuit 32:10 36:14 62:19 62:20 63:19,23 1awsuit 34:11,15,1 1awsuit 32:10 136:14 68:12 62:20 63:19,	1	•		
79:4 82:3,23 86:18 87:18 93:25 94:25 96:4,4,23 97:8,16 97:23 98:6,11,11,17 99:22 100:12,4,5,5 100:5,6,8 issuing 99:7 item 13:25 items 9:20 iterative 27:8 101:22 it's 65:8,17,18,22 66:4,7,9,12 67:9 69:8 70:24 71:8 72:15 73:19 76:15 77:5,6 82:24 83:13 84:13 86:1,5 87:25 88:23 89:12 90:4,5 92:19 94:6,20 98:22 i'd 65:12 72:20 81:6 84:8 i'll 81:17 82:15 84:2 95:17 96:20 101:14 i'm 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 75:15 joining 79:16 king 3:2 kirkland 3:10 9:8 knew 46:14 62:19 62:20 63:19,23 know 9:3,11 13:8 13:15,22 20:13 25:2 27:12,13 28:5,6,9 28:18 29:2,7,12,13 29:20,21 30:25 34:20 35:9 36:3 40:9 44:11,16 48:2 44:11 45:11,17 46:5 55:2 56:10,22 57:15 judges 20:18 49:16 judge's 103:5 judges 20:18 49:16 judge's 103:5 judgent 18:23,24 judicial 10:2 12:24 16:6 49:22 52:4 58:23 77:13 99:1 judicially 10:11 41:22 74:1 july 11:11 22:20 41:11 jump 73:22 75:23 juncture 97:7 75:15	,	•		law 8:15 12:23 13:1
87:18 93:25 94:25 96:4,4,23 97:8,16 97:23 98:6,11,11,17 99:22 100:1,2,4,5,5 100:5,6,8 issuing 99:7 item 13:25 items 9:20 iterative 27:8 101:22 it's 65:8,17,18,22 66:4,7,9,12 67:9 69:8 70:24 71:8 72:15 73:19 76:15 77:5,6 82:24 83:13 84:13 86:1,5 87:25 88:23 89:12 90:4,5 92:19 94:6,20 98:22 i'd 65:12 72:20 81:6 84:8 i'll 81:17 82:15 84:2 95:17 96:20 101:14 i'm 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:13 76:7,7;10 17 75:18 87:19 76:15 73:17 67:27;10 17 75:18 87:19 76:15 73:17 67:27;10 17 75:18 87:29 75:15 folionation of the components of	,			20:4 32:17 37:22,23
96:4,4,23 97:8,16 97:23 98:6,11,11,17 99:22 100:1,2,4,5,5 100:5,6,8 issuing 99:7 item 13:25 items 9:20 iterative 27:8 101:22 it's 65:8,17,18,22 66:4,7,9,12 67:9 69:8 70:24 71:8 77:5,6 82:24 83:13 84:13 86:1,5 87:25 88:23 89:12 90:4,5 92:19 94:6,20 98:22 i'd 65:12 72:20 81:6 84:8 i'll 81:17 82:15 84:2 95:17 96:20 101:14 i'm 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 76:73 73:10 17 78:100:12,13 jointly 1:7 19:7 96:19 100:11 jointly 1:11:12:22 52:26 27:12,13 28:5,6,9 28:18 29:2,7,12,13 33:5,6 38:11 52:16 33:5,6 38:11 52:16 48:20 35:9 36:3 40:9 44:11,16 48:2 49:11,12 84:20 95 71:2,4,15,25 74:6 79:8,19,19 80:12,16 88:1,23 90:21 91:: laws 11:14 12:11 36:14 38:1,8 lawsuit 34:11,15,1 laws 11:14 12:11 36:14 38:1,9 3:16-2,27,12,13 3:5,6 38:11 52:16 88:23 92:3 lawyer 20:12 22:7 73:12 78:18:610 88:1,23 90:21 lay 17:15 62:16 knowledge 60:23 61:6 62:22,23 63:2 lawiet 34:10,51 laws 11:14 12:11 36:14 38:14 3:15,22 20:13 25:2 laws	1	•		•
97:23 98:6,11,11,17 99:22 100:1,2,4,5,5 100:5,6,8 issuing 99:7 item 13:25 items 9:20 iterative 27:8 101:22 it's 65:8,17,18,22 66:4,7,9,12 67:9 69:8 70:24 71:8 72:15 73:19 76:15 77:5,6 82:24 83:13 84:13 86:1,5 87:25 88:23 89:12 90:4,5 92:19 94:6,20 98:22 i'd 65:12 72:20 81:6 84:8 i'll 81:17 82:15 84:2 95:17 96:20 101:14 i'm 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 76:7 77:10 17	1 1	. •		67:16 72:22 90:11
99:22 100:1,2,4,5,5 100:5,6,8 issuing 99:7 item 13:25 items 9:20 iterative 27:8 101:22 it's 65:8,17,18,22 66:4,7,9,12 67:9 69:8 70:24 71:8 72:15 73:19 76:15 77:5,6 82:24 83:13 84:13 86:1,5 87:25 88:23 89:12 90:4,5 92:19 94:6,20 98:22 i'd 65:12 72:20 81:6 84:8 i'll 81:17 82:15 84:2 95:17 96:20 101:14 i'm 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 76:7 77:10 17 100:5,6,8 190:10 100:11 100:8	1 ' ' '	•		
100:5,6,8 issuing 99:7 item 13:25 items 9:20 iterative 27:8 101:22 it's 65:8,17,18,22 66:4,7,9,12 67:9 69:8 70:24 71:8 72:15 73:19 76:15 77:5,6 82:24 83:13 84:13 86:1,5 87:25 83:23 89:12 90:4,5 92:19 94:6,20 98:22 i'd 65:12 72:20 81:6 84:8 i'll 81:17 82:15 84:2 95:17 96:20 101:14 i'm 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 76:7 77:10 17 75:16 31:16 75:18		, 🗸	_	
issuing 99:7 item 13:25 items 9:20 iterative 27:8 101:22 it's 65:8,17,18,22 66:4,7,9,12 67:9 69:8 70:24 71:8 72:15 73:19 76:15 77:5,6 82:24 83:13 84:13 86:1,5 87:25 88:23 89:12 90:4,5 92:19 94:6,20 98:22 i'd 65:12 72:20 81:6 84:8 i'll 81:17 82:15 84:2 95:17 96:20 101:14 i'm 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 76:3 77:10 17 item 13:25 jonathan 3:22 jones 6:2,9 8:7 jr 4:13 8:21 judge 2:11 18:19,19 20:12,17 27:19 44:11 45:11,17 46:5 55:2 56:10,22 57:15 58:1,9 61:4 63:19 63:21 64:13 69:25 71:2,13 28:5,6,9 28:18 29:2,7,12,13 29:20,21 30:25 88:23 92:3 lawyer 20:12 22:7 40:9 44:11,16 48:2 55:2 56:10,22 57:15 58:1,9 61:4 63:19 63:21 64:13 69:25 71:2,13 28:5,6,9 14 asyuits 32:14,24 33:5,6 38:11 52:10 88:23 92:3 lawyer 20:12 22:7 49:11,12 84:20 95 95:3 lawyers 20:14 69: 79:8,19,19 80:12,16 lagy 17:15 62:16 lead 21:14 24:14 26:1 50:25 51:15 89:14 leads 27:4 leads 27:4 leade 43:17 leased 65:15 leave 26:24 53:15 95:25 leave 26:24 53:15 111 jump 73:22 75:23 juncture 97:7 75:15	1		·	lawsuit 34:11,15,19
item 13:25 items 9:20 iterative 27:8 101:22 it's 65:8,17,18,22 66:4,7,9,12 67:9 69:8 70:24 71:8 77:5,6 82:24 83:13 84:13 86:1,5 87:25 88:23 89:12 90:4,5 92:19 94:6,20 98:22 i'd 65:12 72:20 81:6 84:8 i'll 81:17 82:15 84:2 95:17 96:20 101:14 i'm 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 76:27 77:10 17	_	•		lawsuits 32:14,24
items 9:20 iterative 27:8 101:22 jr 4:13 8:21 34:20 35:9 36:3 lawyer 20:12 22:7 it's 65:8,17,18,22 66:4,7,9,12 67:9 44:11 45:11,17 46:5 55:2 56:10,22 57:15 49:11,12 84:20 95 69:8 70:24 71:8 72:15 73:19 76:15 77:5,6 82:24 83:13 86:12 99:6 55:13 52:19,23 54:1 58:1,9 61:4 63:19 63:21 64:13 69:25 73:12 78:1 86:10 88:1,23 90:21 4 69: 73:12 78:1 86:10 88:1,23 90:21 91: 12 yeight 88:1,23 90:21 91: 12 yeight 88:1,23 90:21 4 69: 73:12 78:1 86:10 88:1,23 90:21 91: 12 yeight 88:1,23 90:21 91: 12 yeight 73:12 78:1 86:10 88:1,23 90:21 91: 12 yeight 88:1,23 90:21 91: 12 yeight 73:12 78:1 86:10 88:1,23 90:21 91: 12 yeight 88:1,23 90:21 91: 12 yeight 73:12 78:1 86:10 88:1,23 90:21 91: 12 yeight 13 yeight 14 yeight 14 yeight 49:11,12 84:20 95: 14 yeight 14 yeight 49:11,12 84:20 95: 14 yeight 14 yeight 14 yeight 14 yeight 14 yeight 14 yeight <th< th=""><td></td><td>•</td><td></td><td>33:5,6 38:11 52:10</td></th<>		•		33:5,6 38:11 52:10
iterative 27:8 101:22 it's 65:8,17,18,22 66:4,7,9,12 67:9 69:8 70:24 71:8 72:15 73:19 76:15 77:5,6 82:24 83:13 84:13 86:1,5 87:25 88:23 89:12 90:4,5 92:19 94:6,20 98:22 i'd 65:12 72:20 81:6 84:8 i'll 81:17 82:15 84:2 95:17 96:20 101:14 i'm 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 76:77 77:10 17 101:22 20:12,17 27:19 20:12,17 27:19 44:11 45:11,17 46:5 51:13 52:19,23 54:1 75:18 86:12 99:6 judges 20:18 49:16 judge's 103:5 judgment 18:23,24 judicial 10:2 12:24 58:23 77:13 99:1 judicially 10:11 41:22 74:1 july 11:11 22:20 41:11 jump 73:22 75:23 juncture 97:7 75:15		•	, , ,	1
101:22 it's 65:8,17,18,22 66:4,7,9,12 67:9 69:8 70:24 71:8 72:15 73:19 76:15 77:5,6 82:24 83:13 84:13 86:1,5 87:25 88:23 89:12 90:4,5 92:19 94:6,20 98:22 i'd 65:12 72:20 81:6 84:8 i'll 81:17 82:15 84:2 95:17 96:20 101:14 i'm 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 76:27 77:10 17		•	_	lawyer 20:12 22:7,8
it's 65:8,17,18,22 66:4,7,9,12 67:9 69:8 70:24 71:8 72:15 73:19 76:15 77:5,6 82:24 83:13 84:13 86:1,5 87:25 88:23 89:12 90:4,5 92:19 94:6,20 98:22 i'd 65:12 72:20 81:6 84:8 i'll 81:17 82:15 84:2 95:17 96:20 101:14 i'm 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 72:21 73:17 75:712 72:21 73:17 75:7,12 72:21 73:17 77:70 17				49:11,12 84:20 95:2
66:4,7,9,12 67:9 69:8 70:24 71:8 72:15 73:19 76:15 77:5,6 82:24 83:13 84:13 86:1,5 87:25 88:23 89:12 90:4,5 92:19 94:6,20 98:22 i'd 65:12 72:20 81:6 84:8 i'll 81:17 82:15 84:2 95:17 96:20 101:14 i'm 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 76:7 77:10 17		,	,	-
69:8 70:24 71:8 72:15 73:19 76:15 77:5,6 82:24 83:13 84:13 86:1,5 87:25 88:23 89:12 90:4,5 92:19 94:6,20 98:22 i'd 65:12 72:20 81:6 84:8 i'll 81:17 82:15 84:2 95:17 96:20 101:14 i'm 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:18 86:12 99:6 judges 20:18 49:16 judge's 103:5 judgment 18:23,24 judicial 10:2 12:24 16:6 49:22 52:4 58:23 77:13 99:1 judicially 10:11 41:22 74:1 july 11:11 22:20 41:11 jump 73:22 75:23 jump 73:22 75:23 juncture 97:7 75:18 86:12 99:6 71:2,4,15,25 74:6 88:1,23 90:21 91: 79:8,19,19 80:12,16 88:1,23 90:21 91: 88:1,23 90:21 91: 88:1,23 90:21 91: 88:1,23 90:21 91: 88:1,23 90:21 91: 88:1,23 90:21 91: 18		_	· ·	lawyers 20:14 69:10
72:15 73:19 76:15 77:5,6 82:24 83:13 84:13 86:1,5 87:25 88:23 89:12 90:4,5 92:19 94:6,20 98:22 i'd 65:12 72:20 81:6 84:8 i'll 81:17 82:15 84:2 95:17 96:20 101:14 i'm 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 76:7 77:10 17		·		_
77:5,6 82:24 83:13 84:13 86:1,5 87:25 88:23 89:12 90:4,5 92:19 94:6,20 98:22 i'd 65:12 72:20 81:6 84:8 i'll 81:17 82:15 84:2 95:17 96:20 101:14 i'm 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 76:7 77:10 17				88:1,23 90:21 91:5
84:13 86:1,5 87:25 88:23 89:12 90:4,5 92:19 94:6,20 98:22 i'd 65:12 72:20 81:6 84:8 i'll 81:17 82:15 84:2 95:17 96:20 101:14 i'm 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 76:7 77:10 17	1	, . .	, , ,	lay 17:15 62:16
88:23 89:12 90:4,5 92:19 94:6,20 98:22 i'd 65:12 72:20 81:6 84:8 i'll 81:17 82:15 84:2 95:17 96:20 101:14 i'm 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 76:7 77:10 17	-	• •		lead 21:14 24:14
92:19 94:6,20 98:22 i'd 65:12 72:20 81:6 84:8 i'll 81:17 82:15 84:2 95:17 96:20 101:14 i'm 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 76:7 77:10 17	-	, u	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	26:1 50:25 51:15
i'd 65:12 72:20 81:6 84:8 i'll 81:17 82:15 84:2 95:17 96:20 101:14 i'm 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 76:7 77:10 17	,	"	knowing 64:6	89:14
84:8 i'll 81:17 82:15 84:2 95:17 96:20 101:14 i'm 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 76:7 77:10 17			_	leads 27:4
i'll 81:17 82:15 84:2 95:17 96:20 101:14 i'm 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 76:7 77:10 17				leaned 43:17
95:17 96:20 101:14 i'm 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 76:7 77:10 17 17			1	leased 65:15
1°m 66:13,18,20 68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 76:7 77:10 17 jump 73:22 75:23 juncture 97:7 63:23,25 64:1,11 knows 45:25 75:7 75:15 led 32:18,22 left 11:15,16 31:10				leave 26:24 53:15
68:5,17,23 70:20 72:22 73:13 75:7,12 75:21 76:7 77:10 17 jump 73:22 75:23 juncture 97:7 juncture 97:7 juncture 97:7 juncture 97:7		• •	63:23,25 64:1,11	95:25
72:22 73:13 75:7,12 juncture 97:7 75:15 left 11:15,16 31:10			, ,	led 32:18,22
1 76.01.76.7.77.10.17.10	•	• •		left 11:15,16 31:10
	75:21 76:7 77:10,17	june 22:19 27:18	kosha 52:5	62:2 66:22 100:24
77:22 78:14 79:14 30:10 78:25 kozyak 88:13 101:9		•		101:9
81:16,25 82:6 84:22	81:16,25 82:6 84:22			

[legal - meat] Page 13

	AAAAAA AAAAA	****	
legal 11:18 14:20	liked 73:16	looking 19:3 28:20	96:9 97:21
23:2,7 27:23 31:24	likes 94:22	42:3 90:24,25	massage 102:2
32:6 39:8,9,10	likewise 98:14	looming 85:9	massaged 43:2
66:14 76:6 91:8	limitation 77:5	loose 101:13	massive 15:23
92:25	limited 12:23 22:12	lose 33:18 55:15	masumoto 6:22
legitimate 94:1	27:21 43:20 44:24	62:3 81:5	material 14:13
lemon 11:14 12:10	59:7,14 76:9 96:22	loss 11:5,19 32:18	materiality 96:20
32:17 37:22,23 38:1	97:12,15	33:6,8,17 34:5,7	matt 63:20
38:5,8,8,14 72:22	limits 9:14	losses 34:21 92:2	matter 1:4 14:13
length 14:5	line 12:21 14:19	lost 24:8 33:10 56:2	18:13,17 24:20,21
lengthy 15:8 95:13	43:1,3,6 76:4	lot 28:3,11,13 44:16	32:1 47:2 49:5 53:2
letter 11:21,24	lines 54:24	44:24 59:16 62:19	55:18 57:19 59:15
12:11 13:14,19 14:1	liquidation 1:6 4:2	67:4 71:8 76:1,21	59:25 62:5,23 65:22
15:21,21,22 16:3	list 14:12 37:6	78:25 79:1	66:7 67:11 72:19,21
18:19 20:8 23:20	94:19	lots 46:12,13 74:16	74:10 77:13 80:5
24:2 25:14 29:11	listed 13:25	louisiana 90:12	92:12 96:25 97:4
30:3,6,16 42:25	listen 52:21 53:25	lowenstein 6:1,8	98:13 100:11,18
43:1 79:12,24 85:16	listening 94:21 95:6	88:17	101:1 104:12
85:22,25 88:20 89:7	litigate 40:13 75:12	lowest 26:22	matters 20:4 24:18
89:9,10 90:15,16,17	litigated 42:16	lumping 21:20	26:5 56:12 59:13
93:7	litigating 46:17	luxury 63:4	61:8 75:4 96:18,20
letters 9:19 12:1,13	litigation 16:7,8		97:17,22 100:10
14:8 15:9 24:15	20:21 34:9 40:25	m	matured 44:13
25:8 99:12	42:7 49:15,17,23	m 5:22	maximum 96:16
letting 66:15	50:1 75:2 81:10	madison 3:19	mdl 16:13,16 24:4
let's 73:21,24 77:25	99:1	mail 96:12	24:10,11,12,19 25:9
78:19,20 84:1	litigations 16:13	major 77:21	25:25 26:6,9,9,11
level 46:19 87:3	little 16:23 21:13	majority 12:14,16	26:11 37:9 41:9
88:25	23:3,13 28:24 29:1	14:15 16:20 89:13	49:4 50:13,17 51:13
levels 63:16	29:9 30:2 54:23	89:23 99:10	53:9,15,21 79:6
lexington 7:9	live 28:19	making 13:2 22:11	98:24
liabilities 33:2 40:7	livingston 6:3	37:14 44:7 52:7	mdl's 16:5
liability 11:7 12:25	llc 1:22 3:3 8:15	53:8 57:1 77:9,10	mean 17:12 22:19
22:11 32:17,18 33:4	llp 3:2,17 4:1,15 5:1	92:11	33:17 35:15 39:19
33:4 36:13,20 37:1	5:10 6:1,8 8:1	management 65:6	41:17,25 50:12,19
37:2,4 39:18 72:4	45:18	66:7 91:17 97:2	57:8 79:14 91:9
83:14 92:7	load 32:11	manner 66:17	92:5
63.14 92.7 liable 72:17	lobotomy 61:3,13	manufactured	meaning 50:5,6
liaison 29:16 50:25	locale 50:1	36:10,24 37:17	69:11
87:23 88:7 89:8	lockwood 7:6	marcus 1:14	meaningful 80:10
i	1	maria 8:13	means 10:10 15:2
lie 32:6	logic 23:5,12 44:7 logical 15:13	mark 4:13 43:6	28:9 38:5
life 32:19 53:2	•	45:19	meant 82:12
55:24	long 71:25	marketplace 47:17	measly 49:12
lifland 18:19	longer 28:24 29:1	marona 81:21	measure 67:9
lift 83:9	look 52:21 53:25	martorana 4:6	meat 96:13
light 25:7 76:1 79:1	73:19 93:11,21 94:7	81:18,19,22,22,23	meat 30.13
97:19		81:24 82:1,11,12,16	

		mamag 10.0	never 53:3 74:19
mechanic's 63:5	misunderstood	names 10:8	never 53:3 /4:19 79:16 94:19
mechanism 18:5	68:4	naomi 5:15	
51:16 55:6 56:19	mixed 67:6	narrow 49:8 53:5	nevertheless 71:23
mechanisms 56:15	modest 22:12	61:23 62:13	new 1:2 2:3,3 3:5,11
mediate 75:11	modification 14:2	narrowed 51:12,18	3:20 4:4,17,19 5:4
mediation 20:9	modifications 14:10	narrowing 47:19	5:13,20 6:11,20
31:19,20 32:3 75:1	modified 92:22	57:24 66:15 71:10	7:11 8:3,11 9:17
75:4 81:3,7 83:23	molton 5:7	narrowly 28:9,10	11:1,6,12,22,23
91:25 101:1	moment 78:7	92:17	13:17 14:24 15:9
meet 40:17 96:15	momentarily 10:17	national 63:11	19:14 26:8 31:21
97:12 101:10	96:8	nature 34:19	32:16,16,22 33:25
meeting 20:10	money 20:19 61:22	near 74:15	35:9,15,21 36:2,6
47:24 58:21	75:9	necessarily 17:12	36:12,15,24 37:3,8
melding 57:18	monstrous 20:11	22:20 46:21 47:12	37:8,17 39:18 47:2
92:10	month 42:8	48:22 54:19,19	47:7,25 48:25 49:7
member 16:2	months 9:24	55:23 62:23 63:2,22	50:14 51:3 53:13
mention 47:11 71:6	moon 84:23	necessary 27:23	54:24 55:7 56:1,13
81:1	morning 9:2,10	46:2,4 72:14 89:18	56:22 57:7 59:24,25
mentioned 12:11	53:14 80:11 81:18	90:7	60:10 62:21,23 63:4
80:11 88:5 101:7	morph 52:14	necessity 56:21 76:7	63:16,19 66:2 67:11
merely 24:14	morphed 60:13	need 9:22,23 10:1,9	67:13 68:24,24 69:6
merit 86:15	moss 5:15	11:15 13:3,5,7	69:16,21,22 70:6,14
merits 10:7 46:6	motion 9:18 38:12	14:14 15:14,18	70:22,24 71:1,3,17
51:19,20 62:16 65:7	55:7,20,20 57:7,14	16:13 17:5,8 18:15	71:17,18 72:5,9,16
messrs 96:9	73:15 76:13 83:9	18:21 19:3,8 23:9	72:16 73:20 74:8
metamorphous	92:5 99:15	27:22 28:5,6,9 29:8	83:2,10,12,15,22
60:7	motors 1:6,7,22 3:3	29:16 37:24 40:9	84:13 85:24 86:19
michael 6:13 88:17	4:2	53:6 54:21 64:25	87:13 93:11,17,21
michigan 50:13	move 32:25,25 46:6	76:19 78:9,10 80:9	94:16,23 97:25
mid 30:10 79:23	58:15 66:18 89:21	86:19 88:1 91:8	newport 4:11
middle 60:15,17	moved 83:12	95:19 96:17 101:23	news 46:11,15,22
miles 34:12 67:4	moves 68:8	needed 30:2 41:6	46:23
million 65:17	moving 51:16 54:5	42:5 94:5	night 86:17
milstein 63:21	55:9 60:1 83:3	needs 13:22,24	nine 33:7 100:20
mind 17:20 22:5	mspa 22:17 32:15	15:16 20:10,16	ninth 10:19
56:25 59:6 63:15	33:2 36:12 37:23	23:10 62:4 71:7	nj 6:4
76:12 78:15,16	multi 99:1	83:4 85:11 89:21	noes 46:7
mineola 104:22	multidistrict 16:6	97:12 101:10	noise 72:14
minute 44:17 45:8	16:15 49:15,17,23	negative 56:2	non 21:1 31:24
84:9	multiple 50:1	neglect 19:19 31:2	north 3:12
minutes 45:2,4,5	multiplicity 52:10	31:11 82:23 87:8	note 16:1 44:18
95:15	75:8	negligence 95:2	46:8 75:20
mistake 87:18		negotiate 31:25	notes 40:20
mistaken 90:9	n	negotiated 32:8	notice 12:24 64:14
misunderstand	n 3:1 7:6 9:1 90:14	negotiating 22:16	64:15,15 93:9 96:11
	103:1,3 104:1	negotiation 20:17	notion 76:5
68:2	name 46:6 84:8	nevouation ZUEL/	HOUOH /O.2

from real back			
notwithstanding	90:8,13 102:5,8,11	original 43:10	46:21,23 47:12
59:23 67:11 74:21	old 19:15,16,20,23	originally 60:9	48:19 49:5,14 58:18
75:16 97:1	20:3 22:10,16 31:8	orms 2:25 104:10	60:13 67:24 71:19
nuance 12:7 35:20	32:20 33:8 35:10,12	otterbourg 5:18	71:21 73:4,4 74:2
36:22 37:13,22	36:1,9,10,20 37:5	46:2 88:4	92:5 98:12
38:10	37:16 62:22 63:16	ought 48:2,10 55:17	partial 11:3
nuanced 93:24	63:19 64:12 67:3	55:18 59:14 61:19	participate 83:20
number 10:17	70:23 71:1,5 73:16	61:21 65:2 73:3	83:23 85:16,23
13:25 23:18 31:9,9	93:18 94:3,3 96:7	74:19 77:24 78:8	98:16 99:15
49:6,9,14 57:20	104:20	outlier 29:16 48:2,2	particular 38:10,15
65:15,16 72:25	once 16:1 38:3	48:6 64:9 73:3	94:24
78:23 88:22,23	50:17 55:7 67:14	outliers 29:14 48:18	particularly 82:22
nw 7:2	85:11 92:15	outline 22:24	parties 9:14 17:10
ny 3:5,20 4:4,19 5:4	ones 81:2	outset 82:2	17:18 20:16 33:21
5:13,20 6:11,20	ongoing 53:17	outside 38:23 72:10	46:21,25 47:19
7:11 8:3,11 104:22	78:24	overall 32:6	48:11,16 50:10
0	open 53:15 58:16	overalls 63:1	51:17 54:5,9,21
o 2:9 9:1 104:1	78:15,16	overflow 45:1 91:3	55:10,18 56:14 57:6
o'hagan 4:22	opening 67:2	overly 64:5	57:17,22 60:4 65:4
object 23:22	opinion 48:9 52:3	overnight 96:12	66:15 68:9 69:1
objection 9:18	74:14 78:10	owned 65:15	71:2 72:10 78:3
55:20 76:13	opponents 33:14	p	82:7,12 86:23 99:14
objections 55:19	opportunity 9:13	p 3:1,1 4:13,21 9:1	99:22 100:14
objectively 62:17	20:2 23:10 29:19	p.c. 3:15	partly 56:2
objector 93:8	55:19 91:10,16	p.m. 95:20,20	partner 87:22 88:6
observed 78:23	93:20 98:5	pachulski 8:7	parts 11:2 49:5,13
observers 18:22	opposed 52:8 59:10	page 48:4 103:4	85:4
obtained 72:5	59:19 76:9	pages 9:16	party 83:16 97:24 99:5
obviate 91:4	oral 70:6	panel 16:6,13,16,16	passed 44:17
obvious 16:25 73:19	order 13:18 14:1 18:21 22:19 25:5	49:15,16,18,23	passed 44.17 pause 33:13 37:11
obviously 11:17	31:6,6 36:11 56:18	50:11,20 52:18	49:20 51:21 61:11
14:9 20:9 45:21	60:2,8 61:24 64:19	53:22 99:1	69:13 70:19 76:8
57:8,9 77:3	64:20,21 67:21,23	panel's 98:24	84:15 86:8 90:13
occurred 36:4	71:21 74:8 91:4,6	paper 70:4	pay 32:10
occurrences 11:9	91:17,20 93:10 94:2	papers 37:23 39:8	paying 32:11,12
october 34:2	96:11 97:2,4 99:15	39:13 59:19	peace 52:25
office 6:17 63:6	99:19,25 100:17	paradigm 38:9	pendency 47:13
official 104:11	101:6,7 102:3	paragraph 13:14	pending 11:1 16:13
		novographa 12:2	F
oh 12:1 21:2 60:21	1	paragraphs 43:3	88:18
67:6 90:8 102:8	orderly 10:15,21	pardon 90:19	*
67:6 90:8 102:8 okay 9:9 12:1 14:4	orderly 10:15,21 orders 11:24 91:9	pardon 90:19 park 4:3 5:12,19	88:18 people 19:8 20:20 20:22 22:16,17
67:6 90:8 102:8 okay 9:9 12:1 14:4 16:24 26:13 35:18	orderly 10:15,21 orders 11:24 91:9 ordinary 37:2,3	pardon 90:19 park 4:3 5:12,19 8:2	people 19:8 20:20
67:6 90:8 102:8 okay 9:9 12:1 14:4 16:24 26:13 35:18 40:12 41:24 43:25	orderly 10:15,21 orders 11:24 91:9	pardon 90:19 park 4:3 5:12,19 8:2 parked 34:11,17	people 19:8 20:20 20:22 22:16,17
67:6 90:8 102:8 okay 9:9 12:1 14:4 16:24 26:13 35:18 40:12 41:24 43:25 44:9 45:6 50:22	orderly 10:15,21 orders 11:24 91:9 ordinary 37:2,3 organize 29:3	pardon 90:19 park 4:3 5:12,19 8:2 parked 34:11,17 parse 52:10 71:16	people 19:8 20:20 20:22 22:16,17 23:11,20 24:1 26:22
67:6 90:8 102:8 okay 9:9 12:1 14:4 16:24 26:13 35:18 40:12 41:24 43:25 44:9 45:6 50:22 54:12 60:20 61:16	orderly 10:15,21 orders 11:24 91:9 ordinary 37:2,3 organize 29:3 organized 29:2,9,20 29:23 30:22,25	pardon 90:19 park 4:3 5:12,19 8:2 parked 34:11,17 parse 52:10 71:16 71:17 72:2	people 19:8 20:20 20:22 22:16,17 23:11,20 24:1 26:22 28:7 29:4,5,5,8
67:6 90:8 102:8 okay 9:9 12:1 14:4 16:24 26:13 35:18 40:12 41:24 43:25 44:9 45:6 50:22	orderly 10:15,21 orders 11:24 91:9 ordinary 37:2,3 organize 29:3 organized 29:2,9,20 29:23 30:22,25	pardon 90:19 park 4:3 5:12,19 8:2 parked 34:11,17 parse 52:10 71:16	people 19:8 20:20 20:22 22:16,17 23:11,20 24:1 26:22 28:7 29:4,5,5,8 32:10,12 34:21,24

[beobie - bi caume]			C
56:12,21 57:9 63:9	piecemealing 23:5	90:13 95:21 101:5	practicality 74:11
63:23 64:13,23	pieces 70:4	pled 38:2	practice 57:15
65:15,18,25 66:24	pile 84:7	plenty 63:9	73:15
69:3,25 70:3 74:16	pin 28:10	plifka 7:15 45:23	practicing 20:12
74:23 79:21 86:25	pinto 46:18	plug 69:16 102:2	prayers 52:12
95:6,14 101:7,14	pioneer 87:7 93:25	plus 51:4	pre 92:7
perceived 34:5,17	97:22	pm 102:13	preclude 57:12
35:6 55:16 99:7	place 11:10 15:14	point 13:3 16:17	precondition 69:4
100:8	26:7 31:6 32:20	17:21 18:14,14	prefer 43:22 59:5
period 22:18 28:22	37:5 47:25 53:19	19:11 24:14,15,22	72:7,20,20 75:11
28:23 30:17 41:12	65:20 100:14	38:24 43:8 53:11	84:23,24
51:6,7	placed 90:4	56:24,25 57:16 70:9	preferable 97:5
permanently 42:4	places 52:21	75:1 76:11,16 77:14	preference 44:12
87:12	plaintiff 15:10 30:4	80:9,25 83:5 89:6	58:21 101:21
permit 16:6 98:25	30:4 45:25 48:10	92:7	preferred 59:15
permitted 67:10	73:5 75:24 100:22	pointed 88:5	100:14
97:16	plaintiff's 22:7,8	points 54:16 57:4	prejudge 31:12
person 31:22 35:23	plaintiffs 1:20 3:18	88:1	prejudice 98:22
63:2 83:10,12 91:19	4:16 6:2,9 8:8	portion 52:11 64:20	99:4 101:2
93:6,7,13	12:15,18,22 13:14	position 60:4 61:4	preliminary 9:15
personal 11:7 14:22	13:15,16 14:2,15	61:15 63:10 72:11	14:7 99:17,21
32:19 34:25 60:11	26:17 30:24 47:24	73:10 84:9 92:6	prep 90:16
66:25	48:3,9,24 49:7	102:1	prepare 96:10
perspective 46:24	51:19 59:24 60:1	positions 10:8	prepared 12:15
47:23 51:11 52:3	61:21 64:7 67:10	posner 5:22 84:1,2	23:4 40:13,17 41:1
55:5 58:2,10 59:12	70:7,12 71:23 73:2	87:21 88:3,4,15,16	42:7 67:5 73:6
59:13 61:21 62:2,6	75:15 83:7 84:12	possibility 18:15	75:16 78:16
65:4 66:3 82:18	86:3,3 87:13 88:18	35:23 53:15 57:15	preparing 19:25
persuasive 19:13	89:8,13,16,23 94:1	76:9 81:3 82:2 83:8	48:11 99:25
peskoe 3:17	plaintiffs' 69:9	96:6	prepetition 19:21
peter 7:6	86:10 88:10,24 90:5	possible 23:8 48:13	39:16,20 97:25
petition 19:22 60:12	98:9	66:17 75:4 96:1,16	presale 33:1,4
98:1	plaintiff's 69:12	97:6	70:11
ph 45:20 46:2 52:5	84:20	possibly 64:9 66:21	present 23:2,7 27:9
52:6 63:21 75:22	play 89:11,25	66:24 93:11	68:9 73:9 96:19
94:5	players 15:9	post 11:23 19:22	presentation 30:20
phase 43:17,20	playing 41:15	33:4 35:20 36:4	presented 21:7
58:18	plaza 4:10	60:12,12 61:7,8	29:25 68:14 75:13
philosophical 76:4	pleading 40:15	70:11 97:25	100:6
phone 44:25 81:17	pleadings 38:20	potential 29:6 47:14	presenting 22:17
90:9 91:12,18,19	79:19 83:11	83:8 84:11	48:12
phrase 17:8	please 9:2 12:12	potentially 15:7	press 63:13 94:21
pick 50:12	21:2 26:13 33:13	31:14 57:3 59:15	preston 3:23
picking 30:16 40:7	37:11 42:24 49:10	72:8	presumably 18:23
79:22	51:21 58:15 61:17	power 24:11	44:25
piecemeal 22:25	68:1 69:13 81:15	practical 39:11	presume 48:6
	84:15 86:8 87:22	58:24 74:17 101:5	
L			

	100 10 101 110	100.16	50.0.50.5.10.66.10
pretrial 16:7 26:7	102:13 104:4,12	provide 100:16	52:9 58:5,12 66:19
50:1,2 99:2	process 10:15 15:8	provided 23:22	quickest 71:25
pretty 9:21 10:16	21:24 31:5 41:15,17	provides 97:2	quickly 72:14 88:25
11:18 15:23 18:20	48:17 51:5 53:8,16	providing 96:14	quite 41:25
19:13 67:7	62:15 64:18,24 66:1	proving 63:24	quote 89:6
preventing 59:25	66:5 68:6,7,8,24	provision 35:4	r
primary 10:4 40:1	71:19,24 72:11,19	provisions 67:23	r 2:9 3:1 4:6 9:1
principal 15:9	73:21 74:2,5,18,21	public 46:12,14,20	103:3 104:1
private 36:19	76:16,23,24 77:11	62:19 65:10,14,17	raise 12:2 40:12
pro 1:15 85:10	77:20,25 78:5,14,20	84:5	70:8 81:2 101:2
probable 95:9	80:2,15 92:22 93:9	publically 84:5,5	raised 14:20 20:9
probably 23:9,10	94:2 101:22	pulled 69:16	31:4,5 39:1,2,7
42:9 82:21 87:14	product 64:11,12	punitive 84:24,25	40:14 59:18 60:6,6
95:3	64:13	84:25	83:8,10 84:14 88:20
problem 13:8 27:13	productive 44:22	purchase 32:15	88:20 93:6,7
28:2 48:16 53:24	81:8	pure 23:7 39:8,10	raising 13:5
65:19 68:11 71:15	products 46:17	purely 14:20 24:18	rare 46:19
97:19	professional 18:9	26:2 27:23 28:5	rationales 87:9
procedural 18:18	96:17	purporting 60:10	ratzlaff 4:9 5:2
21:23 24:4,5 31:5	professionals 22:16	purpose 66:15	reach 25:23 68:9
50:24 51:16 53:4,24	progress 42:15 45:4	67:18,19 86:14	read 9:16,17,19
55:6,8,16,23 56:15	prongs 76:19	99:24	
56:16,19 80:21 93:9	proper 21:15,25	purposely 33:3	15:9,22 22:5 40:15
94:1	40:12	purposes 10:4	63:13 69:10
procedurally 19:7	properly 29:17	18:18 38:6 51:1,1	readily 57:5 70:13
29:22 51:5 55:14	34:20 42:14 70:15	55:25 65:5 80:7	reading 14:7 16:25
procedure 23:2,23	property 11:8 14:23	88:25 89:24	71:9
52:15 74:2	32:19 34:25 60:9,11	pursue 53:11 63:14	ready 20:24 88:10
procedures 13:14	66:25	67:10	reality 47:17 93:6
14:1 89:18 92:10	proposal 43:10	put 10:3 13:11,11	really 18:6,7 25:1
proceed 10:20	57:17	15:4,4 16:13 22:7	26:24 27:2 28:6
12:15 15:24 16:8	propose 27:4,21	24:11 36:1,2 37:23	29:3 48:10 67:15
54:14 77:25 86:18	proposed 9:20	40:10 48:18 49:20	90:4,5
87:13 96:2 99:3	16:21 17:25 99:12	53:16 56:4 57:3	realm 89:1
proceeding 18:12	99:23,25 100:17	63:10 78:5,6 83:15	reargument 101:12
18:25 23:21 25:18	101:8	86:8,9,10 90:23	reason 28:23 39:11
25:25 27:12 39:3	proposing 28:12	92:23 93:13,19	41:4 60:5 64:4 70:2
51:10 55:9,11,17,24	proposition 30:9	94:14	reasons 40:1 48:21
57:14,19 61:7 73:15	51:11 64:10,10	putting 96:13	69:21
76:14 80:18 83:6	propositions 28:3		recall 33:9,11,25
92:11 97:3 98:13	propriety 54:18	q	34:6,14 35:3,4,14
100:10	prospect 20:10	qualify 38:5	35:19 36:4,14,15
proceedings 16:7	prospective 13:16	question 12:7 33:13	46:13 68:13 69:5,24
26:7 49:4 50:1,2,13	prove 64:23 67:10	67:15,18,19,21 68:2	70:25 77:5
53:15 56:1,11,13,23	71:8 75:17	72:25 84:23 85:4	recalled 71:4
79:15,17 80:5 85:22	proven 12:24 64:11	89:7	receives 50:17
86:1,2 96:22 99:2,5	PIOVER 12.27 07.11	questions 10:22,24	receptivity 28:13
00.1,4 70.44 77.4,3	*	21:9 44:15 46:6,10	

[recess - room] Page 18

		MANA WAY	
recess 44:17 45:9,13	relating 31:5 36:14	require 22:2 51:2	resulting 95:15
95:19	37:16,17 94:2	59:16,21 82:20 87:6	results 91:7
recessed 95:20	relatively 44:23	97:10	retained 33:2,4
recitation 24:24	relax 41:13	required 31:15	36:20 37:1 39:17
36:22	releases 70:7	59:21	92:1
recognition 41:3	relevant 27:14 46:9	requirement 98:16	retaining 92:6
recognize 97:9	46:24 94:20	requirements 91:17	retrospect 59:18
recommend 101:24	reliance 60:2	91:20 101:20	return 91:12
recommendation	relief 34:16 52:12	requires 16:23	review 70:5
17:9	99:21	40:18	reviewing 25:8
recommended 59:8	remain 51:25	reservation 100:9	revisit 87:15
reconvened 95:20	remainder 85:1	reserve 45:21 71:23	revocation 64:21
record 12:24 17:24	98:17	93:1	rhetorical 85:5
23:15 26:15 27:1	remaining 14:18	resolution 31:25	ricardo 3:23
30:12,14 45:17 51:9	48:24 96:4	32:8 47:1 48:12	richard 3:15 9:7
62:19 63:10 70:5	remarks 21:1	53:17 57:15 66:16	rid 92:25
88:4 104:4	remedy 21:22 31:7	resolve 59:13 74:17	right 15:12 35:17
recording 104:12	31:8 48:17 73:22,24	75:10,16 77:24	36:19,22 39:25
recovery 31:16,17	74:21,22 76:19	resolved 48:25 53:7	41:21 45:4,21 48:15
93:20	86:21 93:10,11,17	71:7 83:22 85:11	54:13,20 55:5 60:18
referred 12:18 58:2	94:8	resolving 75:3	66:8,9 69:14 71:24
58:10	remember 60:19	respect 11:2,8 12:10	73:22 74:21,22
refined 15:15	remembering 62:18	13:25 16:8 58:17	75:19,23 76:17
refinements 95:24	remiss 88:11	67:17 89:3 99:2	77:23 79:10 82:16
reflect 90:16	renewal 98:22	respectfully 65:2	87:21 90:8 93:1
reflection 57:10	reorganization 61:7	91:10	95:12 101:2 102:8
reflexive 27:10	repair 35:2 37:25	respective 10:7	rightly 11:6 12:17
reg 1:6,16	repaired 33:11	11:17 90:6 97:12	rights 10:20 14:8
regard 12:9 15:12	34:18 35:23,24 38:3	respects 77:21	15:1 42:4 56:17
17:21 19:10 26:14	38:4,4,21	95:23	64:16 87:1,1 94:3
30:1,14 37:21 38:25	repairing 36:6	respond 57:7 58:6	99:4 100:9
47:18,21 53:11	repeat 79:5	58:13	ripe 87:8
54:17,17,24 60:11	repetition 10:22	response 91:21	rise 88:19
62:12,20 72:24	13:2	102:10	rising 102:6
73:14 77:18 88:11	repetitive 21:1	responses 57:11	road 72:15 104:20
94:12	replacing 33:25	responsibilities	robe 20:15
regarding 46:12	70:25	18:9 58:22	robert 2:10
regulate 87:7	replicate 55:10	responsibility 11:13	robin 1:12
regulatory 47:4	reply 91:22	32:23	robinson 4:8,8,13
reject 15:19	report 63:13 78:24	responsibly 94:24	45:18,19 46:16
relate 27:15 72:5	represent 86:4	94:25	rocter 4:15
related 17:17 25:2	represented 73:7	rest 10:16 40:20	role 22:7 63:18 75:9
25:14,21 30:19 41:6	represents 13:16	42:13	88:7
41:8 82:3 89:7	request 18:1 83:20	restate 23:24 68:1	rolled 13:23 80:15
98:11,19	98:21	restructuring 61:8	100:7
relates 36:9,17	requests 18:5	result 18:3 22:22	room 52:24 63:3,7
64:22 82:22 87:14			77:19
	l	<u> </u>	L

[Tosciana - Sounds]			_
roseland 6:4	scenario 51:23	separate 15:5 19:5	siganowski 46:2
rostrum 34:22	86:13	56:11,12,25,25	sight 81:5
42:23	scenes 22:10	57:13,14 76:15	sign 68:15
rudnick 5:1 45:18	schedule 102:1	80:16	signature 104:18
rule 16:7,12 17:16	scheduled 16:5	separately 26:19	signed 69:4 70:4
18:5 21:20 23:6	98:25	separating 55:24	significant 22:21
39:3 44:5 59:23	schedules 29:6	september 41:11	44:6 87:6
80:14 83:17 93:2	scheduling 80:7	serious 17:1 29:1	significantly 39:5
99:1,16	99:19	serve 81:9	signing 69:2
ruled 19:20	scientist 95:3	serves 66:14	similar 12:10 17:19
rules 28:19	scope 67:21	services 46:3	19:3 55:4 77:15
ruling 25:2 26:15	scott 3:8	set 10:15 15:16 17:6	95:23
30:16 59:22 87:15	script 21:9	17:18 25:16 61:23	similarly 1:19
90:2,3 91:1,15 99:4	seats 9:2 45:14	67:7	simplest 67:8
103:5	95:21	setting 57:6 65:23	simplistic 64:5
rulings 13:23 28:25	sec 47:6	settle 102:3	simply 52:21 53:16
51:24 99:6,7 100:25	second 38:4,21	settled 96:11 101:7	single 48:9 51:5,12
101:9	40:19 42:16 43:13	seven 5:3 19:14	52:4 100:15
run 28:14 47:8 80:8	53:19 62:22 70:2,19	28:21 33:7 49:16	sit 70:21
rush 56:21 79:10	89:3,6 92:4	67:3 85:24 99:22	sitting 27:6 63:3
rushing 17:2 54:19	secondary 44:8	shapiro 4:8	94:4
S	securities 94:4	share 16:18 59:12	situated 1:19
	see 9:15 11:25	shed 76:1 78:25	situation 91:4
s 3:1,23 6:13 9:1	23:11,19 24:2 28:15	sheila 2:25 104:10	situations 31:24
103:3	28:17 30:13 32:4,5	sherwood 6:6	six 18:12 28:21 33:7
safety 63:11	40:2 46:19 58:16	she'll 102:1	67:3 99:9
sale 11:11,23 12:6	70:9 73:13 78:20	shifted 73:25	skepticism 78:12
13:18 14:1 22:20	84:1 86:12 97:11	shifting 18:6 27:3	skip 72:22
25:5 31:6 32:20,21 33:4 35:13,20 36:4	101:25	shoes 86:9,11 90:23	sleep 94:3
· ·	seeing 12:20	shoot 84:23	slip 48:4
36:11 60:2,8,12,17 61:24 64:15,16,19	seek 14:3 86:4	shop 55:3	small 36:6
64:20,21 67:21,23	seeking 81:9 85:16	shops 55:3	sold 36:25 60:12
70:11 71:19,21 92:7	seemingly 52:18	short 56:18 89:12	65:18
salutary 29:12	seen 68:17 70:5	shortened 30:5	solely 60:11 65:6
sander 7:20 45:23	select 26:10 50:25	shorter 28:24	86:14
48:4	selected 30:3	show 13:16 38:13	soon 78:25 101:5
sandler 6:1,8 88:18	selection 26:1	41:2	sooner 58:24 59:2
satisfactorily 88:2	sends 52:18	shown 14:18 96:4	sorry 60:16 79:15
satisfactory 100:19	sense 11:18 12:14	shows 73:18	81:25 93:15
satisfy 98:3	23:6 42:9 59:5	side 10:8 15:12 23:9	sort 52:8 58:4,11
satisfying 11:13	sensitive 82:23	28:13 29:22 47:20	61:10,18 62:14
saustymg 11.13	sensitivity 47:12	59:14 60:22 69:11	66:18 76:4,7,18
saying 24:14 27:6	sent 85:22	69:12 78:6 88:24	77:24 80:4,15,17
31:7 36:14 56:4	sentence 56:3	97:15 98:9	sought 69:6 72:5
93:10	sentiment 32:6	sides 20:10 47:16	sound 104:11
says 28:5 89:13	56:10	62:6 78:2	sounds 82:6
2			
L	<u> </u>	1	L

[south - tailored]

south 2:25 4:16	stating 56:7	stop 52:21 53:25	suggesting 93:25
104:3	status 2:14 25:11,12	69:21,24	suggestion 17:17
southern 1:2	25:13 26:4 27:18	stopped 16:14	25:7 31:19 87:11
spalding 3:2	30:11,20,21 48:23	story 68:12	92:15,21
span 22:21	51:8 56:18 78:11,19	strangers 86:2	suggestions 26:23
_	79:3 101:18	strategy 85:8	26:23
speak 48:5,6 52:24			
speaking 14:5	statutory 11:22	strauss 5:10 84:4	suggests 62:14 71:9
speaks 13:13	stay 27:17 41:13	streamline 23:1	suit 63:4
specific 27:25 38:17	42:6 99:5	39:5	suite 6:19 7:3,17
65:18	stayed 24:17	street 6:18 7:16	8:18 104:21
speculated 19:25	steel 5:8	stress 72:25	suits 63:9
speed 47:21	steering 15:10 16:2	strongly 43:19	sullivan 1:15
spell 42:1	100:23	struggling 86:17	sum 101:20
spend 72:1	stein 91:18	studying 32:2	summarized 40:22
spending 61:19,22	steinberg 3:7 9:3,5	stuff 18:25 53:23	summons 80:13
63:20	9:8 10:12 12:4,13	stutzman 45:23	superficially 76:16
spent 45:16	18:18 20:24 21:4,5	stuzman 7:15	supposed 52:19
spoke 77:19,19	22:5,15 24:8,13	subject 10:20 11:23	sure 14:12 23:9,25
spoken 40:24	26:9,14 33:16,20,23	•	29:4 33:11,19 34:21
1 -	, , , ,	47:3,9 51:25 70:15	42:2 53:10 55:8,22
square 5:3	35:17,19 37:3,11,15	1	1
stage 64:25 93:4	37:19 39:22,25	73:8 77:4 96:7	64:3 66:13 75:7,12
stand 29:15 40:25	41:19,21,23 42:24	98:15	85:24 89:24 91:11
41:1,2 44:22 53:18	43:7,10,23 44:1,3	submissions 16:25	92:11 94:11 97:13
73:9 82:1 84:9	46:5 48:1 50:16	42:19	survive 25:21
99:10	53:14,18 54:3,10	submit 90:17	suspect 101:12
standards 87:7	58:17 59:7 61:12	subsequent 49:1	switch 33:9 34:4,10
standing 98:10	62:14 67:2 70:15,19	83:19	34:14 35:2,12,13,22
stands 14:2 52:14	72:24 75:2 80:11	subsequently 13:23	36:1 37:6 38:2
88:10	91:22,23 96:9 99:12	substance 23:22	48:16 62:20 65:19
standstill 16:19	100:22 101:15,16	substantial 82:21	67:4 68:14 69:1
54:18 99:17	101:21 102:4	substantive 24:5,20	70:25 71:1,2,3,4,5,9
stang 8:7	steinberg's 79:12	28:6 53:20,24 55:23	71:11,13,14 94:15
star 32:11	79:24	56:17 57:2	switches 11:3 34:1
start 15:14 44:19	step 32:3 42:16 49:8	subsumed 55:18	36:7
52:7 64:9 67:22	49:9 76:22	62:14	system 42:10
82:5	steps 42:14,15 53:4	subtleties 62:10,11	12.00.00
started 21:10 75:6	steven 1:12	successful 29:20,21	t
starting 10:24	stipulate 23:14	97:13	t 104:1,1
47:23	_	successor 19:17	table 9:3,25 10:4
	stipulated 17:24		15:16 17:7,11 23:10
starts 46:21	18:2 23:15 26:15	suffered 32:10 40:6	27:16 29:22 39:11
state 11:14 67:16	27:1 30:12,14 78:5	sufficient 78:13	39:12 48:19 57:2,3
89:11,25 99:25	92:16,23 93:1	suggest 29:10 30:15	58:22 62:2 67:1
stated 56:9 100:20	stipulation 27:17	62:11 63:14 65:2	87:18 96:23 97:17
statement 38:17,19	29:7	76:16 93:24	tables 47:20
statements 83:11	stipulations 42:18	suggested 12:17	tailored 28:9,10
states 1:1 6:15	57:21,23 78:1,13,21	21:18 76:5 79:24	92:17
		82:2	74.11
		I	1

[take - times] Page 21

26:7 29:1 44:19				•
47:16 51:6 53:19 71:21 30:7,9 31:2 33:23 thoughtful 95:25 thoughts 44:13 76:21 47:15 73:18 76:21 47:15 73:24 58:21 30:7,9 31:2 33:23 thoughtful 95:25 thoughts 44:13 76:21 44:13 76:03 3:10 36:19 44:11 70:38:71 46:11 40:10 42:7,31 46:11 40:17 40:11,18,21,22 41:2 41:0 42:7,31,11,7 43:12,12,12 42:20,22,25 43:15 41:10,2,14 41:10 42:7,31,11,7 45:12,3,3,16,24 46:8 47:15,4 29:11 44:2,9,11 45:11,12 45:12,3,3,16,24 46:8 47:15,22,23 34:5 47:15,22,23 34:5 47:15,22,23 34:5 47:15,21,22 45:1 44:15,2,14,24 43:14,15,24 44:1 43:14,15,24,24 45:1 44:15,2,14,23 43:14,15,24,24 45:1 44:15,2,3,15,34,17,17 45:2,3,5,3,3,23 44:15,2,9,11,17 45:2,2,3,5,3,10,31:1 <td>take 10:16 12:24</td> <td>54:17 95:23</td> <td>25:3,22,23 26:9</td> <td>77:18,20 89:11 94:4</td>	take 10:16 12:24	54:17 95:23	25:3,22,23 26:9	77:18,20 89:11 94:4
54:16 59:17 61:4,5 61:15 73:18 76:21 terms 41:18 46:18 47:19 70:24 58:21 34:2 35:3,10 36:19 36:20 37:10 38:7,13 36:20 37:10 38:7,13 36:20 37:10 38:7,13 36:20 37:10 38:7,13 38:25 39-9,10 40-9 40:11,18,21,22 41:2 40:11,18,21,22 41:2 40:11,18,21,22 41:2 40:11,18,21,22 41:2 40:11,18,21,22 41:2 41:10 42:7,8,11,17 43:20 43:15 43:19 42:20,22,25 43:15 43:18 43:16 34:9 42:20,22,25 43:15 43:18 42:20,31,624 46:8 44:2,9,11 45:11,12 45:2,3,16,24 46:8 44:2,9,11 45:11,12 45:2,3,16,24 46:8 44:2,9,11 45:11,12 45:2,3,16,24 46:8 44:2,9,11 45:11,12 45:2,3,16,24 46:8 47:16,23 30:12,18 39:1,3 30:12,18 39:1,3 30:12,18 39:1,3 30:12,18 39:1,3 30:12,18 39:1,3 30:12,18 39:1,3 41:5 42:12 43:4,11 49:3 67:3 76:8 418king 11:20 12:9 28:7 36:9 49:21 66:7 66:6 68:7,2,12 66:7,9,12 66:7,9,12 66:7,9,12 66:7,9,12 40:1,17 59:25 102:4 41:10 27:19 29:11,15 38:13 55:1 59:8 72:12 40:10 12:19	26:7 29:1 44:19	term 12:17 47:3	27:22 28:12,23,24	96:1
61:15 73:18 76:21 84:7 90:1 93:3 95:13 97:16 101:4,8 taken 14:11 31:6 32:2 36:1 37:4 39:10,12 48:24 take 14:11 43:16 32:2 36:1 37:4 39:10,12 48:24 take 12:14 23:10 38:3 88:19 101:17 takes 71:24 talke 12:14 23:10 38:3 88:19 101:17 talked 24:3 35:11 49:3 67:3 76:8 talking 11:20 12:9 28:7 36:9 49:21 66:7 66:6 teed 10:2 58:23 59:8 72:12 teeing 10:15 13:14 telephonic 8:21 tell 14:10 27:19 29:11,15 38:13 55:1 58:6,13 60:22 61:1 69:19.21 71:16 82:17 100:25 temporary 16:19 50:19 99:10 temporary 16:19 50:19 90:10 temporary 16:19 50:19 90:10 temporary 16:19 50:19 90:10 temporary 16:19 50:19 10:10 10:10 10:10 10:10 10:10 10:10 10:10 10:10 10:10 10:1	47:16 51:6 53:19	71:21	30:7,9 31:2 33:23	thoughtful 95:25
84:7 90:1 93:3 95:13 97:16 101:4,8	54:16 59:17 61:4,5	terms 41:18 46:18	34:2 35:3,10 36:19	thoughts 44:13 76:1
95:13 97:16 101:4,8	-	47:1 57:24 58:21	36:20 37:10 38:7,13	three 12:23 13:4
taken 14:11 31:6 test 62:25 41:10 42:7,8,11,17 89:22 93:15 96:11 39:10,12 48:24 48:24 42:20,22,25 43:15 42:20,22,25 43:15 thress 41:10 42:7,8,11,17 79:5 42:20,22,25 43:15 thress thress 41:10 42:7,8,11,17 89:22 93:15 96:11 97:5 thress 42:20,22,25 43:15 thress thress 42:20,22,25 43:15 41:10,42:7,8,11,17 45:23,16,24 46:8 42:20,22,24 47:16,23 42:25 thress 46:24 47:16,23 46:24 47:16,23 46:24 47:16,23 46:24 47:16,23 46:24 47:16,23 46:24 47:16,23 46:24 47:16,23 48:15,22,23 49:5 30:12,18 39:1,3 30:12,18 39:1,3 48:15,22,23 49:5 48:15,22,23 49:5 48:15,22,23 49:5 48:15,22,23 49:5 48:15,22,23 49:5 48:15,22,23 49:5 48:15,22,23 49:5 48:15,22,23 49	84:7 90:1 93:3	65:23 66:11 67:9	38:25 39:9,10 40:9	16:18 46:1 54:17
32:2 36:1 37:4 39:10,12 48:24 texas 4:16 34:9 42:20,22,25 43:15 97:5 threshold 14:12,14 86:23 44:2,9,11 45:11,12 45:15 68:3 75:18,19 45:23,16,24 46:8 45:23,16,24 46:8 45:23,16,24 46:8 46:24 47:16,23 21:12,19 22:4 25:1 22:12,19 22:4 25:1 22:12,19 22:4 25:1 22:12,19 22:4 25:1 23:11,12 46:24 47:16,23 30:12,18 39:1,3 30:12,18 39:1,3 30:12,18 39:1,3 30:12,18 39:1,3 30:12,18 39:1,3 30:12,18 39:1,3 41:5 42:12 43:4,11 <t< td=""><td>95:13 97:16 101:4,8</td><td>70:22</td><td>40:11,18,21,22 41:2</td><td>63:15 73:7,12 80:1</td></t<>	95:13 97:16 101:4,8	70:22	40:11,18,21,22 41:2	63:15 73:7,12 80:1
39:10,12 48:24 86:23	taken 14:11 31:6	test 62:25	41:10 42:7,8,11,17	89:22 93:15 96:11
39:10,12 48:24 86:23	32:2 36:1 37:4	texas 4:16 34:9	42:20,22,25 43:15	97:5
takes 71:24 45:15 68:3 75:16,19 46:24 47:16,23 21:12,19 22:4 25:1 talk 12:14 23:10 38:38:19 101:17 48:15,22,23 49:5 30:12,18 39:1,3 talked 24:3 35:11 49:3 67:3 76:8 102:47,11 56:14,17,19 57:5,8 48:15,19 58:19 62:2 talking 11:20 12:9 that's 65:14 66:8 57:17,22 59:23 73:4,5 74:18 76:3 67:7 76:7,11,17 77:6 68:2,10 10 65:22 66:7,9,12 63:7 64:4,5,8 65:5 77:11 78:18 79:4 team 99:24 87:15 89:8,10 93:17 67:6 69:15 71:7 92:20,24 93:14,23 teed 10:15 13:14 theories 11:18 76:22,24 77:1,15 97:23 100:14,6,8 teelphonic 8:21 theories 11:18 76:22,24 77:1,15 97:23 100:14,6,8 tell 14:10 27:19 32:0 80:9,13 82:7 80:14,19,25 81:3 throw 11:24 telephonic 8:21 thee's 73:5 76:23 87:10,18 88:5,23 16:17,21,24 17:13 58:6,13 60:22 61:1 69:19,21 71:16 69:19,21 71:16 80:14,19,25 81:3 16:17,21,24 17:1	39:10,12 48:24	thank 9:9 21:5,6	43:18,23 44:21 45:1	threshold 14:12,14
talk 12:14 23:10 75:20 81:11,12 48:15,22,23 49:5 30:12,18 39:1,3 38:3 88:19 101:17 48:24,25 84:3 87:19 50:8 53:1,5 54:7,13 41:5 42:12 43:4,11 49:3 67:3 76:8 102:47,11 54:21 55:13,14 43:14,15 44:4 48:1 48:15,22,23 49:5 30:12,18 39:1,3 41:5 42:12 43:4,11 44:5 42:12 43:4,11 49:3 67:3 76:8 102:47,11 56:14,17,19 57:5,8 48:15,19 58:19 62 28:7 36:9 49:21 66:7,21 71:20 72:4 60:22 62:15,21 63:4 77:11 78:18 79:4 67:7 76:7,11,17 77:6 63:17 64:4,5,8 65:5 82:4,9,14,19 83:3 48:15,910 80:17 79:1,6 80:2,10 65:22 66:7,9,12 83:18 85:9,10 87:1 4eed 50:2 58:23 4eed 10:2 58:23 4eere's 73:5 76:23 78:9 80:9,13 82:7 79:1,17 80:1,6,10 99:23 100:1,4,6,8 4ell 14:10 27:19 99:20 18:11 76:22,24 77:1,15 97:23 100:1,4,6,8 58:6,13 60:22 61:1 93:20 86:13,19,24 89:20 89:11,17 80:1,6,10 4ee 2:12,4 82:17 100:25 46:91.7 13:1 94:7 100:8 92:12,14,24,93:8 18:7 19:23 16:17,21,24 17:13 <t< td=""><td>86:23</td><td>44:2,9,11 45:11,12</td><td>45:2,3,16,24 46:8</td><td>14:17,21 15:4 20:6</td></t<>	86:23	44:2,9,11 45:11,12	45:2,3,16,24 46:8	14:17,21 15:4 20:6
38:3 88:19 101:17 83:24,25 84:3 87:19 50:8 53:1,5 54:7,13 41:5 42:12 43:4,11 4alked 24:3 35:11 49:3 67:3 76:8 102:4,7,11 56:14,17,19 57:5,8 48:15,19 58:19 62 talking 11:20 12:9 that's 65:14 66:8 57:17,22 59:23 73:4,5 74:18 76:3 28:7 36:9 49:21 68:7,21 71:20 72:4 60:22 62:15,21 63:4 77:418 76:3 67:7 76:7,11,17 77:6 63:17 64:4,5,8 65:5 72:14 72:23 75:14 76:1,11 76:22,24 77:1,15 team 99:24 49:25 102:4 72:23 75:14 76:1,11 76:22,24 77:1,15 92:20,24 93:14,23 teed 10:2 58:23 40:25 102:4 72:23 75:14 76:1,11 76:22,24 77:1,15 97:23 100:1,46,8 59:8 72:12 59:8 72:12 59:8 70:12 59:8 70:12 59:11,15 38:13 55:1 78:9 80:9,13 82:7 78:9 80:9,13 82:7 78:9 80:9,13 82:7 78:9 80:9,13 82:7 78:9 11,17 80:1,6,10 80:14,19,25 81:3 16e 63:4 16e	takes 71:24	45:15 68:3 75:18,19	46:24 47:16,23	21:12,19 22:4 25:15
talked 24:3 35:11 87:20 88:15,16 54:21 55:13,14 43:14,15 44:4 48:1 49:3 67:3 76:8 talking 11:20 12:9 tak*s 65:14 66:8 57:17,22 59:23 73:45,5 74:18 76:3 28:7 36:9 49:21 68:7,21 71:20 72:4 68:7,21 71:20 72:4 60:22 62:15,21 63:4 77:11 78:18 79:4 46:77 79:1,6 80:2,10 65:22 66:7,9,12 83:18 85:9,10 87:1 team 99:24 87:15 89:8,10 93:17 67:6 69:15 71:7 92:20,24 93:14,23 teed 10:2 58:23 theories 11:18 76:22,24 77:1,15 97:23 100:1,46,8 59:8 72:12 teeing 10:15 13:14 telephonic 8:21 78:9 80:9,13 82:7 79:11,17 80:1,6,10 throw 12:24 ties 23:18 throw 12:24 ties 23:18 throw 12:24 ties 23:18 ties 23:18 ties 23:18 tilling 62:17 time 63:14 43:14,15 44:4 48:1 43:14,15 44:4 48:1 43:14,15 44:4 48:1 43:14,15 44:4 48:1 43:15,19 58:19 62 43:4,15 49:4 48:1 77:11 87:18 76:1 76:71,11,17 77:6	talk 12:14 23:10	75:20 81:11,12	48:15,22,23 49:5	30:12,18 39:1,3
49:3 67:3 76:8 102:4,7,11 56:14,17,19 57:5,8 48:15,19 58:19 62 talking 11:20 12:9 that's 65:14 66:8 57:17,22 59:23 73:4,5 74:18 76:3 28:7 36:9 49:21 68:7,21 71:20 72:4 60:22 62:15,21 63:4 77:11 78:18 79:4 67:7 76:7,11,17 77:6 63:17 64:4,5,8 65:5 82:4,9,14,19 83:3 tangentially 27:15 79:1,6 80:2,10 65:22 66:7,9,12 83:18 85:9,10 87:1 tee 65:7 66:6 99:25 102:4 67:6 69:15 71:7 92:20,24 93:14,23 teed 10:2 58:23 theories 11:18 76:22,24 77:1,15 97:23 100:1,4,68 59:8 72:12 theory 27:1 92:25 79:11,17 80:1,6,10 tie 63:4 tie 63:4 tell 14:10 27:19 78:9 80:9,13 82:7 79:11,17 80:1,6,10 tie 63:4 tie 63:4 29:11,15 38:13 55:1 86:13,19,24 89:20 89:20 9:15 92:10 89:20 9:15 92:10 16:17,21,24 17:13 82:17 100:25 they're 72:17 91:13 94:7 100:8 94:8,11,13,16,25 16:17,21,24 17:13 101:23 94:7 100:8 16:24 22:10,13 25:8 45:16 48	38:3 88:19 101:17	83:24,25 84:3 87:19	50:8 53:1,5 54:7,13	41:5 42:12 43:4,11
talking 11:20 that's 65:14 66:8 57:17,22 59:23 73:4,5 74:18 76:3 67:7 67:7 76:7,11,17 77:6 60:22 62:15,21 63:4 77:11 78:18 79:4 team 99:24 87:15 89:8,10 93:17 65:22 66:75,9,12 83:18 88:9,10 87:15 89:8,10 93:17 72:23 75:14 76:17,11 77:10 87:19 87:19 87:19 87:19 87:19 87:19 83:18 85:9,10 87:19 86:22 66:22 66:15 71:7 92:20,24 93:14 83:18 85:9,10 87:19 92:20,24 93:24 93:24 94:70:24 77:11 78:18 79:17 72:23 75:14 76:1,1,17 97:23 100:1,4,6,8 99:21 100:23 84:11 85:8,14,89,9,25 89:21 84:11 85:8,14 86:1 86:13,19,24 89:20 89:11,17 80:14,19,25 81:1 81:1 86:13,48,89,9,25 79:11,17 80:14,19,25	talked 24:3 35:11	87:20 88:15,16	54:21 55:13,14	43:14,15 44:4 48:10
talking 11:20 12:9 that's 65:14 66:8 57:17,22 59:23 73:4,5 74:18 76:3 28:7 36:9 49:21 68:7,21 71:20 72:4 76:7,11,17 77:6 60:22 62:15,21 63:4 77:11 78:18 79:4 tangentially 27:15 79:1,6 80:2,10 65:22 66:7,9,12 82:4,9,14,19 83:3 teem 99:24 87:15 89:8,10 93:17 67:6 69:15 71:7 92:20,24 93:14,23 teed 10:2 58:23 theories 11:18 76:22,24 77:1,15 93:24 96:4 97:8,17 teelphonic 8:21 there's 73:5 76:23 80:14,19,25 81:3 97:23 100:1,46,8 tell 14:10 27:19 29:11,15 38:13 55:1 86:13,19,24 89:20 80:14,19,25 81:3 throw 21:24 telephonic 8:21 93:20 80:14,19,25 81:3 81:18 85:8,14 86:1 81:18 62:17 29:11,15 38:13 55:1 46:13,19,24 89:20 89:20 91:15 92:10 89:20 91:15 92:10 16:17,21,24 17:13 82:17 100:25 40:27 100:8 40:27 100:8 40:27 100:8 40:27 100:8 40:27 100:8 40:27 100:8 10:16,21 35:13 37:25 38:4,2 50:19 99:10 <t< td=""><td>49:3 67:3 76:8</td><td>· ·</td><td>56:14,17,19 57:5,8</td><td>48:15,19 58:19 62:3</td></t<>	49:3 67:3 76:8	· ·	56:14,17,19 57:5,8	48:15,19 58:19 62:3
67:7 76:7,11,17 77:6 63:17 64:4,5,8 65:5 82:4,9,14,19 83:3 tangentially 27:15 79:1,6 80:2,10 65:22 66:7,9,12 83:18 85:9,10 87:1 team 99:24 87:15 89:8,10 93:17 67:6 69:15 71:7 92:20,24 93:14,23 teed 10:2 58:23 theories 11:18 76:22,24 77:1,15 97:23 100:1,46,8 59:8 72:12 24:25 78:3,4,8,9,9,25 throw 21:24 theory 27:1 92:25 79:11,17 80:1,6,10 theory 21:24 theory 21:11,17 80:1,6,10 40:11,17 80:1,6,10 40:11,17 80:1,6,10 40:11,17 80:1,6,10 40:11,17 80:1,6,10 40:11,17 80:1,6,10<	talking 11:20 12:9	that's 65:14 66:8	57:17,22 59:23	73:4,5 74:18 76:3
tangentially 27:15 79:1,6 80:2,10 65:22 66:7,9,12 83:18 85:9,10 87:1 team 99:24 87:15 89:8,10 93:17 67:6 69:15 71:7 92:20,24 93:14,23 tee 65:7 66:6 99:25 102:4 72:23 75:14 76:1,11 93:24 96:4 97:8,17 teed 10:2 58:23 theories 11:18 76:22,24 77:1,15 97:23 100:1,4,6,8 59:8 72:12 24:25 78:3,4,8,9,9,25 throw 21:24 telel 14:10 27:19 86:13,19,24 89:20 80:14,19,25 81:3 tice 3:4 29:11,15 38:13 55:1 86:13,19,24 89:20 87:10,18 88:5,23 tilting 62:17 58:6,13 60:22 61:1 69:19,21 71:16 they'll 75:16 92:21,14,24 93:8 tilting 62:17 82:17 100:25 they're 72:17 91:13 94:8,11,13,16,25 100:20,24 101:10 30:2,10,17 33:10 10:23 theny're 72:17 91:13 94:8,11,13,16,25 10:16,21 35:13 37:25 38:4,3 45:2,3,5,8 101:1 59:3 69:7 71:25 43:6 76:2 79:2 45:16 48:7 50:18 45:16 48:7 50:18 19:13 20:4,21 24:7 25:1 26:15 28:25<	28:7 36:9 49:21	68:7,21 71:20 72:4	60:22 62:15,21 63:4	77:11 78:18 79:4
team 99:24 87:15 89:8,10 93:17 67:6 69:15 71:7 92:20,24 93:14,23 tee 65:7 66:6 99:25 102:4 72:23 75:14 76:1,11 93:24 96:4 97:8,17 teed 10:25 58:23 theories 11:18 76:22,24 77:1,15 97:23 100:1,4,6,8 59:8 72:12 theory 27:1 92:25 78:3,4,8,9,9,25 throw 21:24 teeln 14:10 27:19 78:9 80:9,13 82:7 80:14,19,25 81:3 tie 63:4 tell 14:10 27:19 86:13,19,24 89:20 87:10,18 88:5,23 tilting 62:17 58:6,13 60:22 61:1 93:20 89:20 91:15 92:10 69:19,21 71:16 40:17,21,24 17:13 82:17 100:25 101:23 94:7 100:8 94:8,11,31,16,25 16:17,21,24 17:13 80:19 99:10 31:21 37:13 49:11 94:8,11,13,16,25 100:20,24 101:10 30:2,10,17 33:10 80:19 99:10 31:21 37:13 49:11 45:2,3,5,8 101:1 59:3 69:7 71:25 43:6 76:27 9:2 45:16 48:7 50:18 80:19 99:10 31:21 37:13 49:11 45:2,3,5,8 101:1 19:3 20:46,23 25:6 44:20 64:6 45:16 48:7 50:18	67:7	76:7,11,17 77:6	63:17 64:4,5,8 65:5	82:4,9,14,19 83:3
tee 65:7 66:6 99:25 102:4 72:23 75:14 76:1,11 93:24 96:4 97:8,17 teed 10:2 58:23 theories 11:18 76:22,24 77:1,15 97:23 100:1,4,6,8 59:8 72:12 theory 27:1 92:25 78:3,4,8,9,9,25 throw 21:24 teeln 14:10 27:19 78:9 80:9,13 82:7 80:14,19,25 81:3 tie 63:4 tie 63:4 tell 14:10 27:19 86:13,19,24 89:20 89:20 91:15 92:10 80:14,19,25 81:3 tie 63:4 58:6,13 60:22 61:1 93:20 89:20 91:15 92:10 89:20 91:15 92:10 16:17,21,24 17:13 82:17 100:25 they're 72:17 91:13 94:8,11,13,16,25 16:17,21,24 17:13 18:7 19:23 20:22 80:19,921 0th 10:123 94:7 100:8 100:20,24 101:10 30:2,10,17 33:10 18:7 19:23 20:22 10:19 99:10 10:12 37:13 49:11 59:3 69:7 71:25 10:16,21 38:24 44:14,24 38:24 44:14,24 45:2,3,5,8 101:1 95:4,5 101:17 45:2,3,5,8 101:1 19:3 24:6,23 25:6 43:6 76:2 79:2 51:6,7 54:9,22 19:13 20:4,21 24:7 25:11 26:1,1 27:13 25:12 6:1	tangentially 27:15	79:1,6 80:2,10	65:22 66:7,9,12	83:18 85:9,10 87:17
teed 10:2 58:23 theories 11:18 76:22,24 77:1,15 97:23 100:1,4,6,8 59:8 72:12 teeing 10:15 13:14 theory 27:1 92:25 79:11,17 80:1,6,10 throw 21:24 tell 14:10 27:19 78:9 80:9,13 82:7 80:14,19,25 81:3 ties 23:18 29:11,15 38:13 55:1 86:13,19,24 89:20 87:10,18 88:5,23 tilting 62:17 58:6,13 60:22 61:1 93:20 89:20 91:15 92:10 16:17,21,24 17:13 69:19,21 71:16 they'll 75:16 92:12,14,24 93:8 18:7 19:23 20:22 82:17 100:25 they're 72:17 91:13 94:7 100:8 100:20,24 101:10 30:2,10,17 33:10 temporary 16:19 31:21 37:13 49:11 thinking 15:20 38:24 44:14,24 45:2,3,5,8 101:1 95:4,5 101:17 43:6 76:2 79:2 45:16 48:7 50:18 45:9 13 20:4,21 24:7 25:11 26:1,1 27:13 44:20 64:6 51:6,7 54:9,22 19:13 20:4,21 24:7 25:11 26:1,1 27:13 44:20 64:6 51:6,7 54:9,22 19:13 20:4,21 24:7 25:12 63:11 65:8 44:20 64:6 65:20 66:6 72:1	team 99:24	87:15 89:8,10 93:17	67:6 69:15 71:7	92:20,24 93:14,23
59:8 72:12 24:25 78:3,4,8,9,9,25 throw 21:24 teeling 10:15 13:14 theory 27:1 92:25 79:11,17 80:1,6,10 tie 63:4 tell 14:10 27:19 78:9 80:9,13 82:7 80:14,19,25 81:3 ties 23:18 29:11,15 38:13 55:1 86:13,19,24 89:20 87:10,18 88:5,23 tilting 62:17 58:6,13 60:22 61:1 93:20 89:20 91:15 92:10 16:17,21,24 17:13 69:19,21 71:16 they'll 75:16 92:12,14,24 93:8 18:7 19:23 20:22 82:17 100:25 they're 72:17 91:13 94:7 100:8 100:20,24 101:10 30:2,10,17 33:10 temporary 16:19 thing 21:16 25:6 101:16,21 35:13 37:25 38:4,2 50:19 99:10 31:21 37:13 49:11 thinking 15:20 38:24 44:14,24 45:2,3,5,8 101:1 95:4,5 101:17 43:6 76:2 79:2 51:6,7 54:9,22 tentative 16:4 17:2 19:13 20:4,21 24:7 25:11 26:1,1 27:13 44:20 64:6 65:20 66:6 72:1 19:13 20:4,21 24:7 25:12 63:11 65:8 71:18 76:6 87:2 thomas 7:2 77:5 78:3 79:11,18 46:9 49:3 54:23 71:18 76:6 87:2 41:7,12,14,16,24 99:11 101:3,23	tee 65:7 66:6	99:25 102:4	72:23 75:14 76:1,11	93:24 96:4 97:8,17
teeing 10:15 13:14 theory 27:1 92:25 79:11,17 80:1,6,10 tie 63:4 tell 14:10 27:19 78:9 80:9,13 82:7 80:14,19,25 81:3 ties 23:18 29:11,15 38:13 55:1 86:13,19,24 89:20 89:20 91:15 92:10 tilting 62:17 58:6,13 60:22 61:1 93:20 89:20 91:15 92:10 time 13:21 15:5 69:19,21 71:16 they'll 75:16 92:12,14,24 93:8 18:7 19:23 20:22 82:17 100:25 they're 72:17 91:13 94:8,11,13,16,25 22:18,21 28:23 29 101:23 94:7 100:8 100:20,24 101:10 30:2,10,17 33:10 temporary 16:19 31:21 37:13 49:11 thinking 15:20 35:13 37:25 38:4,2 45:2,3,5,8 101:1 95:4,5 101:17 43:6 76:2 79:2 43:6 76:2 79:2 45:16 48:7 50:18 19:13 20:4,21 24:7 25:11 26:1,1 27:13 46:9 49:3 54:23 29:1,24 39:14 50:24 44:20 64:6 42:0 64:6 42:0 64:6 43:14 85:25 86:3 46:9 49:3 54:23 71:18 76:6 87:2 40:mh 9:21 10:6	teed 10:2 58:23	theories 11:18	76:22,24 77:1,15	97:23 100:1,4,6,8
telephonic 8:21 there's 73:5 76:23 80:14,19,25 81:3 ties 23:18 tell 14:10 27:19 78:9 80:9,13 82:7 84:11 85:8,14 86:1 tilting 62:17 29:11,15 38:13 55:1 86:13,19,24 89:20 87:10,18 88:5,23 time 13:21 15:5 58:6,13 60:22 61:1 93:20 89:20 91:15 92:10 16:17,21,24 17:13 69:19,21 71:16 they'll 75:16 92:12,14,24 93:8 18:7 19:23 20:22 82:17 100:25 they're 72:17 91:13 94:8,11,13,16,25 22:18,21 28:23 29 101:23 94:7 100:8 100:20,24 101:10 30:2,10,17 33:10 temporary 16:19 31:21 37:13 49:11 thinking 15:20 50:19 99:10 31:21 37:13 49:11 thinking 15:20 45:2,3,5,8 101:1 95:4,5 101:17 43:6 76:2 79:2 51:6,7 54:9,22 tentative 16:4 17:2 things 11:9 18:1 43:6 76:2 79:2 51:6,7 54:9,22 19:13 20:4,21 24:7 25:1 26:1,1 27:13 44:20 64:6 65:20 66:6 72:1 19:13 20:4,21 24:7 53:2 63:11 65:8	59:8 72:12	24:25	78:3,4,8,9,9,25	throw 21:24
tell 14:10 27:19 78:9 80:9,13 82:7 84:11 85:8,14 86:1 tillting 62:17 29:11,15 38:13 55:1 86:13,19,24 89:20 87:10,18 88:5,23 time 13:21 15:5 58:6,13 60:22 61:1 93:20 89:20 91:15 92:10 16:17,21,24 17:13 69:19,21 71:16 they'll 75:16 92:12,14,24 93:8 18:7 19:23 20:22 82:17 100:25 they're 72:17 91:13 94:8,11,13,16,25 22:18,21 28:23 29 101:23 94:7 100:8 100:20,24 101:10 30:2,10,17 33:10 temporary 16:19 31:21 37:13 49:11 101:16,21 35:13 37:25 38:4,2 50:19 99:10 31:21 37:13 49:11 59:3 69:7 71:25 16:24 22:10,13 25:8 45:16 48:7 50:18 45:2,3,5,8 101:1 95:4,5 101:17 43:6 76:2 79:2 51:6,7 54:9,22 tentative 16:4 17:2 19:3 24:6,23 25:6 44:20 64:6 65:20 66:6 72:1 19:13 20:4,21 24:7 25:11 26:1,1 27:13 25:1 26:15 28:25 29:1,24 39:14 50:24 53:2 63:11 65:8 77:5 78:3 79:11,18 46:9 49:3 54:23 71:18 76:6 87:2 10:0 40:2 64:6 81:14 85:25 86:	teeing 10:15 13:14	theory 27:1 92:25	79:11,17 80:1,6,10	tie 63:4
29:11,15 38:13 55:1 58:6,13 60:22 61:1 69:19,21 71:16 82:17 100:25 101:23 temporary 16:19 50:19 99:10 45:2,3,5,8 101:1 45:2,3,5,8 101:1 17:20 18:17 19:11 19:3 24:6,23 25:6 19:13 20:4,21 24:7 25:1 26:15 28:25 30:16 31:1 42:17 46:9 49:3 54:23 tentatively 34:1 tentatives 10:18 12:18,23 16:5,22 17:5,25 18:15 20:14 87:10,18 88:5,23 89:20 91:15 92:10 16:17,21,24 17:13 18:7 19:23 20:22 18:17 19:23 20:22 22:18,21 19:23 20:22 18:17 19:23 20:22 18:17 19:23 20:22 22:18,21 18:7 19:23 20:22 18:17 19:23 20:22 18:17 19:23 20:22 18:17 19:13 18:7 19:23 20:22 18:17 19:23 20:22 18:17 19:13 18:7 19:23 20:22 18:17 19:23 20:22 18:17 19:23 20:22 18:17 19:23 20:22 18:17 19:23 20:22 18:17 19:23 20:22 18:17 19:23 20:22 100:20,24 101:10 101:16,21 101:16,21 35:13 37:25 38:4,2 45:16 48:7 50:18 43:6 76:2 79:2 16:24 22:10,13 25:8 45:16 48:7 50:18 43:6 76:2 79:2 16:24 22:10,13 25:8 45:16 48:7 50:18 46:24 22:10,13 25:8 45:16 48:7 50:18 46:24 22:10,13 25:8 45:16 48:7 50:18 46:24 22:10,13 25:8 45:16 48:7 50:18 46:20,22 63:20 44:20 64:6 65:20 66:6 72:1 46:9 49:3 54:23 17:5 78:3 79:11,18 46:9 49:3 54:23 17:18 76:6 87:2 40:00,18 89:20 91:15 92:10 10:17,21,24 17:13 18:7 19:23 20:22 100:20,24 101:10 101:16,21 101:16	telephonic 8:21	there's 73:5 76:23	80:14,19,25 81:3	ties 23:18
58:6,13 60:22 61:1 93:20 89:20 91:15 92:10 16:17,21,24 17:13 69:19,21 71:16 they'll 75:16 92:12,14,24 93:8 18:7 19:23 20:22 82:17 100:25 they're 72:17 91:13 94:8,11,13,16,25 22:18,21 28:23 29 101:23 94:7 100:8 100:20,24 101:10 30:2,10,17 33:10 temporary 16:19 thing 21:16 25:6 101:16,21 35:13 37:25 38:4,2 50:19 99:10 31:21 37:13 49:11 thinking 15:20 38:24 44:14,24 ten 9:17 33:7 44:17 59:3 69:7 71:25 16:24 22:10,13 25:8 45:16 48:7 50:18 45:2,3,5,8 101:1 95:4,5 101:17 43:6 76:2 79:2 51:6,7 54:9,22 tentative 16:4 17:2 things 11:9 18:1 19:3 24:6,23 25:6 44:20 64:6 65:20 66:6 72:1 19:13 20:4,21 24:7 25:11 26:1,1 27:13 third 8:9 83:15 92:9 73:18 75:3 76:21 25:1 26:15 28:25 29:1,24 39:14 50:24 thorny 74:16 81:14 85:25 86:3 46:9 49:3 54:23 71:18 76:6 87:2 thought 19:6 22:6,8 88:22 92:7 95:11,2 tentatively 34:1 think 9:21 10:6 24:9,10 30:1 39:2 96:2 97:18 98:21,2 tentative	tell 14:10 27:19	78:9 80:9,13 82:7	84:11 85:8,14 86:1	tilting 62:17
69:19,21 71:16 they'll 75:16 92:12,14,24 93:8 18:7 19:23 20:22 82:17 100:25 they're 72:17 91:13 94:8,11,13,16,25 22:18,21 28:23 29 101:23 94:7 100:8 100:20,24 101:10 30:2,10,17 33:10 temporary 16:19 thing 21:16 25:6 101:16,21 35:13 37:25 38:4,2 50:19 99:10 31:21 37:13 49:11 thinking 15:20 38:24 44:14,24 ten 9:17 33:7 44:17 59:3 69:7 71:25 16:24 22:10,13 25:8 45:16 48:7 50:18 45:2,3,5,8 101:1 95:4,5 101:17 43:6 76:2 79:2 51:6,7 54:9,22 tentative 16:4 17:2 things 11:9 18:1 19:3 24:6,23 25:6 44:20 64:6 65:20 66:6 72:1 19:13 20:4,21 24:7 25:11 26:1,1 27:13 29:1,24 39:14 50:24 44:20 64:6 65:20 66:6 72:1 30:16 31:1 42:17 53:2 63:11 65:8 53:2 63:11 65:8 53:2 63:11 65:8 53:2 63:11 65:8 53:2 63:11 65:8 53:2 63:11 65:8 53:2 63:11 65:8 53:2 63:11 65:8 53:2 63:11 65:8 53:2 63:11 65:8 53:2 63:11 65:8 53:2 63:11 65:8 53:2 63:11 65:8 53:2 63:11 65:8 53:2 63:11 65:8 53:2 63:11 65:8 53:2 63:11 65:8 53:2	29:11,15 38:13 55:1	86:13,19,24 89:20	87:10,18 88:5,23	time 13:21 15:5
82:17 100:25 they're 72:17 91:13 94:8,11,13,16,25 22:18,21 28:23 29 101:23 94:7 100:8 100:20,24 101:10 30:2,10,17 33:10 temporary 16:19 thing 21:16 25:6 101:16,21 35:13 37:25 38:4,2 50:19 99:10 31:21 37:13 49:11 thinking 15:20 38:24 44:14,24 tem 9:17 33:7 44:17 59:3 69:7 71:25 16:24 22:10,13 25:8 45:16 48:7 50:18 45:2,3,5,8 101:1 95:4,5 101:17 43:6 76:2 79:2 51:6,7 54:9,22 tentative 16:4 17:2 things 11:9 18:1 thinks 13:4,6 42:3 61:20,22 63:20 19:13 20:4,21 24:7 25:11 26:1,1 27:13 44:20 64:6 65:20 66:6 72:1 25:1 26:15 28:25 29:1,24 39:14 50:24 thomas 7:2 77:5 78:3 79:11,18 30:16 31:1 42:17 53:2 63:11 65:8 thought 19:6 22:6,8 88:22 92:7 95:11,2 46:9 49:3 54:23 71:18 76:6 87:2 thought 19:6 22:6,8 88:22 92:7 95:11,2 tentatively 34:1 12:18,23 16:5,22 41:7,12,14,16,24 99:11 101:3,23 14:4,6 21:8 23:18 17:5,25 18:15 20:14 42:4 43:13,18,19 timely	58:6,13 60:22 61:1	93:20	89:20 91:15 92:10	16:17,21,24 17:13
101:23 94:7 100:8 100:20,24 101:10 30:2,10,17 33:10 temporary 16:19 thing 21:16 25:6 101:16,21 35:13 37:25 38:4,2 50:19 99:10 31:21 37:13 49:11 thinking 15:20 38:24 44:14,24 ten 9:17 33:7 44:17 59:3 69:7 71:25 16:24 22:10,13 25:8 45:16 48:7 50:18 45:2,3,5,8 101:1 95:4,5 101:17 43:6 76:2 79:2 51:6,7 54:9,22 tentative 16:4 17:2 things 11:9 18:1 thinks 13:4,6 42:3 61:20,22 63:20 17:20 18:17 19:11 19:3 24:6,23 25:6 44:20 64:6 65:20 66:6 72:1 19:13 20:4,21 24:7 25:11 26:1,1 27:13 third 8:9 83:15 92:9 73:18 75:3 76:21 25:1 26:15 28:25 29:1,24 39:14 50:24 thomas 7:2 77:5 78:3 79:11,18 30:16 31:1 42:17 53:2 63:11 65:8 thorny 74:16 81:14 85:25 86:3 46:9 49:3 54:23 71:18 76:6 87:2 thought 19:6 22:6,8 88:22 92:7 95:11,2 tentatively 34:1 think 9:21 10:6 24:9,10 30:1 39:2 96:2 97:18 98:21,2 tentatives 10:18 12:18,23 16:5,22 41:7,12,14,16,24 99:11 101:3,23 14:4,6 21:8 23:18 17	69:19,21 71:16	they'll 75:16	92:12,14,24 93:8	18:7 19:23 20:22
temporary 16:19 thing 21:16 25:6 101:16,21 35:13 37:25 38:4,2 50:19 99:10 31:21 37:13 49:11 thinking 15:20 38:24 44:14,24 ten 9:17 33:7 44:17 59:3 69:7 71:25 16:24 22:10,13 25:8 45:16 48:7 50:18 45:2,3,5,8 101:1 95:4,5 101:17 43:6 76:2 79:2 51:6,7 54:9,22 tentative 16:4 17:2 things 11:9 18:1 thinks 13:4,6 42:3 61:20,22 63:20 19:13 20:4,21 24:7 25:11 26:1,1 27:13 third 8:9 83:15 92:9 73:18 75:3 76:21 25:1 26:15 28:25 29:1,24 39:14 50:24 thomas 7:2 77:5 78:3 79:11,18 30:16 31:1 42:17 53:2 63:11 65:8 thorny 74:16 81:14 85:25 86:3 46:9 49:3 54:23 71:18 76:6 87:2 thought 19:6 22:6,8 88:22 92:7 95:11,2 tentatively 34:1 think 9:21 10:6 24:9,10 30:1 39:2 96:2 97:18 98:21,2 tentatives 10:18 12:18,23 16:5,22 41:7,12,14,16,24 99:11 101:3,23 14:4,6 21:8 23:18 17:5,25 18:15 20:14 42:4 43:13,18,19	82:17 100:25	they're 72:17 91:13		22:18,21 28:23 29:9
50:19 99:10 31:21 37:13 49:11 thinking 15:20 38:24 44:14,24 ten 9:17 33:7 44:17 59:3 69:7 71:25 45:2,3,5,8 101:1 95:4,5 101:17 43:6 76:2 79:2 51:6,7 54:9,22 tentative 16:4 17:2 things 11:9 18:1 19:3 24:6,23 25:6 44:20 64:6 65:20 66:6 72:1 19:13 20:4,21 24:7 25:11 26:1,1 27:13 third 8:9 83:15 92:9 73:18 75:3 76:21 25:1 26:15 28:25 29:1,24 39:14 50:24 thomas 7:2 77:5 78:3 79:11,18 30:16 31:1 42:17 53:2 63:11 65:8 thorny 74:16 81:14 85:25 86:3 46:9 49:3 54:23 71:18 76:6 87:2 thought 19:6 22:6,8 88:22 92:7 95:11,2 tentatively 34:1 think 9:21 10:6 24:9,10 30:1 39:2 96:2 97:18 98:21,2 tentatives 10:18 12:18,23 16:5,22 41:7,12,14,16,24 99:11 101:3,23 14:4,6 21:8 23:18 17:5,25 18:15 20:14 42:4 43:13,18,19 timely 87:1	101:23	94:7 100:8	100:20,24 101:10	30:2,10,17 33:10
ten 9:17 33:7 44:17 59:3 69:7 71:25 16:24 22:10,13 25:8 45:16 48:7 50:18 45:2,3,5,8 101:1 95:4,5 101:17 43:6 76:2 79:2 51:6,7 54:9,22 tentative 16:4 17:2 things 11:9 18:1 61:20,22 63:20 17:20 18:17 19:11 19:3 24:6,23 25:6 44:20 64:6 65:20 66:6 72:1 19:13 20:4,21 24:7 25:11 26:1,1 27:13 third 8:9 83:15 92:9 73:18 75:3 76:21 25:1 26:15 28:25 29:1,24 39:14 50:24 thomas 7:2 77:5 78:3 79:11,18 30:16 31:1 42:17 53:2 63:11 65:8 thorny 74:16 81:14 85:25 86:3 46:9 49:3 54:23 71:18 76:6 87:2 thought 19:6 22:6,8 88:22 92:7 95:11,2 tentatively 34:1 think 9:21 10:6 24:9,10 30:1 39:2 96:2 97:18 98:21,2 tentatives 10:18 12:18,23 16:5,22 41:7,12,14,16,24 99:11 101:3,23 14:4,6 21:8 23:18 17:5,25 18:15 20:14 42:4 43:13,18,19 timely 87:1	temporary 16:19	thing 21:16 25:6	101:16,21	35:13 37:25 38:4,21
45:2,3,5,8 101:1 95:4,5 101:17 43:6 76:2 79:2 51:6,7 54:9,22 tentative 16:4 17:2 things 11:9 18:1 43:6 76:2 79:2 61:20,22 63:20 17:20 18:17 19:11 19:3 24:6,23 25:6 44:20 64:6 65:20 66:6 72:1 19:13 20:4,21 24:7 25:11 26:1,1 27:13 third 8:9 83:15 92:9 73:18 75:3 76:21 25:1 26:15 28:25 29:1,24 39:14 50:24 thomas 7:2 77:5 78:3 79:11,18 30:16 31:1 42:17 53:2 63:11 65:8 thorny 74:16 81:14 85:25 86:3 46:9 49:3 54:23 71:18 76:6 87:2 thought 19:6 22:6,8 88:22 92:7 95:11,2 tentatively 34:1 think 9:21 10:6 24:9,10 30:1 39:2 96:2 97:18 98:21,2 tentatives 10:18 12:18,23 16:5,22 41:7,12,14,16,24 99:11 101:3,23 14:4,6 21:8 23:18 17:5,25 18:15 20:14 42:4 43:13,18,19 timely 87:1	50:19 99:10	31:21 37:13 49:11	thinking 15:20	1
tentative 16:4 17:2 things 11:9 18:1 thinks 13:4,6 42:3 61:20,22 63:20 17:20 18:17 19:11 19:3 24:6,23 25:6 44:20 64:6 65:20 66:6 72:1 19:13 20:4,21 24:7 25:11 26:1,1 27:13 third 8:9 83:15 92:9 73:18 75:3 76:21 25:1 26:15 28:25 29:1,24 39:14 50:24 thomas 7:2 77:5 78:3 79:11,18 30:16 31:1 42:17 53:2 63:11 65:8 thorny 74:16 81:14 85:25 86:3 46:9 49:3 54:23 71:18 76:6 87:2 thought 19:6 22:6,8 88:22 92:7 95:11,2 tentatively 34:1 think 9:21 10:6 24:9,10 30:1 39:2 96:2 97:18 98:21,2 tentatives 10:18 12:18,23 16:5,22 41:7,12,14,16,24 99:11 101:3,23 14:4,6 21:8 23:18 17:5,25 18:15 20:14 42:4 43:13,18,19 timely 87:1	ten 9:17 33:7 44:17	59:3 69:7 71:25	16:24 22:10,13 25:8	45:16 48:7 50:18
17:20 18:17 19:11 19:3 24:6,23 25:6 44:20 64:6 65:20 66:6 72:1 19:13 20:4,21 24:7 25:11 26:1,1 27:13 third 8:9 83:15 92:9 73:18 75:3 76:21 25:1 26:15 28:25 29:1,24 39:14 50:24 thomas 7:2 77:5 78:3 79:11,18 30:16 31:1 42:17 53:2 63:11 65:8 thorny 74:16 81:14 85:25 86:3 46:9 49:3 54:23 71:18 76:6 87:2 thought 19:6 22:6,8 88:22 92:7 95:11,2 tentatively 34:1 think 9:21 10:6 24:9,10 30:1 39:2 96:2 97:18 98:21,2 tentatives 10:18 12:18,23 16:5,22 41:7,12,14,16,24 99:11 101:3,23 14:4,6 21:8 23:18 17:5,25 18:15 20:14 42:4 43:13,18,19 timely 87:1	45:2,3,5,8 101:1	95:4,5 101:17	43:6 76:2 79:2	
19:13 20:4,21 24:7 25:11 26:1,1 27:13 third 8:9 83:15 92:9 73:18 75:3 76:21 25:1 26:15 28:25 29:1,24 39:14 50:24 thomas 7:2 77:5 78:3 79:11,18 30:16 31:1 42:17 53:2 63:11 65:8 thorny 74:16 81:14 85:25 86:3 46:9 49:3 54:23 71:18 76:6 87:2 thought 19:6 22:6,8 88:22 92:7 95:11,2 tentatively 34:1 think 9:21 10:6 24:9,10 30:1 39:2 96:2 97:18 98:21,2 tentatives 10:18 12:18,23 16:5,22 41:7,12,14,16,24 99:11 101:3,23 14:4,6 21:8 23:18 17:5,25 18:15 20:14 42:4 43:13,18,19 timely 87:1	tentative 16:4 17:2	things 11:9 18:1	,	·
25:1 26:15 28:25 29:1,24 39:14 50:24 thomas 7:2 77:5 78:3 79:11,18 30:16 31:1 42:17 53:2 63:11 65:8 thorny 74:16 81:14 85:25 86:3 46:9 49:3 54:23 71:18 76:6 87:2 thought 19:6 22:6,8 88:22 92:7 95:11,2 tentatively 34:1 think 9:21 10:6 24:9,10 30:1 39:2 96:2 97:18 98:21,2 tentatives 10:18 12:18,23 16:5,22 41:7,12,14,16,24 99:11 101:3,23 14:4,6 21:8 23:18 17:5,25 18:15 20:14 42:4 43:13,18,19 timely 87:1	17:20 18:17 19:11	19:3 24:6,23 25:6		
30:16 31:1 42:17 53:2 63:11 65:8 thorny 74:16 81:14 85:25 86:3 46:9 49:3 54:23 71:18 76:6 87:2 thought 19:6 22:6,8 88:22 92:7 95:11,2 tentatively 34:1 think 9:21 10:6 24:9,10 30:1 39:2 96:2 97:18 98:21,2 tentatives 10:18 12:18,23 16:5,22 41:7,12,14,16,24 99:11 101:3,23 14:4,6 21:8 23:18 17:5,25 18:15 20:14 42:4 43:13,18,19 timely 87:1	19:13 20:4,21 24:7	25:11 26:1,1 27:13		
46:9 49:3 54:23 71:18 76:6 87:2 thought 19:6 22:6,8 88:22 92:7 95:11,2 tentatively 34:1 think 9:21 10:6 24:9,10 30:1 39:2 96:2 97:18 98:21,2 tentatives 10:18 12:18,23 16:5,22 41:7,12,14,16,24 99:11 101:3,23 14:4,6 21:8 23:18 17:5,25 18:15 20:14 42:4 43:13,18,19 timely 87:1	25:1 26:15 28:25	29:1,24 39:14 50:24		77:5 78:3 79:11,18
tentatively 34:1 think 9:21 10:6 24:9,10 30:1 39:2 96:2 97:18 98:21,2 tentatives 10:18 12:18,23 16:5,22 41:7,12,14,16,24 99:11 101:3,23 14:4,6 21:8 23:18 17:5,25 18:15 20:14 42:4 43:13,18,19 timely 87:1		1	•	į
tentatives 10:18 12:18,23 16:5,22 41:7,12,14,16,24 99:11 101:3,23 14:4,6 21:8 23:18 17:5,25 18:15 20:14 42:4 43:13,18,19 timely 87:1			, .	88:22 92:7 95:11,25
14:4,6 21:8 23:18	<u> </u>			96:2 97:18 98:21,22
		1	i	_
25.7 42.12 20 44.14 21.18 22.11.20 44.4 18 53.13 21 fimes 4.17 5.3 21.2	1	· ·	• • •	_
	25:7 42:12,20 44:14	21:18 22:11,20	44:4,18 53:13,21	times 4:17 5:3 21:21
46:6 47:22 52:9 23:18,24 24:4,5 56:9,21 57:1 66:9 28:4 84:18,19 86:2	46:6 47:22 52:9	23:18,24 24:4,5	56:9,21 57:1 66:9	28:4 84:18,19 86:24

[timing - views] Page 22

timing 42:19 47:1	84:5,10,13 85:13,18	undergo 61:3	urge 26:17 27:1
47:18 49:1 53:2	85:19,21 86:5,20,21	underlying 61:25	81:4
today 9:22 10:5,6	87:5,14 93:5 96:7	69:9,22 99:3	urging 31:12
19:25 23:23 45:20	98:3,4,4,7,8,11,14	underscore 12:16	use 12:16 44:23
48:22 60:22 62:4	98:15,20	understand 11:20	45:2 60:23 62:24
75:12 77:25 78:9,11	trustee 6:16	12:2 21:17 22:1	69:18
78:17 80:7 82:6	try 18:8 23:14,25	23:5,12 32:6 39:4	usefully 45:1
88:13 89:12 95:11	24:25 25:13,15,19	44:7 47:2,7 48:8	usual 89:2 99:20
today's 88:25 89:24	27:8,9,13 28:10,14	49:8,12,15 50:12,23	usually 21:24
told 49:18 68:7,12	30:11,23,24 31:16	51:3 66:13 78:24	utilize 46:3
68:15,17,23 69:14	31:24 41:23 42:15	85:7,8 86:22 87:3	v
69:17,20 98:20	84:23 101:19	87:22 89:25 90:25	v 1:21
top 62:10,11	trying 22:6 23:17	91:8	vain 84:8
tort 12:25 49:11	24:13,22 25:1 26:17	understanding 11:4	value 33:7,8,10,17
totally 35:25	29:18 30:17 31:12	16:9,10 34:23 40:24	33:19 34:5,7,13
touch 73:15	31:17 36:11 37:12	46:25 49:22 64:10	35:19 34:5,7,13
touching 25:6	40:13 51:1 59:24	80:19 90:25	values 31:9
toyota 46:18	62:16 72:11 73:13	understands 10:6	van 7:6
track 12:24	79:4,5 93:24 94:25	16:11 37:8,22	van 7.0 variant 17:25
trade 23:1	turn 10:23 42:22	understood 11:6,9	variant 17.23
traded 84:5,5	62:8	11:12 43:22 49:25	various 9:19 11:2
transcribed 2:25	turning 12:5	60:6,9	60:13
transcriber 104:8	turns 39:4	undertaken 11:12	vehicle 32:21 36:25
104:18	twice 88:5	22:14 59:8	36:25 37:5 52:7
transcript 104:3,11	two 14:18 16:4	underway 75:8	72:13
transferee 50:20	18:15 20:10 42:14	undoubtedly 91:13	vehicles 35:12
52:19,23 53:3,22	42:15 49:5,9,13	unenforceable	36:16 38:22,23 67:7
54:1 99:6	58:17 69:20 76:25	67:24	venture 55:3
transferred 50:20	84:9 88:18 91:3	unfortunate 62:3	venue 49:17 50:3,13
transpire 83:21	92:10 96:4,15	86:24 87:2	verbally 14:10
transpired 61:6	tx 7:18	unfortunately	veritext 104:19
treasury 63:18	type 22:23 38:8	59:18	versus 21:13 26:19
treat 74:23	59:7 88:7	unidentified 82:15	28:24 35:10 41:11
treated 80:8	typically 11:4 51:2	unit 84:5	42:18 70:23 71:19
trial 60:15,17	51:13	united 1:1 6:15	73:15
tried 51:18 67:2	u	units 5:11 74:12	victims 33:3 39:16
94:14	u 103:3	98:4,8,15	40:5 81:9 92:3,4,8
tries 31:22	u.s. 2:1,11 6:16,17	universe 79:19	view 16:18 17:1
tro 99:17,20	u.s.c. 49:23	unkosha 52:6	26:6 33:19 36:8
tropin 88:12,13	ultimate 50:13	unlimited 98:10	50:15 51:17 55:15
trouble 69:15	ultimately 25:16	unnecessary 57:25	58:25 67:9 72:23
troubled 69:15	29:23 30:7 51:18	unpack 49:4	76:12 77:22 78:17
true 71:8 104:4	52:14 63:5 95:9	unsecured 19:16	83:13
trust 4:2 5:11 19:15	unanimity 49:6	unwilling 16:19	viewed 52:3 55:5
19:16 20:4 31:1,8	unavailable 47:24	99:9	views 11:17 14:7,13
31:10 73:16 74:6,8	uncomfortable	upcoming 9:24	14:14 16:2 26:20
74:25 81:20 82:3,4	86:12		73:14
	00.12	<u> </u>	/3.17

[violate - à] Page 23

violate 52:13	wasn't 75:25 77:8	weisfelner's 87:11	90:6 92:13
violating 56:16	94:11 95:2	88:20 89:7 96:5	working 32:1 45:22
violation 76:23	wasteful 75:2	went 54:14 68:13	55:3 75:21 88:6,12
77:11 93:16	watch 91:7	71:3 94:12	worried 40:8
violence 52:13	waving 67:13	west 8:17	worth 74:3
virtue 33:24 34:14	wax 56:16	we'd 70:8 72:7 81:5	wrecks 11:9
35:2,7 57:6	way 11:3 14:9 16:12	we'll 92:12 101:19	writing 70:9
vis 11:19,19 16:15	17:9 18:6 27:20	we're 65:13 67:6	wrong 38:10 45:22
16:15 22:14,14	30:2 32:25 39:6	70:18 71:9 73:5	65:17
52:21,22	41:15 43:18 44:22	75:13 77:12 79:10	wrongful 11:7 12:6
voluntarily 11:7	49:20 60:21 61:18	79:12,22 80:2,7	60:8,10 66:25
voluntary 40:5	62:16,25 63:16 64:7	81:2,9 86:1 93:1,3	wrongly 11:6 12:17
W	64:8 66:8,10,12	94:25 95:19,22 96:3	wrote 13:19 16:3
	68:10 69:17 75:3,4	96:25 98:11 102:1	42:25
wait 41:9 62:21	83:14 85:21 94:23	102:12	X
77:2 95:18	96:22 101:12 102:6	we've 66:9 76:8	
waiting 95:22	ways 13:3	90:18,20 93:14	x 1:3,11,24 103:1
waiver 68:20	we've 58:2,10	whatever's 72:2	y
walk 28:13	wearing 63:1,4	what's 66:22 78:4	y 1:13
walked 89:12 walrath 18:20	week 28:21	96:21	yeah 12:1 60:19
	weekend 101:4	whey 12:2	year 34:3 67:3
want 9:12 10:23	weeks 17:3	white 63:9	years 33:8 46:17
13:2,9 17:14,22	weigh 20:7	whittle 26:24	54:8 89:2
18:7 20:22 22:8	weinberg 11:25	wholly. 12:6	yesterday 47:25
23:24 27:5,10,11	weinberg's 11:25	who's 88:13	88:21
29:14 40:9,11 44:23	weintraub 4:21	william 4:21	york 1:2 2:3,3 3:5
45:7 46:5 51:9 57:3	weisfelner 5:6 9:11	willing 61:3 75:15	3:20 4:4,17,19 5:4
57:7,11,12 58:4,11	10:13 12:13 13:21	wilmington 98:4,7	5:13,20 6:11,20
60:22 61:10 63:22	18:1 20:25 21:3	98:14,19	7:11 8:3,11 26:8
65:3,21 66:5 67:20	39:8 43:2,5 44:10	wilson 63:21	47:25 50:14
69:7 72:25 79:8,10	44:11,23 45:3,7,11	win 15:11	you'll 97:13
79:21 86:10 89:6,24	45:15,17 49:24 50:4	wind 77:12 79:10	you're 80:20 82:10
91:25 92:23 93:1	50:8,21,23 51:21,22	81:8	90:1 96:15,18 97:11
94:2 95:8,13,18,25	52:2 53:1 54:13,15	wiped 74:7	you've 73:14 84:17
96:2 99:23 101:19 wanted 16:10 18:24	56:6,8 57:5 58:16	wiser 77:13	91:13 95:11 101:23
	59:10 60:16,18,20	wolf 75:22	Z
18:24 20:1 33:5 41:13 42:1 43:16	61:1,14,17,18 64:3	won't 65:21 69:5	ziehl 8:7
	65:12 67:18,25 68:3	72:1 87:11	zone 101:24
69:24	69:13,20 70:22	word 12:16 51:15	_
wanting 25:2 wants 26:24 62:7	78:22 80:4 82:25	63:25 76:17	à
	85:8,14 87:23 88:2	words 56:3 66:23	à 11:19 16:15 22:14
warranted 30:13	88:5 89:5,13 91:12	69:18 77:6	52:22
warranty 11:13	92:9 93:8 94:12	wore 63:9	
32:17 37:21 38:22	95:1 96:9 99:12	work 15:15,16	
38:24	weisfelner's 9:18	48:11 55:12 57:17	
warren 8:1	14:18 24:2 53:19	57:22 68:16,19,21	
washington 7:4		72:10 73:11 78:2	
washington /.4		72:10 73:11 78:2	